

# Global Innovation Index 2022

What is the future  
of innovation-  
driven growth?



In partnership with



**PORTULANS**  
— INSTITUTE —



**Confederation of Indian Industry**



*Brazilian National Confederation of Industry*  
**THE FUTURE OF INDUSTRY**



Academic network members





# Global Innovation Index 2022

What is the future of  
innovation-driven growth?

15<sup>th</sup> Edition

Soumitra Dutta, Bruno Lanvin,  
Lorena Rivera León and Sacha Wunsch-Vincent

Editors

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International.

© WIPO, 2022

The user is allowed to reproduce, distribute, adapt, translate and publicly perform this publication, including for commercial purposes, without explicit permission, provided that the content is accompanied by an acknowledgement that WIPO is the source and that it is clearly indicated if changes were made to the original content.

First published 2022

World Intellectual Property Organization  
34, chemin des Colombettes, P.O. Box 18  
CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Suggested citation: World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) (2022). *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. DOI [10.34667/tind.46596](https://doi.org/10.34667/tind.46596)

ISBN: 978-92-805-3432-0 (print)  
ISBN: 978-92-805-3433-7 (online)  
ISSN: 2263-3693 (print)  
ISSN: 2788-6972 (online)

Adaptation/translation/derivatives should not carry any official emblem or logo, unless they have been approved and validated by WIPO. Please contact us via the WIPO website to obtain permission.



Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

For any derivative work, please include the following disclaimer:  
“The Secretariat of WIPO assumes no liability or responsibility with regard to the transformation or translation of the original content.”

Cover: Getty Images / © 3DSculptor;  
© solarseven / © LV4260

When content published by WIPO, such as images, graphics, trademarks or logos, is attributed to a third-party, the user of such content is solely responsible for clearing the rights with the right holder(s). Information on the respective data providers can be found in the GII report's Appendix III: Sources and and definitions.

To view a copy of this license, please visit  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

Any dispute arising under this license that cannot be settled amicably shall be referred to arbitration in accordance with Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) then in force. The parties shall be bound by any arbitration award rendered as a result of such arbitration as the final adjudication of such a dispute.

The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WIPO concerning the legal status of any country, territory or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

This publication is not intended to reflect the views of the Member States or the WIPO Secretariat.

The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WIPO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

# Contents

List of figures and tables	4
Index to Economy profiles	5
Foreword	6
Acknowledgments	8
Advisory Board	10
The GII Partners	12
<b>GII 2022 at a glance</b>	<b>17</b>
Global leaders in innovation in 2022	18
Global Innovation Index 2022 rankings	19
Innovation performance at different income levels, 2022	20
Key takeaways	21
<b>Global Innovation Tracker</b>	<b>24</b>
Global Innovation Tracker Dashboard	25
Science and innovation investments	26
Technological progress	33
Technology adoption	35
Socioeconomic impact	37
Conclusion	38
<b>GII 2022 results</b>	<b>41</b>
The GII 2022 innovation leaders	42
A changing global innovation landscape	45
Innovation overperformers	46
The persistent regional innovation divide	48
Creating balanced and efficient innovation ecosystems	53
Conclusion	55
<b>Cluster ranking</b>	<b>56</b>
The GII 2022 top 100 science and technology clusters	57
S&T intensity of the top 100 clusters	61
<b>Special theme</b>	<b>63</b>
What is the future of innovation-driven growth: Productivity stagnation or revival?	64
<b>GII 2022 Economy profiles</b>	<b>88</b>
Framework of the Global Innovation Index 2022	89
How to read the Economy profiles	90
Economy profiles	92
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>224</b>
Appendix I - The Global Innovation Index's rationale and origins, its conceptual framework and data limitations	225
Appendix II - Joint Research Centre (JRC) statistical audit of the 2022 Global Innovation Index	230
Appendix III - Sources and definitions	234
Appendix IV - Global Innovation Index science and technology cluster methodology	256

# List of figures and tables

Global leaders in innovation in 2022	18
Global Innovation Index 2022 rankings	19
Innovation performance at different income levels, 2022	20
Global Innovation Tracker	25
Framework of the Global Innovation Index 2022	89
Figure 1 – The usual correlation of R&D and GDP growth, 2000–2023	27
Figure 2 – Government budget allocations for R&D, 2019, 2020 and 2021	28
Figure 3 – R&D expenditure and revenue totals of top global corporate R&D spenders, by industry and year, 2018–2021	29
Figure 4 – Share of top corporate R&D spenders reporting R&D expenditure increases, 2019–2021	30
Figure 5 – Corporate R&D expenditure, selected top R&D spenders worldwide, annual R&D expenditure, 2020 vs 2021	31
Figure 6 – Value of VC deals by region, three-point moving average, 1997–2022 (top), and growth in value of VC deals, by region, 2020–2022 (bottom)	33
Figure 7 – Electric battery price and electric vehicle stock share, 2010–2021	34
Figure 8 – Stock of industrial robots and year-on-year growth rate (%), 2000–2020	36
Figure 9 – Movement in the GII, top 10, 2018–2022	43
Figure 10 – Global leaders in innovation in 2022	44
Figure 11 – The positive relationship between innovation and development	47
Figure 12 – Innovation input to output performance, 2022	53
Figure 13 – Real GDP per capita levels at the frontier, 1300–2021	65
Figure 14 – Labor productivity growth, 1871–2021	66
Figure 15 – Slowdown in GDP per capita growth in OECD economies, 1950–2021	67
Figure 16 – Slowdown in labor productivity growth, 1950s–2010s	67
Figure 17 – Regional labor productivity differentials, 2020 or earlier	70
Figure 18 – Labor productivity growth rate, selected countries, 2000–2007 and 2011–2018	71
Figure 19 – Global GDP per hour worked, 2011–2022	72
Figure 20 – Past and future innovation waves from the 19 <sup>th</sup> through the 21 <sup>st</sup> century	73
Figure 21 – Investment in ICT equipment, 1995–2021	74
Figure 22 – Productivity levels in selected major economies between 1970 and 2021	79
Figure 23 – Labor productivity relative to the United States	79
Table 1 – R&D and revenue growth of the top global corporate R&D spenders, 2018–2021	29
Table 2 – 10 best-ranked economies by income group (rank)	46
Table 3 – Innovation Achievers in 2022: Income group, region and years as an innovation achiever	48
Table 4 – Heatmap: GII 2022 rankings overall and by innovation pillar	50
Table 5 – GII 2022 rankings in Sub-Saharan Africa	52
Table 6 – Top S&T cluster of each economy or cross-border region, rank among the top 100, 2022	58
Table 7 – Economies with three or more top 100 S&T clusters, 2022	60
Table 8 – Top S&T clusters in extended ranking, economies not covered in top 100, 2022	60
Table 9 – Top S&T clusters by S&T intensity, 2022	62
Table 10 – Average productivity growth by sectors, 1996–2019 (average annual percentage change)	70
Table 11 – Deep Science wave impacts in four fields	75
Table 12 – Promising new technologies identified by sector	76
Table 13 – Innovation diffusion, adoption and international catch-up: drivers and barriers	78
Map 1 – Top 100 clusters worldwide, 2022	57
Map 2 – Top S&T clusters, United States and China, 2022	59
Map 3 – European S&T clusters by intensity	61
Box Table 1 – Economies with the most top-ranked GII indicators, 2022	45
Appendix Table 1 – Changes to the GII 2022 framework	227
Appendix Table 2 – GII 2022 and Input/Output Sub-Indices: Ranks and 90 percent confidence intervals	232
Appendix Table 3 – Top 100 S&T clusters, 2022	258
Appendix Table 4 – Ranking of S&T intensity, 2016–2020	260
Appendix Table 5 – Summary of geocoding results	262

# Index to Economy profiles

Albania	92	Japan	150	Togo	208
Algeria	93	Jordan	151	Trinidad and Tobago	209
Angola	94	Kazakhstan	152	Tunisia	210
Argentina	95	Kenya	153	Türkiye	211
Armenia	96	Kuwait	154	Uganda	212
Australia	97	Kyrgyzstan	155	Ukraine	213
Austria	98	Lao People's Democratic Republic	156	United Arab Emirates	214
Azerbaijan	99	Latvia	157	United Kingdom	215
Bahrain	100	Lithuania	158	United Republic of Tanzania	216
Bangladesh	101	Luxembourg	159	United States of America	217
Belarus	102	Madagascar	160	Uruguay	218
Belgium	103	Malaysia	161	Uzbekistan	219
Benin	104	Mali	162	Viet Nam	220
Bosnia and Herzegovina	105	Malta	163	Yemen	221
Botswana	106	Mauritania	164	Zambia	222
Brazil	107	Mauritius	165	Zimbabwe	223
Brunei Darussalam	108	Mexico	166		
Bulgaria	109	Mongolia	167		
Burkina Faso	110	Montenegro	168		
Burundi	111	Morocco	169		
Cambodia	112	Mozambique	170		
Cameroon	113	Myanmar	171		
Canada	114	Namibia	172		
Chile	115	Nepal	173		
China	116	Netherlands	174		
Colombia	117	New Zealand	175		
Costa Rica	118	Nicaragua	176		
Côte d'Ivoire	119	Niger	177		
Croatia	120	Nigeria	178		
Cyprus	121	North Macedonia	179		
Czech Republic	122	Norway	180		
Denmark	123	Oman	181		
Dominican Republic	124	Pakistan	182		
Ecuador	125	Panama	183		
Egypt	126	Paraguay	184		
El Salvador	127	Peru	185		
Estonia	128	Philippines	186		
Ethiopia	129	Poland	187		
Finland	130	Portugal	188		
France	131	Qatar	189		
Georgia	132	Republic of Korea	190		
Germany	133	Republic of Moldova	191		
Ghana	134	Romania	192		
Greece	135	Russian Federation	193		
Guatemala	136	Rwanda	194		
Guinea	137	Saudi Arabia	195		
Honduras	138	Senegal	196		
Hong Kong, China	139	Serbia	197		
Hungary	140	Singapore	198		
Iceland	141	Slovakia	199		
India	142	Slovenia	200		
Indonesia	143	South Africa	201		
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	144	Spain	202		
Iraq	145	Sri Lanka	203		
Ireland	146	Sweden	204		
Israel	147	Switzerland	205		
Italy	148	Tajikistan	206		
Jamaica	149	Thailand	207		

# Foreword



**Daren Tang**, Director General,  
World Intellectual Property  
Organization (WIPO)

© Emmanuel Berrod/WIPO

Welcome to the 15<sup>th</sup> edition of WIPO's flagship *Global Innovation Index (GII)*, where we track the current state of innovation globally and rank the innovative performance of 132 countries.

This year's *GII* finds the innovative sectors of the world economy at a crossroads. On the one hand, science and innovation investments continued to surge in 2021, performing strongly even at the height of a once in a century pandemic. International patent filings, R&D expenditure, scientific publications and other key innovation metrics also all showed continued growth.

Take the trend in venture capital (VC) deals. Typically, the pool of capital available for financing innovation shrinks during periods of economic turbulence, with VC investment declining in line with the overall business cycle. However, the current crisis has instead seen a historic boom in VC activity, with the number of deals increasing by almost 50 per cent last year.



On the other hand, even as the pandemic recedes, storm clouds remain overhead, with increasing supply-chain, energy, trade and geopolitical stresses.

In such a world, understanding the state of innovation is even more critical than ever, and this is why the theme of this year's GII is the future of innovation-driven growth. With contributions from experts and business leaders from around the world, we explore the trajectory of key innovation indicators, including the rate of technological progress, the underlying technology adoption and the socioeconomic impact of innovation. Two innovation waves in particular are identified as having the greatest potential to improve productivity and change lives for the better – the Digital Age and Deep Science.

Supporting countries at all stages of development in strengthening their innovation ecosystem is a key objective of the GII. More than a reference guide, the GII has established itself as a powerful tool for the construction and development of pro-innovation policies, with countries working with us to create similar indices at the sub-national level.

To help quantify its reach and impact, last year we gathered information from Member States on how they use the Index. Of the 110 responding countries, more than 75 use the GII either to improve their innovation ecosystem, strengthen innovation metrics, or as a specific reference in economic policymaking.

During a time of continued economic volatility, WIPO stands ready support all our Member States in harnessing innovation for the benefit of economies and societies the world over, creating jobs, attracting investments and boosting growth. I sincerely hope that this year's GII will help each and every country to find the best levers to make this happen.

# Acknowledgments

The *Global Innovation Index 2022* was prepared under the general direction of Daren Tang, Director General, in WIPO's IP and Innovation Ecosystems Sector led by Marco Alemán, Assistant Director General, and in the Department of Economics and Data Analytics led by Carsten Fink, Chief Economist.

The report and rankings are produced by a core team managed by Sacha Wunsch-Vincent, Head of Section, comprising Vanessa Behrens, Project Manager, Jack Gregory, Innovation Data Analyst, and Lorena Rivera León, Economist, from the WIPO Composite Indicator Research Section responsible for the GII, and the following consultants: William Becker, Abdellah Bouhamidi, Rafael Escalona Reynoso and Valentin Todorov – all in a personal capacity.

Soumitra Dutta (Oxford University and Portulans Institute), Bruno Lanvin (Institut Européen d'Administration des Affaires, INSEAD, International Institute for Management Development, IMD and Portulans Institute), Lorena Rivera León (WIPO) and Sacha Wunsch-Vincent (WIPO) serve as co-editors of the GII.

For significant contributions to the special GII 2022 theme on “What is the future of innovation-driven growth?”, we thank Klaas de Vries (Conference Board), as well as Bart van Ark (The Productivity Institute and University of Manchester), who acted as reviewer, Charlotte Beauchamp, who acted as editor and Francesca Guadagno for inputs. Furthermore, appreciation goes to all the authors of the eight Expert Contributions on this year's theme ([published online](#)) for their insightful analysis, national context and industry perspectives.

The following WIPO colleagues provided substantive inputs to the GII elaboration or dissemination: Hao Zhou, Director of Statistics, and Kyle Bergquist, Data Analyst, from the Statistics and Data Analytics Division, as well as colleagues from the External Relations Division, the Information and Digital Outreach Division, the IP and Innovation Ecosystems Sector, the Language Division, the News and Media Division, the Printing Plant, the Regional and National Development Sector, the WIPO Office in New York, and WIPO's External Offices.

A special thank you goes to our partners at the Portulans Institute, in particular, Rafael Escalona Reynoso, Mariam Chaduneli and Sylvie Antal for their contributions. We also thank the GII's Advisory Board, Corporate Network, Academic Network and the GII Data Collaborators for their participation, as well as to the Competence Centre on Composite Indicators and Scoreboards (COIN) team from the European Commission's Joint Research Centre – led by Michaela Saisana – that conducted the statistical audit. The report was edited by Richard Cook and Andy Platts at Book Now Ltd. Gratitude is also due to the creative production of the GII website carried out by StratAgile PTE Ltd.

We are grateful to the following individuals and institutions for their collaboration with data requests, and without whom the Index would not be what it is:

**Bloomberg:** Veronika Henze and Evelina Stoikou

**Brand Finance:** Annie Brown, David Haigh, Bethany Johnson and Binuri Ranasinghe

**Bureau van Dijk, Moody Analytics:** Santhosh Metri, Petra Steiner and Ann Van Nieuwenhove

**Clarivate Analytics:** Bastien Blondin and Joseph Brightbill

**data.ai (formerly App Annie):** Donny Kristianto and Lexi Sydow

**European Commission's Joint Research Centre:** Michela Bello, Giulio Caperna, Ana Rita Neves, Michaela Saisana and Carlos Tacao Moura from COIN; and Nicola Grassano and Hector Hernández

**Gallup:** Kris Hodgins, Kiki Papachristoforou and Andrew Rzepa

**GitHub:** Peter Cihon, Mike Linksvayer and Kevin Xu

**Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM):** Niels Bosma, Jonathan Carmona, Alicia Coduras, Aileen Ionescu-Somers and Forrest Wright

**IHS Markit:** Mohsen Bonakdarpour and Karen Campbell

**International Energy Agency (IEA):** Dirk Hastedt, Taylor Morrison, Julian Prime and Roberta Quadrelli

**International Federation of Robotics:** Susanne Bieller and Nina Kutzbach

**International Labour Organization (ILO):** Yves Perardel

**International Monetary Fund (IMF)**

**International Organization for Standardization (ISO):** Laurent Charlet and Cristina Draghici

**International Telecommunication Union (ITU):** Thierry Geiger, Esperanza Magpantay and Martin Schaaper

**Motion Picture Association:** Julia Jenks

**Omdia:** David Hancock

**Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD):** Tue Halgreen, Miyako Ikeda and Fabien Verger

**PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC):** Arshiya Ghosh and Shruti Kumar

**QS Quacquarelli Symonds Ltd:** Andrew Macfarlane and Samuel Wong

**Refinitiv, an LSEG business**

**SCImago:** Félix de Moya Anegón

**The Conference Board:** Klaas de Vries

**Trade Data Monitor LLC:** C. Donald Brasher Jr., John Miller, Maria Vretenicic and Altan Yurdakul

**TU Wien:** Karl Rupp

**UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS):** Lydia Deloumeaux, Talal El Hourani, Rohan Pathirage and José Pessoa

**United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database**

**United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO):** Fernando Cantu Bazaldua, Martin Haitzmann and Valentin Todorov

**United Nations Public Administration Network**

**Wikimedia Foundation:** Diego Sáez-Trumper

**World Bank:** Frederic Meunier

**World Economic Forum:** Roberto Crotti, Philipp Grosskurth, Ricky Li and Vesselina Stefanova Ratcheva

**World Federation of Exchanges**

**World Trade Organization (WTO):** Shradha Bhatia, Barbara D'Andrea Adrian and Christophe Degain

**WTHW Media LLC:** Paul J. Heney, Marshall Matheson and Scott McCafferty

**Yale University:** Martin Wolf

**ZookNIC Inc:** Matthew Zook

# Advisory Board

In 2011, an Advisory Board was established to advise on the strategic direction of the Global Innovation Index (GII), to help more broadly in emphasizing the important role innovation plays in economic and social development, and to assist in sharing the GII results as they relate to each of the world's economies and regions. The Advisory Board is a select group of international policymakers, thought-leaders and corporate executives. Members are drawn from diverse geographical and institutional backgrounds and participate in a personal capacity. We extend our gratitude to all Advisory Board members for their continued support and collaboration.

## Advisory Board members

### **Clare Akamanzi**

Chief Executive Officer, Rwanda Development Board, Rwanda

### **Robert D. Atkinson**

President, Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF), United States of America

### **Audrey Azoulay**

Director-General, United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

### **Amy L. Burke**

Program Director, Science, Technology, and Innovation Analysis, National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES), National Science Foundation (NSF), United States of America

### **Fabiola Gianotti**

Director-General, European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)

### **John Kao**

Chair, Institute for Large Scale Innovation, and former Harvard Business School Professor, United States of America

### **Victor Zhixiang Liang**

Senior Vice President, Baidu, China

### **Raghunath Anant Mashelkar**

President, Global Research Alliance; National Research Professor, National Chemical Laboratory; former Director-General, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), and former Chair, National Innovation Foundation, India

### **Philippe Kuhutama Mawoko**

Professor, Université du Kwango, Democratic Republic of the Congo, and former Executive Secretary, African Observatory for Science, Technology and Innovation (AOSTI), African Union Commission

### **Ken Moore**

Chief Innovation Officer, Mastercard

### **Sergio Mujica**

Secretary-General, International Organization for Standardization (ISO)

### **Monika Schnitzer**

Member, German Council of Economic Experts, and Professor, Ludwig-Maximilians-University (LMU) Munich, Germany

**Vera Songwe**

Senior Visiting Fellow, Brookings Institution, United States of America, and Executive Secretary, United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (ECA) (up to August 2022)

**Heizo Takenaka**

Professor Emeritus, Keio University, Japan; former Minister of State for Economic and Fiscal Policy, and Member of the World Economic Forum Board of Trustees

**Ming-Kian Teo**

Chair, Vertex Venture Holdings Ltd, Singapore

**Blanca Treviño**

President, Softtek, Mexico

**Pedro Wongtschowski**

Chair, Board of Directors, Ultrapar Participações S.A., and Member of the Board of Directors, Embraer S.A. and Centro de Tecnologia Canavieira S.A., Brazil

**Houlin Zhao**

Secretary-General, International Telecommunication Union (ITU)

# The GII Partners

## Preface



**Soumitra Dutta and Bruno Lanvin**  
Co-editors of the *Global Innovation Index*  
Co-founders of the Portulans Institute

For a second year, the *Global Innovation Index* (GII) is published by WIPO in partnership with the Portulans Institute, with the support of our Corporate Network partners, namely, the Brazilian National Confederation of Industry (CNI, Brazil), the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII, India), Ecopetrol (Colombia) and the Turkish Exporters Assembly (TİM, Türkiye). We at Portulans are very grateful to all our partners for their continued support and enthusiasm for the GII. We owe a great debt of gratitude to WIPO and its dedicated team of professionals under the leadership of Director General Daren Tang and Assistant Director General Marco Alemán. We further extend our appreciation to the Academic Network (to which we welcome the University of Johannesburg, the University of Oxford and VinUniversity) for its invaluable contribution to our work and to continuing research around innovation and the GII.

This year has, so far, been marked by the many tensions that have arisen around the world. Whereas many of us were expecting growth and trade to pick up rapidly in a post-COVID environment, geopolitical tensions have taken a new turn with the Russian Federation–Ukraine conflict and inflationary pressures are also making a global comeback. The risk of a splintered world economy has grown. In particular, poorer economies risk hunger on a massive scale, while growing inequalities and poverty threaten to put the world back several decades.

In such an uncertain context, innovation has a critical role to play. More than ever, innovation must be the target of strong, counter-cyclical policies. Productivity gains continue to justify spending on innovation. But at a time when financial resources are stretched – and competition for these resources stronger – it is even more important in 2022 to make explicit the links between innovation and productivity.

As last year's edition of the GII underlined, the COVID-19 pandemic has made fragile the innovation ecosystems of a great many emerging and poorer economies. Hence it is vitally important to consider how such systems can be strengthened and brought closer to local needs, as well as national interests, as a new type of globalization confronts the world.

In this era of growing uncertainties, it is our strong belief that the GII has a significant role to play by pursuing its goal of providing the factual and quantified evidence to allow private and public stakeholders to make the best decisions they can, and in so doing adopt more efficient strategies.

## Corporate Network

**Chandrajit Banerjee**, Director General, Confederation of Indian Industry (CII)

### **Future innovation – The new economic catapult for productivity and growth**



As India celebrates its 75<sup>th</sup> year of Independence on a strong foundation for productivity and growth, an acceleration in scientific and technical innovation is driving rapid economic progress throughout the country.

Today, India is experiencing significant transformations, from space technology and smart cities to health care and telecommunications, all driven by innovative solutions. India's Chandrayaan-2 Moon orbit, digital identity technologies (Aadhar), universal health care and the indigenous vaccine Covaxin®, are just several prominent examples of the country's current innovation prowess across various sectors.

Using frontier technologies, Indian companies are making significant leaps in innovation. In so doing, they are making their contribution to the country's socioeconomic transformation. Additionally, a startup culture has taken root across the country, positioning India as the third biggest startup economy in the world.

WIPO's *Global Innovation Index* (GII) captures all these developments, showing where India continues to improve its innovation performance and encouraging further expansion of its knowledge inputs and outputs. This year's Special theme focusing on "What is the future of innovation-driven growth?" examines the role and impact of digital innovations in enhancing productivity throughout the country.

The Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) is working in close partnership with the Indian Government and other stakeholders in inspiring Indian industry to recognize and embrace innovation. As we strive to raise enterprises to the next level of technological innovation, we are prioritizing capacity building, academic collaboration and international cooperation in sharing best practice.

As a founding knowledge partner of GII, CII is proud to be an integral part of India's journey toward an innovation-driven knowledge economy. Over the years, the GII has evolved into an invaluable benchmarking tool encouraging nations to leverage innovation for economic prosperity and social good.

I congratulate the GII team for the 2022 edition of the report. This continues to provide a useful guide for exploring the multi-dimensional layers of innovation and productivity across the globe.

**Robson Braga de Andrade**, President, Brazilian National Confederation of Industry (CNI)

### **Innovation and productivity vectors – Human resources, digital transformation and sustainability**



Innovation is crucial to increasing productivity in emerging economies with recent growth-related difficulties, such as Brazil.

Coordinated by the Brazilian National Confederation of Industry (CNI), the Entrepreneurial Mobilization for Innovation (MEI) is a group of business leaders fostering an innovative culture by proposing policies aimed at increasing funding and modernizing the regulatory framework for science, technology and innovation (STI) in Brazil. In this regard, three noteworthy MEI working groups cover: human resources, digital transformation and sustainability.

Economic expansion is, to a large extent, the result of labor productivity gains. Between 2011 and 2019, GDP per employed worker increased in China (4.5 percent), the European Union (1.1 percent) and the United States of America (0.6 percent). During the same period, Brazil recorded zero growth. Good education and investments are vital to circumventing the low growth trap and supplying a qualified labor force to meet a predicted shortfall in trained professionals in the area of information technology and communication (ICT).

Digital transformation can be a powerful tool in overcoming productivity stagnation. In Brazil, the contribution of the ICT industry to GDP growth in 2020 was only 40 percent of its value to the United States, half of its value to China, and two-thirds in the case of the Eurozone.

Opportunities offered by the sustainable economy can provide an impetus for innovative activities, leading to productivity growth. In the case of Brazil, we view innovation as a primary lever for resolving serious structural problems, such as the challenges to sustainable development and a lack of social equity.

**Ernesto José Gutierrez de Piñeres**, Digital Vice President, Ecopetrol

### **Science, technology and innovation are key drivers unlocking productivity potential in uncertain times**

Science, technology and innovation (STI) have become the key drivers accelerating Colombia's energy transition and facilitating the process of creating a more sustainable, inclusive and transparent economy. Innovative and disruptive solutions are fundamental to Colombia meeting its 2050 carbon reduction goals and for the transition to net-zero, a top priority at the national level.



As a key energy player, Ecopetrol recognizes the need to evolve quickly as it confronts major challenges to our industry. We aim to transition from a value chain to a value ecosystem, from estimation to measurement, and from traditional business models to knowledge exchange and collaboration. To achieve this, we need to collaborate with local and international innovation ecosystems and develop a more agile, efficient approach to handling energy needs and opportunities.

This is the reason why we at Ecopetrol joined the corporate network of the *Global Innovation Index* (GII) hosted by the Portulans Institute. The GII has allowed us to understand the dynamics of Colombia's innovation system and has fostered better informed, more balanced decision-making at a strategic level. Even though Colombia notably improved its innovation performance in the GII 2022 (Colombia ranks 63<sup>rd</sup> out of 132 countries) compared to the year before, the Index shows that Colombia produces fewer innovation outcomes than expected relative to its innovation input.

In order to instigate a meaningful change, business development goals must be balanced against safeguarding the planet and environment. At Ecopetrol, we are fully aware of this urgent imperative. Early this year, the Company presented its strategic vision for 2040 – “Energy that Transforms.” This is a comprehensive response to current environmental, social and governance challenges (ESGs), with a sharp focus on generating sustainable value for all stakeholders. The Company seeks to build a better future by transforming ideas into opportunities through innovation and cutting-edge technology. That is why Ecopetrol decided to add a “T” to ESG to produce a set of TESG (technological, environmental, social and governance) targets, as a way to understand how technology can be at the heart of our business strategy.

**Mustafa Gültepe**, President, Turkish Exporters Assembly (TİM)

### **Improving Türkiye's exports and productivity through innovation**

Recent advances in future technologies hold enormous potential for sustainable development and productivity growth. That is why this year's Special theme – “What is the future of innovation-driven growth?” – is extremely valuable for enhancing and strengthening our understanding of what is meant by efficiency.

In order to increase productivity – one of the main drivers of sustainable income growth and poverty reduction – countries should prioritize investments in innovation, including R&D, human capital and organizational knowledge accumulation. For this reason, the Turkish Exporters Assembly (TİM) – an umbrella organization for more than 100,000 exporters in Türkiye – continues to design projects that help exporters adapt to an age of digitalization and ensure they benefit from new technologies.

As a result of these efforts, 2021 was a record-breaking year for Turkish exports, which achieved a historical record in annual exports amounting to USD 225 billion. Significant advances in Turkish exports have increased economic prosperity within the country. Export-oriented investments have created employment opportunities for the younger generation and uplifted many Turkish cities economically.



Innovation is at the center of our work at TİM. We view innovation as the most valuable tool for catching up with the ever-changing structure of the global economy and ensuring that Türkiye is a notable market player. Projects developed within the scope of the TİM Innovation and Entrepreneurship Academy have sought innovative ideas and opened new horizons. Last year, Türkiye ranked 41<sup>st</sup> in the *Global Innovation Index* (GII), having climbed 10 positions from 2020 and has improved further to 37<sup>th</sup> place in 2022, recording the country's best result to date. Achieving this success was a joint effort carried out under the coordination of the Assembly, as well as relevant ministries and institutions. TİM aims to continue strengthening Türkiye's innovation ecosystem and maintaining the success achieved thus far.

On behalf of myself and the TİM, I would like to thank the President of the Republic of Türkiye and ministries, the GII Türkiye Task Force and all stakeholders who contributed to the production of this year's *Global Innovation Index 2022*, which gives a valuable perspective and offers important insights drawn from an ever-expanding knowledge-base on innovation, innovation policies and tackling productivity stagnation.

## Corporate Network partners

Partnerships with the private sector are an important source of influence for the GII – firms, private sector entities, and industry associations keen to promote innovation and spur competitiveness, are after all, at the heart of innovation. These partners constitute the GII's Corporate Network, supported by the Portulans Institute. In 2022, the GII Corporate Network comprises the Confederation of Indian Industry (the longest-standing corporate partner since 2008), the Brazilian National Confederation of Industry (a partner since 2017), as well as Ecopetrol Group and the Turkish Exporters Assembly, which both joined last year. We extend our gratitude to all corporate partners for their invaluable support.

### **Brazilian National Confederation of Industry (CNI)**

Robson Braga de Andrade, President; Gianna Sagazio, Innovation Director; Tatiana Farah de Mello, Innovation Executive Manager; Pedro Micussi, Industrial Development Specialist.

### **Confederation of Indian Industry (CII)**

Chandrajit Banerjee, Director General; S. Raghupathy, Principal Adviser; Ashish Mohan, Principal Counsellor and Head, Technology, Innovation, R&D and IPR; Namita Bahl, Director, Technology, Innovation and R&D; Divya Arya, Executive Officer, Technology, Innovation and R&D.

### **Ecopetrol Group**

Ernesto José Gutiérrez de Piñeres Luna, Digital Vice President; Alexis Ocampo, Technology Excellence Manager; Andrea Del Pilar Tapias, Coordinator of the Innovation Centers; Alicia Morales, Professional in Science, Technology and Innovation Strategy; Maria Clara Otálvaro, Innovation Trainee; Juan Pablo Fernandez, Science, Technology and Innovation Strategy Trainee.

### **Turkish Exporters Assembly (TİM)**

Mustafa Gültepe, President; Kutlu Karavelioğlu, Deputy President; and the following Innovation and Sustainability Committee Members: Ahmet Fikret Kileci, Baran Çelik, Başaran Bayrak, Birol Celep, Erdem Çenesiz, Hüseyin Memişoğlu, İbrahim Pektaş, Mehmet Şanal, Melisa Tokgöz Mutlu, Nilgün Özdemir, Orhan Sabuncu. Bilal Bedir, Secretary General; Kübra Ulutaş, Deputy Secretary General; Meltem Demirtaş, Manager; Gökhan Ezgin, Chief; and the following experts: Gülçin Yetkin, Çağrı Köse, Burak Günaydın, Nebile Mercan.

Past corporate partners include Alcatel-Lucent, A.T. Kearney, Booz & Company, the Brazilian Micro and Small Business Support Service (SEBRAE), Canon, Dassault Systèmes, du (a telecommunications company), Huawei, IMP<sup>3</sup>rove – European Innovation Management Academy, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), and strategy&.

## Academic Network partners

First established in 2021, the GII Academic Network engages world-leading universities in GII research. Faculty members and graduate students – active in diverse fields, including business management, law, public policy and science – support the dissemination of GII results within the academic community. We extend our gratitude to all Academic Network partners for their support.

**Brazil: University of São Paulo (USP)**, School of Economics, Management, Accounting and Actuarial Sciences, Moacir de Miranda Oliveira Júnior, Full Professor, Business Administration Department

**China: Peking University**, Office of Science and Technology Development, Weihao Yao, Director

**Colombia: Universidad de los Andes**, School of Management, Veneta Stefanova Andonova Zuleta, Dean; and Carolina Davila Aranda, International Office Director

**Egypt: The American University in Cairo (AUC)**, School of Business, Sherif Kamel, Dean; and Nagla Rizk, Professor and Director, Access to Knowledge for Development Center

**France: Institut Européen d'Administration des Affaires (INSEAD)**, Bruno Lanvin, Distinguished Fellow

**Mexico: Tecnológico de Monterrey**, EGADE Business School, Osmar Zavaleta, Associate Dean of Research; and José Ernesto Amorós, Professor and Research Group Leader, Entrepreneurship & Innovation

**Nigeria: Lagos Business School Pan-Atlantic University (LBS)**, Chris Ogbechie, Dean

**Russian Federation: National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE University)**, Institute for Statistical Studies and Economics of Knowledge, Leonid Gokhberg, First Vice-Rector and Director

**South Africa: The University of Johannesburg**, College of Business and Economics, Erika Kraemer-Mbula, Professor of Economics

**United Kingdom: Saïd Business School, University of Oxford**, Soumitra Dutta, Dean

**United States of America: Cornell SC Johnson College of Business**, Ravi Kanbur, Professor, Charles H. Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management

**Vietnam: VinUniversity**, Rohit Verma, Founding Provost

# **GII 2022 at a glance**

The Global Innovation Index 2022 captures the innovation ecosystem performance of 132 economies and tracks the most recent global innovation trends.

# Global leaders in innovation in 2022

## Top three innovation economies by region

### Latin America and the Caribbean

1. Chile
2. Brazil ☆
3. Mexico ↓

### Sub-Saharan Africa\*


1. South Africa
2. Botswana ☆
3. Kenya ↓

### Northern Africa and Western Asia†

1. Israel
2. United Arab Emirates
3. Türkiye

### South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania

1. Republic of Korea
2. Singapore
3. China



☆ Indicates a new entrant into the top three in 2022.

↑↓ Indicates the movement of rank (up or down) within the top three, relative to 2021.

\* Top three in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) – excluding island economies. The top four in the region, including all economies, comprise Mauritius (1<sup>st</sup>), South Africa (2<sup>nd</sup>), Botswana (3<sup>rd</sup>) and Kenya (4<sup>th</sup>).

† Top three in Northern Africa and Western Asia (NAWA) – excluding island economies. The top four in the region, including all economies, are as follows: Israel (1<sup>st</sup>), Cyprus (2<sup>nd</sup>), United Arab Emirates (3<sup>rd</sup>) and Türkiye (4<sup>th</sup>).

## Top three innovation economies by income group

### High-income

1. Switzerland
2. United States ↑
3. Sweden ↓

### Upper middle-income

1. China
2. Bulgaria
3. Malaysia

### Lower middle-income

1. India ↑
2. Viet Nam ↓
3. Iran (Islamic Republic of) ☆

### Low-income

1. Rwanda
2. Madagascar ☆
3. Ethiopia ☆

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Notes: World Bank Income Group Classification (June 2021). Year-on-year GII rank changes are influenced by performance and methodological considerations; some economy data are incomplete (see Appendix 1).

# Global Innovation Index 2022 rankings

GII rank	Economy	Score	Income group rank	Region rank	GII rank	Economy	Score	Income group rank	Region rank
1	Switzerland	64.6	1	1	67	Morocco	28.8	6	8
2	United States	61.8	2	1	68	Costa Rica	28.7	18	7
3	Sweden	61.6	3	2	69	Argentina	28.6	19	8
4	United Kingdom	59.7	4	3	70	Bosnia and Herzegovina	28.5	20	37
5	Netherlands	58.0	5	4	71	Mongolia	28.0	7	12
6	Republic of Korea	57.8	6	1	72	Bahrain	28.0	45	9
7	Singapore	57.3	7	2	73	Tunisia	27.9	8	10
8	Germany	57.2	8	5	74	Georgia	27.9	21	11
9	Finland	56.9	9	6	75	Indonesia	27.9	9	13
10	Denmark	55.9	10	7	76	Jamaica	27.7	22	9
11	China	55.3	1	3	77	Belarus	27.5	23	38
12	France	55.0	11	8	78	Jordan	27.4	24	12
13	Japan	53.6	12	4	79	Oman	26.8	46	13
14	Hong Kong, China	51.8	13	5	80	Armenia	26.6	25	14
15	Canada	50.8	14	2	81	Panama	25.7	26	10
16	Israel	50.2	15	1	82	Uzbekistan	25.3	10	3
17	Austria	50.2	16	9	83	Kazakhstan	24.7	27	4
18	Estonia	50.2	17	10	84	Albania	24.4	28	39
19	Luxembourg	49.8	18	11	85	Sri Lanka	24.2	11	5
20	Iceland	49.5	19	12	86	Botswana	23.9	29	3
21	Malta	49.2	20	13	87	Pakistan	23.0	12	6
22	Norway	48.8	21	14	88	Kenya	22.7	13	4
23	Ireland	48.5	22	15	89	Egypt	22.7	14	15
24	New Zealand	47.2	23	6	90	Dominican Republic	22.7	30	11
25	Australia	47.1	24	7	91	Paraguay	22.7	31	12
26	Belgium	46.9	25	16	92	Brunei Darussalam	22.2	47	14
27	Cyprus	46.2	26	2	93	Azerbaijan	21.5	32	16
28	Italy	46.1	27	17	94	Kyrgyzstan	21.1	15	7
29	Spain	44.6	28	18	95	Ghana	20.8	16	5
30	Czech Republic	42.8	29	19	96	Namibia	20.6	33	6
31	United Arab Emirates	42.1	30	3	97	Cambodia	20.5	17	15
32	Portugal	42.1	31	20	98	Ecuador	20.3	34	13
33	Slovenia	40.6	32	21	99	Senegal	19.9	18	7
34	Hungary	39.8	33	22	100	El Salvador	19.9	19	14
35	Bulgaria	39.5	2	23	101	Trinidad and Tobago	19.8	48	15
36	Malaysia	38.7	3	8	102	Bangladesh	19.7	20	8
37	Türkiye	38.1	4	4	103	United Republic of Tanzania	19.4	21	8
38	Poland	37.5	34	24	104	Tajikistan	18.8	22	9
39	Lithuania	37.3	35	25	105	Rwanda	18.7	1	9
40	India	36.6	1	1	106	Madagascar	18.6	2	10
41	Latvia	36.5	36	26	107	Zimbabwe	18.1	23	11
42	Croatia	35.6	37	27	108	Nicaragua	18.1	24	16
43	Thailand	34.9	5	9	109	Côte d'Ivoire	17.8	25	12
44	Greece	34.5	38	28	110	Guatemala	17.8	35	17
45	Mauritius	34.4	6	1	111	Nepal	17.6	26	10
46	Slovakia	34.3	39	29	112	Lao People's Democratic Republic	17.4	27	16
47	Russian Federation	34.3	7	30	113	Honduras	17.3	28	18
48	Viet Nam	34.2	2	10	114	Nigeria	16.9	29	13
49	Romania	34.1	8	31	115	Algeria	16.7	30	17
50	Chile	34.0	40	1	116	Myanmar	16.4	31	17
51	Saudi Arabia	33.4	41	5	117	Ethiopia	16.3	3	14
52	Qatar	32.9	42	6	118	Zambia	15.8	32	15
53	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	32.9	3	2	119	Uganda	15.7	4	16
54	Brazil	32.5	9	2	120	Burkina Faso	15.3	5	17
55	Serbia	32.3	10	32	121	Cameroon	15.1	33	18
56	Republic of Moldova	31.1	11	33	122	Togo	15.1	6	19
57	Ukraine	31.0	4	34	123	Mozambique	15.0	7	20
58	Mexico	31.0	12	3	124	Benin	14.6	34	21
59	Philippines	30.7	5	11	125	Niger	14.6	8	22
60	Montenegro	30.3	13	35	126	Mali	14.2	9	23
61	South Africa	29.8	14	2	127	Angola	13.9	35	24
62	Kuwait	29.2	43	7	128	Yemen	13.8	10	18
63	Colombia	29.2	15	4	129	Mauritania	12.4	36	25
64	Uruguay	29.2	44	5	130	Burundi	12.3	11	26
65	Peru	29.1	16	6	131	Iraq	11.9	36	19
66	North Macedonia	28.8	17	36	132	Guinea	11.6	12	27

High-income  
Upper middle-income  
Lower middle-income  
Low-income

Europe  
Northern America  
Latin America and the Caribbean

South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania  
Central and Southern Asia  
Northern Africa and Western Asia  
Sub-Saharan Africa

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Note: For an explanation of classifications, see Economy Profiles, note 1.

# Innovation performance at different income levels, 2022

	High-income group	Upper middle-income group	Lower middle-income group	Low-income group
Performance above expectation for level of development	Switzerland	China	India	Rwanda
	United States	Bulgaria	Viet Nam	Madagascar
	Sweden	Thailand	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Mozambique
	United Kingdom	Brazil	Ukraine	Burundi
	Netherlands	Republic of Moldova	Philippines	
	Republic of Korea	South Africa	Morocco	
	Singapore	Peru	Mongolia	
	Germany	Jamaica	Tunisia	
	Finland	Jordan	Indonesia	
	Denmark		Uzbekistan	
	France		Pakistan	
	Japan		Kenya	
	Hong Kong, China		United Republic of Tanzania	
	Canada		Zimbabwe	
	Israel			
	Austria			
	Estonia			
	Luxembourg			
	Iceland			
	Malta			
Norway				
Ireland				
New Zealand				
Australia				
Performance in line with level of development	Belgium	Malaysia	Sri Lanka	Ethiopia
	Cyprus	Türkiye	Kyrgyzstan	Uganda
	Italy	Mauritius	Ghana	Burkina Faso
	Spain	Russian Federation	Cambodia	Togo
	Czech Republic	Serbia	Senegal	Niger
	Portugal	Mexico	Bangladesh	Yemen
	Slovenia	Montenegro	Tajikistan	
	Hungary	Colombia	Nepal	
	Poland	North Macedonia		
	Latvia	Costa Rica		
	Croatia	Bosnia and Herzegovina		
	Chile	Georgia		
		Armenia		
		Albania		
	All other economies	United Arab Emirates	Romania	Egypt
Lithuania		Argentina	El Salvador	Guinea
Greece		Belarus	Nicaragua	
Slovakia		Panama	Côte d'Ivoire	
Saudi Arabia		Kazakhstan	Lao People's Democratic Republic	
Qatar		Botswana	Honduras	
Kuwait		Dominican Republic	Nigeria	
Uruguay		Paraguay	Algeria	
Bahrain		Azerbaijan	Myanmar	
Oman		Namibia	Zambia	
Brunei Darussalam		Ecuador	Cameroon	
Trinidad and Tobago		Guatemala	Benin	
		Iraq	Angola	
			Mauritania	

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

## Key takeaways

The GII 2022 tracks global innovation trends against the background of an ongoing pandemic, a slowing of productivity growth and other evolving challenges.

### The state of innovation in turbulent times

#### 1. Innovation investments thrived at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic and boomed in 2021, but their continued resilience is uncertain for 2022, as the world meets new challenges

Historic data, plus the global economic recession, would have led one to expect a prompt cutback in research and development (R&D), intellectual property (IP) filings and venture capital in 2020 and 2021. The opposite happened:

- Scientific articles published globally surpassed the 2 million mark for the first time in 2021.
- Investments in global R&D in 2020 grew at a rate of 3.3 percent, not falling, but slowing from the historically high 6.1 percent R&D growth rate recorded in 2019.
- Government budget allocations for the top R&D spending economies showed strong growth in 2020, as governments vigorously sought to mitigate the economic effects of the crisis on the future of innovation. For 2021 R&D budgets, the picture is more varied, with government spending having continued to grow in the Republic of Korea and Germany, but being cut by Japan and the United States.
- In turn, top corporate R&D spenders increased their R&D expenditure by more than 11 percent in 2020, and by almost 10 percent to over USD 900 billion in 2021, which is higher than in 2019 before the pandemic. This increase was primarily driven by four industries: ICT hardware and electrical equipment; Software and ICT services; Pharmaceuticals and biotechnology; and, Construction and industrial metals. Firms that cut R&D in 2020, including in sectors such as Automobiles; Industrial engineering and transportation; and Travel, generally – but not always – returned to R&D growth in 2021.
- IP filing activity grew during the global pandemic in 2020 and in 2021, too. International trademark filings – a good proxy for entrepreneurship – saw particularly strong growth in 2021, up by 15 percent.
- The biggest boom was in venture capital (VC). VC deals grew by 46 percent in 2021, recording levels comparable to the internet boom years of the late 1990s. What is more, VC has become more inclusive, with the Latin America and the Caribbean and Africa regions witnessing the strongest VC growth, albeit from a low base. The VC outlook for 2022 is more sober; tightening monetary policies and the knock-on effect on risk capital will lead to a deceleration in VC.

#### 2. Technological progress, adoption and innovation's socioeconomic impact all show signs of weakness – the future of innovation-driven growth is at stake

- Indicators of *technological progress* in the fields of semiconductor speed, electric battery prices, the cost of renewable energy (with the exception of wind) and drug approvals in the United States – the best proxy to hand – show a slowdown from long-term trends.
- *Technology adoption*, in turn, is progressing, with growth across a variety of technologies analyzed, in particular electric vehicles. However, penetration rates are still medium-to-low, with the exception of mobile broadband, which is now within reach of the vast majority of people worldwide.
- Largely due to COVID-19's short-term influence, the *socioeconomic impact of innovation* seems to be at a low point. All proxies for innovation impact are experiencing a significant slowdown. Today, productivity growth – the metric used by economists to gauge whether living standards can be improved over time – is at its lowest level ever. What has been called the period of Great Stagnation brings into question the ability of innovation to create future growth.
- The thematic focus of this year's 2022 report considers this sober outlook and asks: "What is the future of innovation-driven growth?" and "Who is right?". Is it the innovation pessimists, who argue that low productivity growth is here to stay. According to them, innovations that make a truly transformative impact on productivity – like some of the key inventions of previous centuries such as electricity – are just too difficult to find these days. Or is it the innovation optimists, who predict a new economic and social era; one where a massive new innovation spurt fosters a productivity uplift.

- Taking the view of the optimists, the GII 2022 puts its hopes in two novel innovation waves:
  1. an upcoming **Digital Age innovation wave** built on supercomputing, artificial intelligence and automation that is on the verge of making ample productivity impacts across all sectors – including services – and helping to achieve scientific breakthroughs in basic sciences of all fields; and
  2. a **Deep Science innovation wave** built on breakthroughs in biotechnologies, nanotechnologies, new materials and other sciences that is revolutionizing innovations in four fields of key importance to society: health, food, environment, and mobility.

That said, the positive effects of these two novel waves will take a long time to materialize. Many obstacles, particularly in the area of technology adoption and diffusion, have to be overcome first.

On balance, if the Digital Age and Deep Science innovation waves can be deployed effectively, and if governments address the urgent matters discussed in the GII 2022 Special theme section, then innovation-driven productivity growth and its effect on our well-being will be high.

## Results of the *Global Innovation Index 2022* rankings

### 3. Some key changes in the top 15 GII ranking; China, Türkiye and India consolidate their position as global innovation powerhouses; Indonesia next up?

- Switzerland – for the 12<sup>th</sup> year in a row – ranks first in the GII 2022. The United States climbs to 2<sup>nd</sup> position.
- Then comes Sweden, which is followed, in turn, by the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and the Republic of Korea.
- China moves up to 11<sup>th</sup> place, overtaking France; for now, it firmly remains the only middle-income economy within the GII top 30. No change to China's exceptional position among middle-income economies is currently in sight, unless Türkiye further progresses fast.
- Canada is back among the top 15 global innovators, climbing to 15<sup>th</sup> place.
- South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania (SEAO) is the only region closing the gap on Northern America and Europe. Two SEAO economies are among the top 10 global innovators: the Republic of Korea (6<sup>th</sup>) and Singapore (rising to rank 7<sup>th</sup> place).
- Türkiye (37<sup>th</sup>) and India (40<sup>th</sup>) enter the top 40 for the first time.
- Beyond China and India, Viet Nam (48<sup>th</sup>), the Islamic Republic of Iran (53<sup>rd</sup>) and the Philippines (59<sup>th</sup>) are the middle-income economies with the fastest innovation catch-up to-date, although Viet Nam and the Philippines fell back slightly, underlining the importance of sustaining innovation effort over time. Indonesia (75<sup>th</sup>), in its turn, shows promising innovation potential.
- The top economies within the Northern Africa and Western Asia region are Israel (16<sup>th</sup>), the United Arab Emirates (31<sup>st</sup> and edging closer to the top 30) and Türkiye.
- India, the Islamic Republic of Iran and – for the first time – Uzbekistan (82<sup>nd</sup>) and Pakistan (87<sup>th</sup>) lead the Central and Southern Asia region.
- Chile (50<sup>th</sup>) – the only Latin American country in the top 50 – leads the Latin America and Caribbean region, followed by Brazil (54<sup>th</sup>) – a newcomer to the region's top 3 – then Mexico (58<sup>th</sup>), with Costa Rica dropping out of the top 3 for the region (68<sup>th</sup>). Colombia (63<sup>rd</sup>), Peru (65<sup>th</sup>), Argentina (69<sup>th</sup>) and the Dominican Republic (90<sup>th</sup>) all see substantial rank increases in the GII 2022.
- Mauritius (45<sup>th</sup>) and South Africa (61<sup>st</sup>) lead the Sub-Saharan Africa region, followed by newcomer to the regional top 3 Botswana (86<sup>th</sup>) and then Kenya (88<sup>th</sup>). Beyond Mauritius and Botswana, Ghana (95<sup>th</sup>), Namibia (96<sup>th</sup>), Senegal (99<sup>th</sup>), Zimbabwe (107<sup>th</sup>), Ethiopia (117<sup>th</sup>) and Angola (127<sup>th</sup>) jump forward.

### 4. Several developing economies are performing above expectation on innovation relative to their level of economic development

- In the GII 2022, 26 countries are outperforming on innovation relative to their development, including newcomers Indonesia, Uzbekistan and Pakistan.
- India, Kenya, the Republic of Moldova and Viet Nam hold the record by outperforming for the 12<sup>th</sup> year in a row.
- Of the 26 outperformers on innovation, eight are from Sub-Saharan Africa, with Kenya, Rwanda and Mozambique in the lead.
- In Latin America and the Caribbean, Brazil, Peru and Jamaica are outperforming relative to development.



## 5. China now has the same amount of global top S&T clusters as the United States

- In 2022 – as in previous years – the top 100 science and technology (S&T) clusters are concentrated in three regions – Northern America, Europe and Asia – and in two countries especially: China and the United States.
- Tokyo–Yokohama (Japan) is the top global S&T cluster, followed by Shenzhen–Hong Kong–Guangzhou (China and Hong Kong, China), Beijing (China), Seoul (Republic of Korea) and San Jose–San Francisco (United States).
- Cambridge in the United Kingdom and Eindhoven in the Netherlands/Belgium are found to be the most S&T-intensive clusters. Daejeon (Republic of Korea), San Jose–San Francisco (United States) and Oxford (United Kingdom) follow.
- For the first time, China has as many top 100 S&T clusters as the United States. Germany follows with 10 clusters, headed by Cologne and Munich, and Japan with five clusters, with Tokyo–Yokohama and Osaka–Kobe–Kyoto in the lead.
- São Paulo (Brazil); Bengaluru, Delhi and Mumbai and – new – Chennai (India); Tehran (Islamic Republic of Iran); Istanbul and Ankara (Türkiye); and Moscow (Russian Federation) are the only clusters from middle-income economies beyond China. Ankara and Istanbul (Türkiye) and Mumbai (India) have increased their ranking considerably.
- The GII 2022 also identifies clusters beyond the top 100. Among middle-income economies, Argentina, Egypt, Malaysia, Mexico and Thailand host S&T clusters, respectively, Buenos Aires, Cairo, Kuala Lumpur, Mexico City and Bangkok. Other prominent Latin American urban areas – such as Mexico City, Rio de Janeiro, Porto Alegre and Santiago de Chile – also feature in this extended global S&T clusters top ranking.

# Global Innovation Tracker

What is the global state of innovation? Just how fast is the pace of technological progress and adoption, and what are the related impacts?

This section of the GII provides the most recent insights into these questions supported by the latest innovation data.

# Global Innovation Tracker Dashboard

## Science and innovation investments

	Scientific publications	R&D expenditures			International patent filings	Venture capital deals	Venture capital value
		Total	Business	Top corporate R&D spenders			
Short term	<b>8.3%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>3.3%</b> 2019 → 2020	<b>3.5%</b> 2019 → 2020	<b>9.8%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>0.9%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>46.0%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>125.5%</b> 2020 → 2021
Long term	<b>5.7%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>4.6%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	<b>5.5%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	n.a.	<b>4.3%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>7.3%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>23.6%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)

## Technological progress

	Microchip transistor count	Electric battery price	Costs of renewable energy generation		Drug approvals
			Solar photovoltaic	Wind	
Short term	<b>21.4%</b> 2019 → 2021	<b>-5.7%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>-7.0%</b> 2019 → 2020	<b>-12.5%</b> 2019 → 2020	<b>-5.7%</b> 2020 → 2021
Long term	<b>36.5%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>-17.9%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>-17.3%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	<b>-7.5%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	<b>5.2%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)

## Technology adoption

	Broadband		Robots and automatization	Electric vehicles
	Fixed	Mobile		
Short term	<b>5.7%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>7.6%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>10.4%</b> 2019 → 2020	<b>61.1%</b> 2020 → 2021
Long term	<b>6.9%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>17.3%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>11.0%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	<b>74.0%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)
Penetration	<b>16.7</b> of 100 inhabitants in 2021 (15.8 in 2020)	<b>83.2</b> of 100 inhabitants in 2021 (77.3 in 2020)	n.a.	<b>1.4</b> of 100 cars in 2021 (0.8 in 2020)

## Socioeconomic impact

	Labor productivity	Life expectancy	Carbon dioxide emissions	
	Short term	<b>0.0%</b> 2020 → 2021	<b>-0.02%</b> 2019 → 2020	<b>-5.2%</b> 2019 → 2020
Long term	<b>2.3%</b> 2011 → 2021 (annual growth)	<b>0.3%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	<b>0.4%</b> 2010 → 2020 (annual growth)	

Notes: See the Data notes at the end of this section for a definition of the indicators and their data sources. Long-term annual growth refers to the compound annual growth rate (CAGR) over the indicated period. Historic data may have been updated and can differ from last year's Global Innovation Tracker. Estimates are indicated by \*.

What is the current global state of innovation? Have the combined effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, more recent geopolitical tensions and tighter monetary policies slowed or accelerated investments in innovation? How fast is the pace of technological progress and technology adoption? What are the socioeconomic impacts of scientific progress and innovation?

The Global Innovation Tracker – introduced for the first time in the *Global Innovation Index (GII)* last year – addresses these questions and offers an insight into the global state of innovation.<sup>1</sup> It captures key innovation trends within four broad stages of the innovation journey: science and innovation investments; technological progress; technology adoption; and the socioeconomic impact of innovation.

The main findings this year are as follows:

1. Contrary to what historic evidence would suggest, *science and innovation investments* were thriving at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic and boomed in 2021, but their continued resilience is uncertain for 2022 in the face of new challenges.
2. The indicators of *technological progress* in the fields of semiconductor speeds, electric battery prices, the cost of renewable energy (with the exception of wind) and drug approvals show a significant slowdown from long-term trends, and even a decline in the case of drug approvals.
3. *Technology adoption* is progressing, with positive growth rates across technologies measured by the Global Innovation Tracker, and in particular for electric vehicles. However, penetration rates are still medium to low, with the exception of mobile broadband, which reaches the vast majority of the global population.
4. Largely due to the short-term influences of the COVID-19 pandemic, the *socioeconomic impact* of innovation seems to be at a low point, with labor productivity and life expectancy experiencing a significant slowdown if not coming to a complete standstill, and in the case of carbon dioxide emissions, failing to show ongoing reductions in pollution.

## Science and innovation investments

**Contrary to what historic evidence would suggest, *science and innovation investments* were thriving at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic and boomed in 2021, but their continued resilience is uncertain for 2022 in the face of new challenges.**

Global output first declined by 3.1 percent in 2020, recovered strongly by an estimated 6.1 percent in 2021 and is expected to contract again to a projected 3.2 percent growth in 2022 due to geopolitical turmoil, supply chain disruptions and other challenges.<sup>2</sup>

Global output and investments in research and development (R&D) tend to experience booms and busts simultaneously. Historic data, viewed in isolation, would have led us to expect a prompt cutback in science and innovation investments, intellectual property filings and venture capital in 2020 and 2021.

However, the economic developments seen between 2020 and 2022 cannot be viewed in the context of a “business as usual” cycle. Rather, two external shocks of historic proportions have taken place: a global pandemic leading to a prolonged, worldwide economic standstill and then, just as the recovery was strongly underway in 2021, the conflict in Ukraine, which has had significant global economic impacts.

Nevertheless, the key indicators of global science and innovation investments – scientific publications, R&D expenditures, international patent filings and venture capital deals – remained strong in 2020 and in 2021. In particular, venture capital has boomed, albeit to different degrees according to country and sector.

Early indications in 2022, however, point to possible challenges to come. While innovation was resilient in 2020 and flourishing in 2021, in line with the global recovery, the second external shock coming so soon afterwards, together constituting a real double-whammy, might be more complicated to overcome.

## Scientific publications


The number of scientific articles published worldwide continued to grow steadily throughout the height of the pandemic and during 2021, surpassing the 2 million mark for the first time in 2021, representing a year-on-year growth rate of 8.3 percent (see Dashboard). This growth rate is notably higher than its long-term trend of 5.7 percent growth, indicating that scientific research is at its most vibrant.

Research priorities have further shifted to public, environmental and occupational health, with record growth of 19.9 percent in 2021, digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence, which have consistently achieved double-digit growth since 2018 (+21.2 percent in 2021), and environmental topics.

## R&D expenditures

The year 2020 was an exceptional one for R&D investments. Specifically, investments in global R&D in 2020 have continued to grow at a rate of 3.3 percent, down from 6.1 percent in 2019. Business R&D expenditures – the most significant component of total global R&D – grew by 3.5 percent in 2020, down from 6.6 percent in 2019 (Figure 1).

**Figure 1 The usual correlation of R&D and GDP growth, 2000–2023**



Source: WIPO estimates, based on the UNESCO Institute for Statistics database, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Main Science and Technology Indicators (March 2022), Eurostat, Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) and the International Monetary Fund's World Economic Outlook Update, July 2022.

Three out of the top five R&D spending economies in 2020 experienced significant R&D growth: the United States (+5 percent), followed by China (+9.6 percent), Japan (-2.7 percent), Germany (-5.3 percent) and the Republic of Korea (+3.2 percent), in order of the overall R&D budgets.

Apart from China, Türkiye is the only other middle-income economy that registered growth in total R&D and business R&D in 2020, with increases of 4.2 and 5.2 percent, respectively. Other middle-income economies for which data are available that increased their total R&D in 2020 include Armenia (8.5 percent), Azerbaijan (7.3 percent), Kazakhstan (3.8 percent), Indonesia (1.4 percent) and Serbia (1.2 percent).

However, 2020 data are still unavailable for some of the larger R&D spenders among the middle-income economies, such as Brazil, India, Malaysia, South Africa and Viet Nam.

The effects of the pandemic and other turmoil on the R&D budgets of low- and middle-income economies are currently largely unknown. Global R&D totals are certainly heavily influenced

by the spending of the top R&D nations, such as the United States and China, possibly masking country-specific R&D cuts. Without these two major players, total global R&D would have fallen by -0.6 percent (down from 3.3 percent) in 2020 and business R&D to -1.6 percent (down from 3.5 percent) – see the dotted lines in Figure 1 – further underlining the vital role played by China and the United States – and also, of course, other major economies, such as Germany, Japan and the Republic of Korea – in global R&D.


Official R&D data for the full 2021 calendar year will only be available by the first half of 2023 and it will be presented in the next edition of the GII, with full data on R&D in 2022 available in 2024.

To get a sense of what to expect for 2021 and 2022, one can look, first, at governments’ planned R&D budgets and, second, at company data on yearly and quarterly R&D expenditures for 2021 and early 2022. These are imperfect proxies but they are the best available.

Supporting the overall global R&D increase mentioned above, government budget allocations for the top R&D spending economies showed continued, and sometimes strong, growth in 2020, with growth strongest in Hungary (+100 percent), Japan (+65 percent), Australia (+25 percent), Republic of Korea (+22 percent) and overall growth throughout, with the exception of Türkiye and Colombia (see Figure 2).<sup>3</sup>

For those economies that have already disclosed their planned 2021 R&D budgets, the picture is less clear (see Figure 2), with spending continuing to grow for the Republic of Korea (+15 percent), and Germany (+6 percent) – among the top spenders – and the Netherlands, Austria and Mexico among the smaller R&D spenders. However, not only Japan (-7 percent) and the United States (-3 percent) – two of the top five global major R&D spenders – but also Australia and Norway see declines, albeit smaller than the planned increases of 2020, indicating a positive overall level for 2021 relative to 2019.

**Figure 2 Government budget allocations for R&D, 2019, 2020 and 2021**



Source: WIPO, based on joint OECD–Eurostat data collection on resources devoted to R&D, July 2022.

Notes: Figures are in current US dollars purchasing power parity (PPP). The 2020 figure may differ slightly from that in the GII 2021 Tracker as it has been updated to include additional countries as more data became available. Note that these data are not available for China.

Government R&D expenditures have therefore mainly expanded in 2020, possibly to counteract anticipated business R&D busts, which, in the end, never happened. The year 2021, in turn, should see a slowdown in government R&D budget growth but WIPO estimates still indicate positive growth, although this prediction is made on the basis of highly incomplete data.

Again, the interesting question is really how the R&D budgets of emerging R&D countries have fared, and whether a positive trajectory that started in the 2010s might have come to a halt due to the pandemic, including in African and Latin America.

On the corporate side, R&D investment data are available for around 1,700 of the top 2,500 largest corporate R&D spenders worldwide.<sup>4</sup>

Overall, this sample of top corporate R&D spenders increased their R&D expenditures by around 10 percent to over USD 900 billion in 2021 (see Table 1), which is slightly higher growth than the year before the pandemic (2019), and just over 1 percentage point lower than growth in 2020.<sup>5</sup> For these firms, revenues decreased by 0.5 percent in 2020 and then rebounded by 17.7 percent in 2021.


**Table 1 R&D and revenue growth of the top global corporate R&D spenders, 2018–2021**

Year	R&D		Revenue		R&D intensity	
	Billions USD	Growth (%)	Billions USD	Growth (%)	Ratio	Growth (%)
2018	675		15,947		0.042	
2019	739	9.4	16,297	2.2	0.045	7.1
2020	823	11	16,222	-0.5	0.051	11.8
2021	903	9.8	19,086	17.7	0.047	-6.7

Source: WIPO, based on Bureau van Dijk (BvD) Orbis database.

However, these figures mask large differences at industry level. Figure 3 presents annual R&D expenditures, revenues and R&D intensities for the seven industries with the greatest cumulative R&D investment in 2021. Similar to last year the increase in R&D expenditures between 2018 and 2021 – shown in Table 1 – is primarily driven by four industries: namely, ICT hardware and electrical equipment; pharmaceuticals and biotechnology; software and ICT services; and construction and industrial metals.<sup>6</sup> These industries also experienced an increase in revenues, causing their R&D intensities to remain relatively unchanged.

**Figure 3 R&D expenditure and revenue totals of top global corporate R&D spenders, by industry and year, 2018–2021**




Source: WIPO, based on BvD Orbis database.

With respect to the share of firms experiencing R&D expenditure increases, all industries rebounded to near pre-pandemic levels, as shown in Figure 4.

All R&D expenditure curves display a characteristic “V” shape – a fall of R&D growth in 2020 and a strong rebound in 2021, with automobiles, industrial engineering and transportation, and travel, leisure and personal goods experiencing “deep-V” patterns. Sectors that were severely depressed in 2020 rebounded strongly again, with the share of automotive firms that increased their R&D rising from 31 to 77 percent, the travel, leisure and personal goods industry going up from 41 to 70 percent, and those firms which were leading in the fields of ICT hardware and electrical equipment and pharmaceuticals and biotechnology last year rising further from already high levels. However, separate calculations show that only software and ICT services saw an increase in their share of firms with R&D intensity growth.

**Figure 4** Share of top corporate R&D spenders reporting R&D expenditure increases, 2019–2021



Source: WIPO, based on BvD Orbis database.

The differential impact of the pandemic is also evident in the R&D performance of individual companies. Figure 5 presents the percentage change in R&D expenditure for the top 15 firms within the top seven industries and “Other” with data available. The solid black vertical lines indicate the annual mean by industry.

Generally, companies which stood to gain from pandemic-induced shifts in demand increased their R&D efforts in 2021. These include semiconductor chip makers, such as Nvidia, Qualcomm, SK Hynix and Intel, internet companies, such as Facebook, Baidu, Salesforce and Netflix, and many of the large pharmaceutical companies with successful COVID-19 vaccines, such as AstraZeneca, Pfizer and Johnson & Johnson. Notably, within the construction and industrial metals industry, the majority of the top 15 firms are Chinese, suggesting that the development of capital-intensive projects was largely unaffected by the pandemic within China.

The differences within sectors are intriguing and worthy of further study, such as the R&D spending surges of BMW while Mercedes (Daimler) saw hefty R&D cutbacks.

In contrast, those companies whose business models rely on in-person activities or travel decreased their expenditures, including Airbnb, Airbus, Boeing, Uber and many automobile manufacturers.

The data shown in Figure 5 are heavily biased toward top R&D performers – the “R&D superfirms.” A fuller assessment of corporate R&D performance in light of the crisis will have to wait for more data to become available, including that from small and medium-sized enterprises that may have experienced harsher conditions for innovation finance in 2020 and 2021.

### Intellectual property filings

During previous crises, international patent filings – so-called filings via the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) of WIPO – declined in line, to varying degrees, with economic output.<sup>7</sup> Organization-wide budget cuts, specific pressure on corporate intellectual property (IP) budgets, curtailed innovation financing and subdued startup activity were the main transmission channels through which reduced output impacted IP filings in the past.<sup>8</sup>

In contrast, IP filing activity, including patents, trademarks and designs filed at the international level, has increased during the global pandemic, in spite of the 2020 recession.<sup>9</sup> In terms of patents, the 2020 crisis saw declines, albeit more muted than in the wake of the crisis in the early 2000s (the dot-com bubble) and the Great Recession of the late 2000s, during which international patent filings actually declined.<sup>10</sup> International patent filings grew by 0.9 percent in 2021, reaching about 278 million international patent filings and setting a new record, but still down from the 3.6 percent growth in 2020, as detailed in WIPO’s *Patent Cooperation Treaty Yearly Review*.<sup>11</sup> There was a marked slowdown in growth from China – the largest origin of international patent filings. However, this was unrelated to the crisis; rather, the Chinese Government phased out patent filing subsidies during the course of 2021.<sup>12</sup>

Following the 2020 trend, health-related technologies continued to register the fastest growth among all fields of technology.<sup>13</sup>



**Figure 5 Corporate R&D expenditure, selected top R&D spenders worldwide, annual R&D expenditure, 2020 vs 2021**



Source: WIPO, based on BvD Orbis database.

Interestingly, trademarks – a good proxy for the introduction of new goods and services in the market as well as the creation of new companies – saw spectacular growth in 2021, by close to 15 percent. In the three most recent crises, there was a sharp initial decline in international trademark applications. However, the COVID-19 crisis stands out in showing the shallowest decline, followed by an extraordinary boom in applications about a year into the crisis. Analysis of keywords listed in the description of trademark applications suggests that the fast growth was driven, in particular, by new goods and services that rely on digital business models, fostered by the pandemic's disruptions and the accelerated adoption of digital technologies.<sup>14</sup>

### **Venture capital**

Financing innovation in times of economic crisis typically becomes more challenging during economic recessions.<sup>15</sup> In past economic crises – especially those resulting from imbalances in the financial system – VC deals and investment values turned sharply negative at the outset of a crisis, only to recover with an improving business cycle.

However, this crisis was different for VC too. Within a few months, a historic boom in VC deals had begun. The number of VC deals grew by 8.5 percent in 2020 (deal values by 15.3 percent), exceeding (on par with) the indicator's 10-year average growth rate of 3.6 and 15.6 percent respectively.

This trend continued into 2021. The number of VC deals grew by a further 46 percent in 2021 – reaching almost 20,000 deals worldwide, with around 4,800 deals sealed per quarter – and the deal values increased by 126 percent – to total USD 618 billion (see Figure 6), also exceeding the indicator's 10-year average growth rate of 7.3 and 23.6 percent respectively.

In 2021, VC deals showed strong growth in all regions of the world. Latin America and the Caribbean (+98.7 percent) and Africa (+75.4 percent) witnessed the strongest growth, albeit from a low starting point, both reaching around 300 deals in 2021. The last time that the Asia Pacific region (+67.3 percent), Europe (+53.4 percent) and Northern America (+28.3 percent) experienced growth as high as that recorded in 2021 was over 15 years ago.

For every dollar invested in a VC deal in 2021, half (51 cents) went to North American companies, 32 cents to Asia Pacific, 14 cents to Europe and 3 cents to Latin America and the Caribbean. In 2021, VC investments more than quadrupled in Africa and Latin America to USD 3 billion and USD 16 billion, respectively. Europe, the Asia Pacific region and Northern America also received more than double the amount of the previous year.


Financial services dominate Latin America's startup scene and this is clearly reflected in the top 10 most valued VC deals in the region (which received USD 4 billion of the USD 15.7 billion). Five of the top 10 deals were sealed by fintech companies, such as Nubank, which now has more customers than any other standalone digital bank in the world. Another four were startups in online platforms. Kavak (Mexico's first unicorn), for example, provides digital solutions to the often hazardous experience of buying a used car and Brazil-based Quinto Andar is making it simpler to rent a flat by eliminating the need for brokers and offering its own insurance.<sup>16</sup>

Seven of the top 10 most valued VC deals in Africa were in financial services. South Africa and Egypt both had three deals each in the top 10. WIOCC, a Mauritius-based company, received USD 200 million of venture capital that will be used to enhance Africa's digital infrastructure by expanding connectivity and open access data centers. South African Yoco Technologies received USD 83 million in 2021 and offers simple card machines and online payment tools to avoid the difficulties that entrepreneurs often face in accessing payment tools.

The outlook at the start of 2022 was much more somber. In contrast to the impressive quarterly year-on-year growth seen in VC deals between Q1 2020 and Q1 2021 (+47.4 percent), growth in the first quarter of 2022 was notably less strong; +13.2 percent on Q1 2021. Nevertheless, Africa still saw the strongest growth in Q1 2022 (+43.5 percent, relative to Q1 2021).

In addition, more anecdotal evidence in the second quarter of 2022 – also triggered by tightening monetary policies with a knock-on effect on risk capital – indicates a sharp deceleration or decline in VC deals in the months ahead.

**Figure 6 Value of VC deals by region, three-point moving average, 1997–2022 (top), and growth in value of VC deals, by region, 2020–2022 (bottom)**



Source: WIPO, based on data by Refinitiv Eikon (private equity screener), accessed May 27, 2022.

Notes: Africa and Latin America and the Caribbean are subject to high volatility due to low volume numbers.

## Technological progress

**The indicators of technological progress in the fields of semiconductor speeds, electric battery prices, the cost of renewable energy and drug approvals show a significant slowdown from long-term trends, and even a decline in the case of drug approvals.**

The spurts in science and innovation investments described earlier in the period 2020 to 2022 are badly needed to revive technological progress, which – according to the indicators included in the Global Innovation Tracker – is currently slowing down, although sometimes from high initial levels. Moore’s law no longer applies and both electric battery prices and the cost of solar photovoltaic energy generation have declined less than the historic trends might have suggested. The exception is the cost of wind power, which has declined faster in 2020 than the longer-term trend of the past 10 years.

### Microchip transistor count


Moore's law famously predicted that the speed and capability of our personal computers (measured by the number of transistors on microchips) would double every two years. This prediction has proved roughly true since the 1970s but does it still hold? Over the past 10 years, technological progress has slowed somewhat and the latest 2019 to 2021 trend suggests even slower progress: the transistor count of our personal computers increased by 21.4 percent over this period, which implies a count that is doubling only every four years. While short-term transistor count data are volatile, it seems likely that advances in microchip technology are no longer occurring at the pace implied by Moore's law. Other factors, such as more efficient programming languages, can continue to increase capacity, but these may start to selectively target specific problems and business opportunities, and not have the same effect of "lifting all boats" as the cumulative potency of Moore's law did.<sup>17</sup>

### Electric battery price

Electric vehicles (EVs) are generally still more expensive than petrol and diesel vehicles due to their use of expensive lithium-ion batteries. Thankfully, the price decline for electric batteries has typically been by double-digit percentages over the past decade (a 17.9 percent decline on average per year, see Dashboard and Figure 7), supporting the continued electrification of transport and other sectors. Over the past decade, battery prices have fallen from USD 946 per kilowatt-hour (kWh) to just USD 132 per kWh in 2021. However, the electric battery price decline has slowed down from a 13 percent reduction in 2020 to a 5.7 percent reduction in 2021. This was due to a rise in the cost of raw materials used in the cathode – lithium, cobalt and nickel – putting such pressure on the industry that the Chinese battery manufacturer BYD announced a 20 percent increase in its battery prices in November 2021.<sup>18</sup> Despite the cost increase, the current volatility of gasoline and diesel prices have kept up demand for EVs thus far in 2022.<sup>19</sup>

Worse still, the effects of these major price increases for lithium will only be felt by many car manufacturers in the first quarter of 2022, as contracts for battery orders are increasingly linked to three-month trailing commodity prices. The realization may be dawning that electric battery prices may not necessarily continue to fall as rapidly each year in the near future. This will have impacts beyond just the EV market as it also affects the electrification of other transport means (planes, buses, and so on) as well as smartphones and computers.

**Figure 7** Electric battery price and electric vehicle stock share, 2010–2021



Sources: WIPO, based on 2021 *Lithium-Ion Battery Price Survey*, BloombergNEF and *Global EV Outlook 2021*, International Energy Agency.

### Costs of renewable energy

Even though technological progress continues to drive down the costs of renewable energy, in the case of solar photovoltaic energy, costs fell by only 7 percent between 2019 and 2020, the lowest drop in the past decade. This decline is far below the 10-year average rate of decline of 17.3 percent per year, indicating a declining cost reduction potential. In the case of wind energy, the opposite holds: costs fell by 12.5 percent between 2019 and 2020, a decrease that is higher than the 10-year average rate of 7.5 percent.

Renewable energy sources are about to go through testing times, in an environment of new energy security worries. Pressure to secure greater energy independence has led to new investment in oil and gas – and the reaffirmation of nuclear energy – but further progress in renewables will be key to sustaining price declines and innovation in the field of renewable energies in the future.

### **Drug approvals**

Drug approvals are an imperfect proxy for technological progress in healthcare in the GII Global Innovation Tracker and the data used are not readily available internationally.

The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved 50 new drugs and biologics products in 2021. This number is slightly below the 53 approvals recorded in 2020 and 59 approvals in 2018. However, the long-term trend is still positive, with average annual growth of 5.2 percent since 2011. Note that these figures do not include vaccines, which fall under a different FDA approval track.<sup>20</sup> Given the contribution made by the COVID-19 vaccines to public health, they therefore understate the recent technological health-related progress achieved.

Much has been written and said about the potential of new platform technologies – such as the mRNA and CRISPR tools – to foster the development of new vaccines and treatments for both old and new diseases, and possibly to trigger a new health-related innovation wave (see the [Special theme section](#)).<sup>21</sup> However, even if these technologies can accelerate R&D cycles in the future, it will still take years for new drugs and treatments to receive regulatory approval.

## **Technology adoption**

***Technology adoption is progressing, with positive growth rates across technologies measured by the Global Innovation Tracker, and in particular for electric vehicles. However, penetration rates are still medium to low, with the exception of mobile broadband, which reaches the vast majority of the global population.***

The real impact of advances in science and technological progress is heavily dependent on the extent to which society accepts, integrates and adopts new technology. However, as set out below and in this year's [Special theme section](#), it is not unusual for inventions deployed in the marketplace as innovations to take decades before they are widely adopted; and most never make it. Even if all our technology adoption indicators demonstrate healthy and even strong year-on-year growth, they are sometimes marginally slower than the long-term trend. For broadband, this is admittedly due to the already high penetration rates, while the growth rate of EVs is based on much lower absolute levels. Achieving higher levels of penetration is a challenge for all technologies, the exception being mobile broadband, which already has impressive world penetration rates.

### **Broadband penetration**

Both fixed and (active) mobile broadband subscriptions showed positive growth in 2021 compared to 2020; +5.7 and +7.6 percent, respectively, with both growth rates below their 10-year averages. As of today, 17 out of every 100 inhabitants are connected to fixed broadband, compared to 9 out of every 100 inhabitants in 2011. Even though year-on-year mobile broadband growth picked up pace again in 2021 (+7.6 percent), adoption was surprisingly sluggish during the three years prior to that, hinting at saturation, admittedly at high levels of penetration. In turn, despite double-digit growth in many low-income economies, fixed broadband remains accessible only to very few, with a penetration rate of just 1.4 subscriptions per 100 inhabitants.<sup>22</sup> This means that a non-negligible share of the world's population still does not have internet access, and certainly not the fast, more stable fixed broadband necessary for those applications and activities for which mobile broadband speeds are not sufficient. Overall, however, the speed and efficacy of internet and broadband deployment around the world is one of the most successful in the history of all technologies.

### **Robots and automatization**


The stock of industrial robots deployed worldwide reached the 3 million mark in 2020 (see Figure 8), up from 1 million in 2010 and 0.8 million in 2000. This represents a 10.4 percent increase compared to 2019 and is similar to the average annual growth rate of 11 percent since 2010. The five major markets for industrial robots are China (accounting for 44 percent of new installations), Japan (10 percent), the Republic of Korea (8 percent), the United States (8 percent) and Germany

(6 percent), and they all experienced strong growth. Together, these countries account for three-quarters of new robot installations worldwide.

Since there is no obvious saturation level, it is hard to tell how widely deployed robots are, but experts point to significant deployment potential ahead.<sup>23</sup>

Today, overall automatization is still relatively low in less technology-driven sectors and in middle- and low-income economies (with the exception of China). This holds true not only for physical automatization via physical robots, but also for automatization via soft robots, such as artificial intelligence (AI).

**Figure 8 Stock of industrial robots and year-on-year growth rate (%), 2000–2020**



Source: WIPO, based on data from the World Robotics Industrial Robots and Service Robots Database of the International Federation of Robotics.

Notes: The stock is computed on the assumption of a 12-year service life. Installations are based on the shipment data of robot producers. Cyan + purple represent the total number of operational robots. Purple represents the share of robots that were newly installed in a given year.

### Electric vehicles

Over 16.5 million electric cars were on the world's roads by the end of 2021, representing a tripling of the number in just three years.

Europe overtook China as the world's largest EV market for the first time in 2020, in terms of the absolute number of car registrations – 1.4 million and 1.2 million, respectively. However, China considerably outpaced Europe once again in 2021; more electric cars were sold in China (3.3 million) than anywhere else in the world combined.

While overall car sales experienced a pandemic-related worldwide downturn in 2020, (new) electric car registrations saw growth of 41 percent in 2020 and registrations continued to rise, increasing by 120 percent to 6.6 million in 2021. This was largely encouraged by the COVID-19 stimulus measures with respect to EVs introduced by many European governments, as well as policy targets that limit the average CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per kilometer driven for new cars.<sup>24</sup> Additional factors contributing to EVs' resilience are higher fuel prices, the growing variety of EV models, their ability to cover longer distances and the continuing (though now slowing) decline in battery prices (see Dashboard).

Despite the rapid growth of worldwide EV stock over the past decade (+74 percent), this still represents a very small fraction of all cars (1.4 percent). The Nordic countries lead on EV penetration – Norway (25.3 percent), Iceland (9.9 percent), Sweden (6 percent) and Denmark (5.2 percent) – while EV penetration is still below 0.1 percent in Brazil, Chile, India and Mexico.

## Socioeconomic impact

**Largely due to the short-term influences of the COVID-19 pandemic, the *socioeconomic impact* of innovation seems to be at a low point, with labor productivity and life expectancy experiencing a significant slowdown if not coming to a standstill and, in the case of carbon emissions, failing to show ongoing reductions in pollution.**

Historically, technological progress has had a positive impact on people's daily lives, in terms of increased living standards, better health outcomes and sustained economic growth. What good are science and innovation investments, innovation progress or technology adoption if no impact is felt in economic terms (i.e., productivity), well-being measured in gross domestic product (GDP) per capita increases or broader welfare benefits, such as a healthy, long-living population or a healthy planet.

In 2022, this is the most pessimistic part of the Global Innovation Tracker, mirroring the findings of the GII 2022 [Special theme](#) section. Broadly, 2020 and/or 2021 and the previous years saw stagnation in the chosen track metrics: labor productivity (the prime metric for understanding the impact of technology on the efficacy of our production systems), carbon dioxide emissions (one measure of how well we are managing to avert the looming climate catastrophe) and life expectancy (a measure of how the health and life of people is improving on the ground).

### Labor productivity

Economists and policymakers around the world have been worrying for decades about low productivity growth and how to turn this around using innovation – the theme of the GII 2022 “What is the future of innovation-driven growth?”.

Interestingly, the year 2020 saw a rapid increase in global labor productivity growth (4.5 percent) – particularly notable in contrast to the previous stagnation of productivity experienced since the 1970s in most advanced nations.

Hopes for a productivity revival were dashed again when output per hour worked stagnated in 2021 (0 percent growth, which is the lowest growth seen in at least the last 15 years in comparison to the 2.3 percent average annual growth that occurred over the past decade). As containment measures were relaxed, employment returned to pre-pandemic patterns and reallocation effects dampened aggregate productivity growth (read the full story in the [Special theme](#) section).<sup>25</sup> Forecasts for 2022 expect continued stagnation, also due to increased input costs caused by factors such as energy and supply chain disruptions resulting from the Russian Federation–Ukraine conflict (see Figure 19 in the Special theme section).

### Life expectancy

Life expectancy has seen a considerable increase over the long term, rising to 72.7 years in 2020, up from 52.6 years in 1960.<sup>26</sup> Scientific advances have promoted effective treatments against a wide range of diseases. However, in 2020 life expectancy was marginally down from 2019 figures (declining by 0.02 percent), representing the first fall in life expectancy in modern history. This, probably temporary, decline reflects the increase in mortality due to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, but there is also a more systemic, gradual slowdown in the average annual life expectancy growth rate over the past six decades: 1960s – annual average growth rate of 1.1 percent; 1970s – 0.7 percent; 1980s – 0.4 percent; 1990s – 0.3 percent; 2000s – 0.4 percent; and 2010s – 0.3 percent. High-income countries – which tend to have older populations – experienced the largest decline (–0.8 percent) but still have the longest life expectancy at 80.2 years. Other income groups all experienced slight growth in 2020: upper middle-income (+0.05 percent, 76 years), lower middle-income (+0.3 percent, 69.3 years) and low-income (+0.5 percent, 64.1 years) – although their short-term growth has been below their long-term growth trends, at least since 2014.

### Carbon dioxide emissions

Similar to life expectancy, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions saw a deviation from the long-term trend. They declined by 5.2 percent in 2020, as governments' containment measures to combat the pandemic slowed the social and economic activities responsible for these emissions. Those activities rebounded in 2021 and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are estimated to have risen again by 4.9 percent in 2021, casting doubt on the proposition that 2019 could have been a tipping point in global fossil-fuel emissions.<sup>27</sup> Comparing the first five months of 2022 to those of 2021, the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions again appears more modest, with 1.1 percent growth, but data are subject to updates and should therefore be carefully monitored.<sup>28</sup>

There is much uncertainty concerning how emissions will evolve in the coming years. The long-term decline of fossil energy may only begin once non-fossil energy sources can supply the entirety of new energy demand. While technological progress (observed as reductions in the cost of renewable energy) and the recent increase in the price of fossil fuels will, in principle, favor investments in renewable energy, certain economies seeking energy independence are planning to increase their reliance on fossil fuels, at least temporarily.

## Conclusion

The GII's Global Innovation Tracker provides a data-driven perspective on the latest innovation trends. It offers the following insights:

- Overall, investments in science and innovation have been remarkably resilient in the face of the economic downturn.
- Nonetheless, the global pandemic has left its mark on the global innovation landscape. Until science and innovation investment data for a broader set of firms and countries are available, it is impossible to assess whether or not the pandemic has ultimately negatively impacted those firms and economies which are not already the leading R&D superfirms and the leading innovation nations.
- Technological progress at the frontier and technology adoption hold substantial promise. However, the data also show that, certain advances and top performances aside (such as mobile broadband penetration), some progress is faltering – for example, Moore's law no longer holding true and penetration rates remaining relatively low.
- The socioeconomic impact of innovation is currently, judging by the metrics employed here, at a historic low, also, in part, reflecting the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic. It will be important to follow how its impact will evolve as the world transitions out of this crisis.

## Notes

- 1 Relative to the first edition of the Global Innovation Tracker in 2021, the theme of *technology adoption* – comprising broadband, robots and electrical vehicle penetration – has been added, as has a proxy for electric battery price to the *technological progress* section.
- 2 IMF, 2022.
- 3 Government R&D budget indicators for the OECD area present the amounts that governments agree to allocate to R&D as part of their budgetary processes, rather than actual expenditure reported by R&D performers.
- 4 Using the top spenders compiled in the European Commission's 2021 EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard as a starting point and WIPO's own calculations facilitated by the Bureau van Dijk (BvD) Orbis database. See Grassano *et al.* (2021) for the scoreboard information.
- 5 See also the OECD Short-term Financial Tracker of Business R&D (SwiFTBeRD), which shows positive real annual growth in the order of 7 percent in 2021.
- 6 See the Global Innovation Tracker 2021: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_2000-section2.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_2000-section2.pdf).
- 7 WIPO, 2022; Fink *et al.*, 2022.
- 8 WIPO, 2010; WIPO, 2011.
- 9 "Innovative Activity Overcomes Pandemic Disruption – WIPO's Global Intellectual Property Filing Services Reach Record Levels", Geneva, February 10, 2022, PR/2022/886, [www.wipo.int/pressroom/en/articles/2022/article\\_0002.html](http://www.wipo.int/pressroom/en/articles/2022/article_0002.html).
- 10 WIPO, 2022.
- 11 WIPO, 2021; WIPO, 2022.
- 12 For further details see [https://english.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2021/5/20/art\\_1340\\_159520.html](https://english.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2021/5/20/art_1340_159520.html).
- 13 See the Global Innovation Tracker 2021: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_2000-section2.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_2000-section2.pdf).
- 14 Fink *et al.*, 2022.
- 15 See the GII 2020 Special theme: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2020-chapter3.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2020-chapter3.pdf).
- 16 *Financial Times*, 2021.
- 17 Rotman, 2020.
- 18 See [www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-11-30/battery-price-declines-slow-down-in-latest-pricing-survey](http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-11-30/battery-price-declines-slow-down-in-latest-pricing-survey).
- 19 See [www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/soaring-battery-costs-fail-cool-electric-vehicle-sales-2022-04-19](http://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/soaring-battery-costs-fail-cool-electric-vehicle-sales-2022-04-19).
- 20 Two COVID-19 vaccines have been approved by the FDA so far: Comirnaty, developed by BioNTech and Pfizer, and Spikevax, developed by Moderna.
- 21 See also [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2019-chapter1b.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2019-chapter1b.pdf).
- 22 ITU, 2021.
- 23 Müller, 2021.
- 24 See [www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2021/trends-and-developments-in-electric-vehicle-markets](http://www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2021/trends-and-developments-in-electric-vehicle-markets).
- 25 Other measures of productivity, notably total factor productivity, show similar long-term declines, especially in developed economies (Moss *et al.*, 2020).
- 26 Dutta *et al.*, 2019.
- 27 Davis *et al.*, 2022.
- 28 Carbon Monitor, <https://carbonmonitor.org>, accessed June 1, 2022.



## Data notes

**Scientific publications** captures the number of peer-reviewed articles published in the Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) and Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE). Source: Web of Science (Clarivate), <https://apps.webofknowledge.com>.

**R&D expenditures** captures R&D expenditures worldwide in PPP-adjusted constant 2015 prices. The 2020 values were calculated using available real data of gross expenditure on R&D (GERD) and business enterprise expenditure on R&D (BERD) at the country level from the UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database, the OECD's Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database (March 2022 update), Eurostat and the Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT). For those countries for which data were not available for 2020, the 2020 data were estimated using the last observation carried forward (LOCF) method. The R&D section also includes data on government budget allocations for R&D for 2019, 2020 and 2021 sourced from the joint OECD–Eurostat data collection on resources devoted to R&D, July 2022, with figures in current US dollars. Data for the top global R&D spenders, in turn, are derived using the top spenders compiled in the European Commission's 2021 EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard as a starting point and WIPO calculations facilitated by the Bureau van Dijk (BvD) Orbis database, with all figures in current US dollars.

**International patent filings** refers to the total number of patent applications filed through the WIPO-administered Patent Cooperation Treaty. Source: WIPO IP Statistics Data Center, <https://www3.wipo.int/ipstats>.

**Venture capital.** VC deals refers to the absolute number of VC deals received by companies located in the region. VC value refers to the total amount of current US dollars invested – via venture capital – into companies located in the region. Source: Refinitiv Eikon data on private equity and venture capital, [www.refinitiv.com/en/products/eikon-trading-software/private-equity-data](http://www.refinitiv.com/en/products/eikon-trading-software/private-equity-data).

**Microchip transistor count** refers to the number of transistors on the most advanced commercially available microchips in a given year. Source: Karl Rupp, <https://github.com/karlrupp/microprocessor-trend-data>.

**Electric battery price** refers to the average lithium-ion battery price (in 2021 US dollars, including the cell, module and pack), weighted by power capacity (MWh), across all sectors. Source: *2021 Lithium-Ion Battery Price Survey*, BloombergNEF (BNEF). BNEF is a strategic research provider covering global commodity markets and the disruptive technologies driving the transition to a low-carbon economy. <https://about.bnef.com>.

**Costs of renewable energy** captures the global weighted average levelized cost of electricity generation of solar photovoltaics and onshore wind. Source: International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), [www.irena.org/publications/2021/Jun/Renewable-Power-Costs-in-2020](http://www.irena.org/publications/2021/Jun/Renewable-Power-Costs-in-2020).

**Drug approvals** refers to the number of new drugs approved by the US Federal Drug Administration (FDA). The data include both small molecule drugs and biologics. Source: FDA, [www.fda.gov/media/135307/download](http://www.fda.gov/media/135307/download).

**Broadband penetration** is equivalent to the number of fixed and (active) mobile broadband subscriptions, respectively, per 100 inhabitants. Source: International Telecommunication Union (ITU) World Telecommunication/ICT Indicators database, [www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/facts](http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/facts).

**Robots** measures the number of robots currently deployed in industrial automation applications (also known as the operational stock of industrial robots). The stock is calculated assuming an average service life of 12 years with immediate withdrawal from service at the end of this period. Source: International Federation of Robotics (IFR), <https://ifr.org/ifr-press-releases/news/robot-sales-rise-again>.

**Electric vehicles stock share** is the percentage of passenger cars worldwide that are battery electric vehicles (BEVs) or plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs). Source: International Energy Agency (IEA), [www.iea.org/articles/global-ev-data-explorer](http://www.iea.org/articles/global-ev-data-explorer).

**Labor productivity** refers to the world total of output per hour worked, as estimated by The Conference Board. Source: The Conference Board Total Economy Database™, <https://conference-board.org/data/economydatabase>.

**Life expectancy** refers to the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns of mortality at the time of its birth were to stay the same throughout its life. Source: World Development Indicators, <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>.

**Carbon dioxide emissions** refers to fossil emissions, excluding carbonation, for the world, measured in billion tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year. Source: Global Carbon Project (2021). Supplemental data of Global Carbon Budget 2021 (Version 1.0), <https://doi.org/10.18160/GCP-2021>.

## References

- Davis, S.J., Z. Liu, Z. Deng *et al.* (2022). Emissions rebound from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature Climate Change*, 12: 412–414. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01332-6>.
- Dutta, S., C. Hardman, L. Rivera León, R. Reynoso Escalona and S. Wunsch-Vincent (2019). Special theme: Creating healthy lives – The future of medical innovation. In Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO, *Global Innovation Index 2019*. Ithaca, Fontainebleau, and Geneva: Cornell University, INSEAD and the World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2019-chapter1b.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2019-chapter1b.pdf).
- Financial Times* (2021). How Latin America became tech's next big frontier. October 14, 2021. Available at: [www.ft.com/content/5440b1cf-3523-4a4d-96bc-07a2c2132069](http://www.ft.com/content/5440b1cf-3523-4a4d-96bc-07a2c2132069).
- Fink, C., R. Lamb, B. Le Feuvre and H. Zhou (2022). How the COVID-19 crisis affected international intellectual property filings. In Fink, C., Y. Ménière, A. Toole and R. Veugelers, *Resilience and Ingenuity: Global Innovation Responses to Covid-19*, Center for European Policy Research. Available at: <https://voxeu.org/article/innovation-response-covid-crisis-new-ebook>.
- Grassano, N., H. Hernandez Guevara, P. Fako, A. Tübke, S. Amoroso, A. Georgakaki, L. Napolitano, F. Pasimeni, F. Rentocchini, R. Compañó, S. Fatica and R. Panzica (2021). *The 2021 EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Available at: <https://iri.jrc.ec.europa.eu/scoreboard/2021-eu-industrial-rd-investment-scoreboard>.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund) (2022). *World Economic Outlook Update: Gloomy and More Uncertain, July 2022*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund. Available at: <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2022/07/26/world-economic-outlook-update-july-2022>.
- ITU (International Telecommunication Union) (2021). *Measuring Digital Development: Facts and Figures 2021*. Geneva: ITU Publications. Available at: [www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2021.pdf](http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2021.pdf).
- Moss, E., R. Nunn and J. Shambaugh (2020). The Slowdown in Productivity Growth and Policies That Can Restore It. *Framing Paper for the Hamilton Project*. Available at: [www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Productivity\\_Framing\\_LO\\_6.16\\_FINAL.pdf](http://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Productivity_Framing_LO_6.16_FINAL.pdf).
- Müller, C. (2021). *World Robotics 2021 – Industrial Robots*. Frankfurt am Main: IFR Statistical Department, VDMA Services GmbH.
- Rotman, D. (2020). We're not prepared for the end of Moore's Law: It has fueled prosperity of the last 50 years. But the end is now in sight. *MIT Technology Review*. Available at: [www.technologyreview.com/2020/02/24/905789/were-not-prepared-for-the-end-of-moores-law](http://www.technologyreview.com/2020/02/24/905789/were-not-prepared-for-the-end-of-moores-law).
- The Conference Board (2022). Total Economy Database™, Productivity results (2022 update). Brussels: The Conference Board. Available at: [www.conference-board.org/press/productivity-brief-2022](http://www.conference-board.org/press/productivity-brief-2022).

WIPO (2010). Special theme: The impact of the economic crisis and recovery on innovation. In *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2010*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/intproperty/941/wipo\\_pub\\_941\\_2010.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/intproperty/941/wipo_pub_941_2010.pdf).

WIPO (2011). *WIPO Survey on Patenting Strategies in 2009 and 2010*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization, Economics and Statistics Division. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_econstat\\_sv1.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_econstat_sv1.pdf).

WIPO (2021). *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2021*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/publications/en/details.jsp?id=4571](http://www.wipo.int/publications/en/details.jsp?id=4571).

WIPO (2022). *Patent Cooperation Treaty Yearly Review 2022: The International Patent System*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-901-2022-en-patent-cooperation-treaty-yearly-review-2022.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-901-2022-en-patent-cooperation-treaty-yearly-review-2022.pdf).

## **GII 2022 results**

The GII reveals the most innovative economies in the world, ranking the innovation performance of 132 economies.

What follows are highlights of the *Global Innovation Index 2022* (GII) ranking. Appendix I provides details on how to interpret and analyze the results, particularly with regard to any year-on-year comparison of GII rankings, which requires cautious interpretation. Box 2 describes the process involved in using the GII to improve an economy's innovation performance.

## The GII 2022 innovation leaders

### **Only a small number of economies have consistently delivered peak innovation performance**

For a twelfth consecutive year, Switzerland ranks first in the GII (Figure 9). The United States of America (US) overtakes Sweden to climb to 2<sup>nd</sup> position, and continues to head the league table of scoring best in the world on 15 of the 81 GII 2022 innovation indicators (Box 1). Germany reaches 8<sup>th</sup> position, its highest ranking since 2009, after having entered the top 10 in 2016. Singapore bounces back to 7<sup>th</sup> position.

China continues its ascent toward the top 10, reaching 11<sup>th</sup> position in 2022. China remains the only middle-income economy within the top 30, keeping its 3<sup>rd</sup> place within the South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania (SEAO) region and staying in 1<sup>st</sup> place in the upper middle-income group (see Figure 10 and Table 2). Canada (15<sup>th</sup>) returns to the top 15 for the first time since 2016 having dropped out of the top 10 in 2012.

Among the top 25 economies, Estonia (18<sup>th</sup>) makes notable progress this year, as do the United Arab Emirates (UAE) (31<sup>st</sup>) and Poland (38<sup>th</sup>).

Apart from China, there are only four other middle-income economies among the top 40 economies for innovation. Bulgaria (35<sup>th</sup>) and Malaysia (36<sup>th</sup>) keep the same rank as in 2021. In addition, Türkiye and India enter the top 40 for the first time, placed 37<sup>th</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup>, respectively. India overtakes Viet Nam (48<sup>th</sup>) as the top lower middle-income economy for innovation.

Chile (50<sup>th</sup>) makes it back into the top 50 – its best ranking since 2018 – making it first for innovation in Latin America and the Caribbean once again. For the first time ever, Brazil (54<sup>th</sup>) is among the top 3 for the region, scoring 2<sup>nd</sup> and displacing Mexico (58<sup>th</sup>), which drops to 3<sup>rd</sup> and losing three ranks in 2022. Costa Rica, in turn, exits the regional top 3, ranking 68<sup>th</sup> overall in innovation, down 12 ranks in 2022. Other notable improvers in the global innovation ranking for the region are Colombia (63<sup>rd</sup>), Peru (65<sup>th</sup>), Argentina (69<sup>th</sup>) and the Dominican Republic (90<sup>th</sup>). Peru positions itself as a global leader this year in the indicators availability of Loans from microfinance institutions (1<sup>st</sup>), Graduates in science and engineering (18<sup>th</sup>) and Utility models (22<sup>nd</sup>).


The Islamic Republic of Iran makes a big leap, reaching the 53<sup>rd</sup> position; it takes 3<sup>rd</sup> position among the lower middle-income group. Indonesia takes a big jump into the top 80 in 2022, ranking 75. Uzbekistan continues moving ahead and reaches the 82<sup>nd</sup> position in 2022, placing it among the top 3 economies for the Central and Southern Asia (CSA) region, having re-entered the GII only in 2020 due to its better innovation data availability.

Pakistan is a prominent climber in the GII 2022 ranking, entering the top 90 at 87<sup>th</sup> place.

This year, Indonesia, Uzbekistan and Pakistan entered the group of Innovation Achievers for the first time by performing above expectation on innovation for their level of economic development (see Table 3 and Figure 11).

Sixteen out of the 25 economies from Sub-Saharan Africa covered this year improved their ranking. Botswana took the biggest leap forward, reaching 86<sup>th</sup> position, and in so doing overtaking Kenya (88<sup>th</sup>) among the top 3 for the region. Other notable improvers within the region are Mauritius (45<sup>th</sup>), Ghana (95<sup>th</sup>), Namibia (96<sup>th</sup>) and Senegal (99<sup>th</sup>). South Africa remains unchanged in 61<sup>st</sup> place – and continuing to fail to improve consistently over time.

**Figure 9** Movement in the GII, top 10, 2018–2022



Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Note: Year-on-year comparisons of the GII ranks are influenced by changes in the GII model, as well as data availability.

Figure 10 Global leaders in innovation in 2022

**Top three innovation economies by region**

**Europe**

- 1 Switzerland
- 2 Sweden
- 3 United Kingdom

**Northern America**

- 1 United States
- 2 Canada

**Latin America and the Caribbean**

- 1 Chile
- 2 Brazil ☆
- 3 Mexico ↓

**Central and Southern Asia**

- 1 India
- 2 Iran (Islamic Republic of)
- 3 Uzbekistan ☆

**South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania**

- 1 Republic of Korea
- 2 Singapore
- 3 China

**Northern Africa and Western Asia†**

- 1 Israel
- 2 United Arab Emirates
- 3 Türkiye

**Sub-Saharan Africa\***

- 1 South Africa
- 2 Botswana ☆
- 3 Kenya ↓

**Top three innovation economies by income group**

**High-income**

- 1 Switzerland
- 2 United States ↑
- 3 Sweden ↓

**Upper middle-income**

- 1 China
- 2 Bulgaria
- 3 Malaysia

**Lower middle-income**

- 1 India ↑
- 2 Viet Nam ↓
- 3 Iran (Islamic Republic of) ☆

**Low-income**

- 1 Rwanda
- 2 Madagascar ☆
- 3 Ethiopia ☆

☆ Indicates a new entrant into the top three in 2022.

↑↓ Indicates the movement of rank (up or down) within the top three, relative to 2021.

\* Top three in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) – excluding island economies. The top four in the region, including all economies, comprise Mauritius (1<sup>st</sup>), South Africa (2<sup>nd</sup>), Botswana (3<sup>rd</sup>) and Kenya (4<sup>th</sup>).

† Top three in Northern Africa and Western Asia (NAWA) – excluding island economies. The top four in the region, including all economies, are as follows: Israel (1<sup>st</sup>), Cyprus (2<sup>nd</sup>), United Arab Emirates (3<sup>rd</sup>) and Türkiye (4<sup>th</sup>).

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Notes: World Bank Income Group Classification (June 2021). Year-on-year GII rank changes are influenced by performance and methodological considerations; some economy data are incomplete (see Appendix I).

---

**Box 1**     **The United States continues to lead in several key innovation indicators. Singapore, China, Hong Kong (China) and Israel follow**

The United States still leads in terms of the number of GII innovation indicators for which it ranks top globally, ranking 1<sup>st</sup> in the world on 15 out of the 81 indicators used, two more than in 2021. It is number one in the world in indicators such as Global corporate R&D investors, Venture capital investors, the quality of its universities, the quality and impact of its scientific publications (H-index), the number of Patents by origin, computer software spending, and the value of corporate Intangible asset intensity.

Singapore follows the United States globally and is number one in the world on 11 indicators in total, one up from 2021, including leading in the indicators Government effectiveness, ICT access, Venture capital investors, High-tech manufacturing and GitHub commits. China, Hong Kong (China) and Israel tie jointly in 3<sup>rd</sup> place, attaining top ranking in Trademarks, High-tech imports and R&D expenditure, respectively. They are followed by Malta in 6<sup>th</sup> place, leading in Joint venture/strategic alliance deals. The Republic of Korea is in 7<sup>th</sup>, leading in number of researchers. Japan and Cyprus tie in 8<sup>th</sup> place, ranking 1<sup>st</sup> in Patent families and Mobile app creation. Finally, Switzerland, Estonia and Iceland share jointly the 10<sup>th</sup> position, leading in PCT patents, New businesses and ICT use, respectively.

**Box Table 1**     **Economies with the most top-ranked GII indicators, 2022**

Economy	Innovation indicators that economies score best in worldwide		
	Inputs	Outputs	Total
United States	9	6	15
Singapore	8	3	11
China	3	6	9
Hong Kong, China	6	3	9
Israel	7	2	9
Malta	4	4	8
Republic of Korea	4	3	7
Japan	3	3	6
Cyprus	4	2	6
Switzerland	2	3	5
Estonia	4	1	5
Iceland	3	2	5

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Note: The GII methodology allows multiple economies to rank 1<sup>st</sup> on an indicator; see Economy profiles and Appendix I.

---

## A changing global innovation landscape

### Middle-income economies China, Türkiye and India continue to change the innovation landscape; others like the Islamic Republic of Iran and Indonesia show promising potential

Apart from group leaders China, Bulgaria and Malaysia, Türkiye (37<sup>th</sup>) and India (40<sup>th</sup>) are the two other middle-income economies to make it into the top 40. Thailand (43<sup>rd</sup>), Mauritius (45<sup>th</sup>), the Russian Federation (47<sup>th</sup>), Viet Nam (48<sup>th</sup>) and Romania (49<sup>th</sup>) make into the top 50, but with only Mauritius moving up the ranking this year.

Among the middle-income group, the Islamic Republic of Iran (53<sup>rd</sup>) and Indonesia (75<sup>th</sup>) have notably improved their ranking, not only this year but also over the past decade, and join Türkiye, Viet Nam and the Philippines (59<sup>th</sup>) in having an increasingly important potential for transforming the global innovation landscape.

Morocco (67<sup>th</sup>) has shown innovation potential for a number of years, whereas Pakistan (87<sup>th</sup>) and Cambodia (97<sup>th</sup>) are also starting to show signs of increased innovation potential.

India overtakes Viet Nam as leader of the lower middle-income group (Table 2). It continues to lead the world in the ICT services exports indicator (1<sup>st</sup>) and hold top rankings in other indicators, including Venture capital recipients' value (6<sup>th</sup>), Finance for startups and scaleups (8<sup>th</sup>), Graduates in science and engineering (11<sup>th</sup>), Labor productivity growth (12<sup>th</sup>) and Domestic industry diversification (14<sup>th</sup>).

Beyond the top 100, Bangladesh (102<sup>nd</sup>), Myanmar (116<sup>th</sup>) and Ethiopia (117<sup>th</sup>) have made the most progress in the rankings, increasing between nine and 14 positions overall. Bangladesh performs relatively well in Creative outputs, whereas Ethiopia does well in Knowledge and technology outputs – leading in Labor productivity growth (6<sup>th</sup>) and Utility models (19<sup>th</sup>).

Rwanda (105<sup>th</sup>) maintains in 1<sup>st</sup> position among the low-income group, while Madagascar (106<sup>th</sup>) and Ethiopia (117<sup>th</sup>) claim 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> position, respectively (Table 2). Tajikistan ranks 104<sup>th</sup> overall, and 22<sup>nd</sup> among the lower middle-income group, its new income classification.

**Table 2 10 best-ranked economies by income group (rank)**

Rank	Global Innovation Index 2022	Rank	Global Innovation Index 2022
<b>High-income economies (48 in total)</b>		<b>Upper middle-income economies (36 in total)</b>	
1	Switzerland (1)	1	China (11)
2	United States (2)	2	Bulgaria (35)
3	Sweden (3)	3	Malaysia (36)
4	United Kingdom (4)	4	Türkiye (37)
5	Netherlands (5)	5	Thailand (43)
6	Republic of Korea (6)	6	Mauritius (45)
7	Singapore (7)	7	Russian Federation (47)
8	Germany (8)	8	Romania (49)
9	Finland (9)	9	Brazil (54)
10	Denmark (10)	10	Serbia (55)
<b>Lower middle-income economies (36 in total)</b>		<b>Low-income economies (12 in total)</b>	
1	India (40)	1	Rwanda (105)
2	Viet Nam (48)	2	Madagascar (106)
3	Iran (Islamic Republic of) (53)	3	Ethiopia (117)
4	Ukraine (57)	4	Uganda (119)
5	Philippines (59)	5	Burkina Faso (120)
6	Morocco (67)	6	Togo (122)
7	Mongolia (71)	7	Mozambique (123)
8	Tunisia (73)	8	Niger (125)
9	Indonesia (75)	9	Mali (126)
10	Uzbekistan (82)	10	Yemen (128)

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

## Innovation overperformers

### Several developing economies are performing above expectation on innovation relative to their level of economic development

In the GII 2022, 26 economies are performing above expectation, relative to their level of development – these are the GII Innovation Achievers (Figure 11 and Table 3).

India, Kenya, the Republic of Moldova and Viet Nam continue as record holders by being Innovation Achievers for a 12<sup>th</sup> consecutive year. India's innovation performance is above average for the upper middle-income group in almost every innovation pillar, with the exception of Infrastructure, where it scores below average. Kenya (88<sup>th</sup>) scores above its income group in Institutions, Business sophistication, Knowledge and technology outputs, and Creative outputs. Viet Nam continues to score above the lower middle-income group average in all pillars, and even scores above average for the upper middle-income group in every pillar, apart from Human capital and research.

However, there is change too this year. Indonesia (75<sup>th</sup>), Uzbekistan (82<sup>nd</sup>) and Pakistan (87<sup>th</sup>) are Innovation Achievers in 2022 for the first time ever. For these three economies, this achievement coincides with an important shift in their rankings of between four and 12 positions. In addition, Jamaica (76<sup>th</sup>), Jordan (78<sup>th</sup>), Zimbabwe (107<sup>th</sup>), Mozambique (123<sup>rd</sup>) and Burundi (130<sup>th</sup>) all make it back into the select group of Innovation Achievers for 2022. Brazil (54<sup>th</sup>), the Islamic Republic of Iran (53<sup>rd</sup>) and Peru (65<sup>th</sup>) keep their achiever status for a second consecutive year. These three economies also gain between three and seven positions in the rankings, with Brazil moving forward since 2019. In 2022, Brazil makes marked improvements in innovation outputs, notably in Creative outputs, including in Intangible assets and Online creativity, as well as in the indicators Trademarks (19<sup>th</sup>) and Mobile app creation (34<sup>th</sup>).




Sub-Saharan Africa is the region with the greatest number of economies performing above expectation (eight in total). South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania is 2<sup>nd</sup> (with five economies), Central and Southern Asia follow at 3<sup>rd</sup> (4 economies); and Europe, Northern Africa and Western Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean tie at 4<sup>th</sup> (three economies each).

Conversely, 41 economies performed below expectation on innovation. Four are the European Union economies Lithuania (39<sup>th</sup>), Greece (44<sup>th</sup>), Slovakia (46<sup>th</sup>) and Romania (49<sup>th</sup>). In the upper middle-income group, six are the Latin American and Caribbean economies – Argentina (69<sup>th</sup>, despite it gaining 4 ranks this year), Panama (81<sup>st</sup>), the Dominican Republic (90<sup>th</sup>), Paraguay (91<sup>st</sup>), Ecuador (98<sup>th</sup>) and Guatemala (110<sup>th</sup>). In the lower middle-income group, 14 economies performed below expectation for their level of development, including the Sub-Saharan African economies Côte d'Ivoire (109<sup>th</sup>), Nigeria (114<sup>th</sup>), Zambia (118<sup>th</sup>), Cameroon (121<sup>st</sup>), Benin (124<sup>th</sup>), Angola (127<sup>th</sup>) and Mauritania (129<sup>th</sup>).

Relative to 2021, 27 economies switched performance groups. Four economies raised their performance status from below expectation to matching expectation, namely, Sri Lanka (85<sup>th</sup>), Bangladesh (102<sup>nd</sup>), Ethiopia (117<sup>th</sup>) and Yemen (128<sup>th</sup>). Conversely, 12 economies fell back from matching expectation to come below expectation, half of them the Latin America and Caribbean economies of Uruguay (64<sup>th</sup>), Paraguay (91<sup>st</sup>), Ecuador (98<sup>th</sup>), El Salvador (100<sup>th</sup>), Guatemala (110<sup>th</sup>) and Honduras (113<sup>th</sup>).

**Figure 11 The positive relationship between innovation and development**



Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Note: Bubbles sized by population. The cubic spline trendline shows the expected levels of innovation performance at different levels of GDP per capita for all economies covered in the GII 2022.

**Table 3 Innovation Achievers in 2022: Income group, region and years as an innovation achiever**

Economy	Income group	Region	Years as an innovation achiever (total)
India	Lower middle-income	Central and Southern Asia	2011–2022 (12)
Kenya	Lower middle-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2011–2022 (12)
Republic of Moldova	Upper middle-income	Europe	2011–2022 (12)
Viet Nam	Lower middle-income	South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania	2011–2022 (12)
Mongolia	Lower middle-income	South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania	2011–2015, 2018–2022 (10)
Rwanda	Low-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2012, 2014–2022 (10)
Ukraine	Lower middle-income	Europe	2012, 2014–2022 (10)
Mozambique	Low-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2012, 2014–2020, 2022 (9)
Thailand	Upper middle-income	South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania	2011, 2014–2015, 2018–2022 (8)
Bulgaria	Upper middle-income	Europe	2015, 2017–2018, 2020–2022 (6)
Madagascar	Low-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2016–2018, 2020–2022 (6)
Jordan	Upper middle-income	Northern Africa and Western Asia	2011–2015, 2022 (6)
South Africa	Upper middle-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2018–2022 (5)
Morocco	Lower middle-income	Northern Africa and Western Asia	2015, 2020–2022 (4)
Philippines	Lower middle-income	South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania	2019, 2020–2022 (4)
Tunisia	Lower middle-income	Northern Africa and Western Asia	2018, 2020–2022 (4)
United Republic of Tanzania	Lower middle-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2017, 2020–2022 (4)
Burundi	Low-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2017, 2019, 2022 (3)
Brazil	Upper middle-income	Latin America and the Caribbean	2021–2022 (2)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Lower middle-income	Central and Southern Asia	2021–2022 (2)
Peru	Upper middle-income	Latin America and the Caribbean	2021–2022 (2)
Jamaica	Upper middle-income	Latin America and the Caribbean	2020, 2022 (2)
Zimbabwe	Lower middle-income	Sub-Saharan Africa	2012, 2022 (2)
Indonesia	Lower middle-income	South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania	2022 (1)
Uzbekistan	Lower middle-income	Central and Southern Asia	2022 (1)
Pakistan	Lower middle-income	Central and Southern Asia	2022 (1)

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

Notes: Income group classification follows the World Bank Income Group Classification (June, 2021). Geographical regions correspond to the United Nations publication on standard country or area codes for statistical use (M49).

## The persistent regional innovation divide

### South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania continues to narrow the gap with Northern America and Europe

For another year, there are no changes to how the world regions rank in innovation performance. Northern America and Europe continue to lead, followed by South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania, and, more distantly, by Northern Africa and Western Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, Central and Southern Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa, respectively.<sup>1</sup>

#### Northern America

Northern America, composed of the United States and Canada, is the most innovative world region. Both economies gained one position this year in the global rankings, reaching the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> places, respectively. This region is the best performer in every GII pillar relative to all other world regions. The United States performs best in Market sophistication (1<sup>st</sup> worldwide), Business sophistication (3<sup>rd</sup>) and Knowledge and technology outputs (3<sup>rd</sup>).

Canada makes a comeback into the top 15, achieving its best rank (15<sup>th</sup>) since 2016, after having exited the top 10 in 2012. It scores best in indicators Venture capital recipients (1<sup>st</sup>), Joint venture/strategic alliances (1<sup>st</sup>) and computer Software spending (3<sup>rd</sup>).

#### Europe

Europe still hosts the largest number of innovation leaders – 15 in total – that rank among the top 25. Out of the 39 European economies covered, 12 move up the rankings this year: the Netherlands (5<sup>th</sup>), Germany (8<sup>th</sup>), Austria (17<sup>th</sup>), Estonia (18<sup>th</sup>), Luxembourg (19<sup>th</sup>), Malta (21<sup>st</sup>), Italy (28<sup>th</sup>), Spain (29<sup>th</sup>), Poland (38<sup>th</sup>), Greece (44<sup>th</sup>), the Republic of Moldova (56<sup>th</sup>) and Bosnia and Herzegovina (70<sup>th</sup>).

Switzerland has the most high-performing Institutions in the region (2<sup>nd</sup> worldwide), and is the regional and global leader in innovation outputs, ranking 1<sup>st</sup> in both Knowledge and technology outputs and Creative outputs. Germany leads in Human capital and research (2<sup>nd</sup>), while Sweden comes top in Infrastructure and Business sophistication worldwide (1<sup>st</sup> in both pillars).

Estonia (18<sup>th</sup>) heads the region in Market sophistication (3<sup>rd</sup>), and scores a global leading performance for the indicators E-participation (1<sup>st</sup>), Venture capital deals (1<sup>st</sup>), ICT services imports (1<sup>st</sup>), New businesses (1<sup>st</sup>), Government's online service (2<sup>nd</sup>), Entrepreneurship policies and culture (3<sup>rd</sup>), Mobile app creation (6<sup>th</sup>), Finance for startups and scaleups (7<sup>th</sup>) and Environmental performance (14<sup>th</sup>).

### **South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania**

The South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania (SEAO) region continues to close the innovation performance gap with Northern America and Europe. Seven SEAO economies are world innovation leaders: the Republic of Korea (6<sup>th</sup>), Singapore (7<sup>th</sup>), China (11<sup>th</sup>), Japan (13<sup>th</sup>), Hong Kong, China (14<sup>th</sup>), New Zealand (24<sup>th</sup>) and Australia (25<sup>th</sup>). Singapore, China and New Zealand improved their rankings this year. Among the regional leaders, China, the Republic of Korea and Japan have made the greatest advances up the rankings over the past 10 years. The Republic of Korea held the 21<sup>st</sup> position in 2012, joined the top 10 in 2020 and moved further ahead to 6<sup>th</sup> position in 2022. Japan has moved from 25<sup>th</sup> position in 2012 to be within the vicinity of the top 10, this year retaining 13<sup>th</sup> place. China held the 34<sup>th</sup> position in 2012; it joined the innovation leaders in 2016, and has since steadily gained in the rankings every year until this year, in 2022, it is edging the top 10 at 11<sup>th</sup> place.

Within the region as a whole, Viet Nam (48<sup>th</sup>), the Philippines (59<sup>th</sup>), Indonesia (75<sup>th</sup>), Cambodia (97<sup>th</sup>) and the Lao People's Democratic Republic (112<sup>th</sup>) have made the greatest advances over the past decade, moving up more than 20 ranks. These economies continue to lead in key innovation indicators, too. Viet Nam ranks 1<sup>st</sup> worldwide in High-tech imports, the Philippines is 2<sup>nd</sup> in High-tech exports, and Indonesia holds 2<sup>nd</sup> position worldwide in Entrepreneurship policies and culture.

Indonesia (75<sup>th</sup>) makes a big leap, achieving its best position since 2012, when it ranked 100<sup>th</sup>. This year, it has made notable improvements in Innovation linkages and in Intangible assets, performing well in indicators such as Finance for startups and scaleups (4<sup>th</sup>), State of cluster development (9<sup>th</sup>), University-industry R&D collaboration (13<sup>th</sup>), and corporate Intangible asset intensity (13<sup>th</sup>).

### **Central and Southern Asia**

Within Central and Southern Asia, India continues to lead in 40<sup>th</sup> position, moving further up the rankings, from its 46<sup>th</sup> position in 2021, and its 81<sup>st</sup> rank in 2015. The Islamic Republic of Iran is 2<sup>nd</sup> in the region once again, climbing to 53<sup>rd</sup> place, improving notably from the 104<sup>th</sup> place it held back in 2012 and establishing itself as a middle-income economy with the potential to transform the global innovation landscape. Uzbekistan rises to 3<sup>rd</sup> in the region, ranking 82<sup>nd</sup> overall, and displacing Kazakhstan to 4<sup>th</sup> in the region and the 83<sup>rd</sup> position globally.

Sri Lanka (85<sup>th</sup>), Pakistan (87<sup>th</sup>) and Bangladesh (102<sup>nd</sup>) jumped up the rankings notably this year. However, only Pakistan has steadily gained position over time (it ranked 133<sup>rd</sup> in 2012), whereas Sri Lanka has gone up and down the rankings, this year reclaiming the 85<sup>th</sup> position it first held back in 2015. Bangladesh improves this year, notably in Creative outputs, Intangible assets and Online creativity, performing especially well in corporate Intangible asset intensity (26<sup>th</sup>).

### **Northern Africa and Western Asia**

Within Northern Africa and Western Asia, Israel (16<sup>th</sup>) continues far in advance of the region as a whole and in a consistent manner. It has been an innovation leader for the past 15 years. Israel leads the region in Market sophistication (7<sup>th</sup>), Business sophistication (6<sup>th</sup>), and Knowledge and technology outputs (7<sup>th</sup>). It is a world leader in the indicators Venture capital deals, Females employed with advanced degrees, PCT patents and ICT services exports, ranking 1<sup>st</sup> worldwide for each (see Box 1). Israel is also the only country that spends more than 5 percent of GDP on R&D, reaching 5.4 percent in 2020.

The United Arab Emirates (UAE) takes a big leap forward this year reaching 31<sup>st</sup> place, bringing it closer to the top 30. Türkiye makes it into the top 40, taking 37<sup>th</sup> spot. Türkiye tops the region in Creative outputs (15<sup>th</sup>) and ranks 4<sup>th</sup> worldwide in Intangible assets, becoming a global leader in the indicators Industrial designs (1<sup>st</sup>), Trademarks (6<sup>th</sup>), and Intangible asset intensity (15<sup>th</sup>). Given its recent performance, as middle-income economy, Türkiye has the potential to undergo innovation performance growth similar to that of China in future years.

An additional 10 economies within the region move up the rankings, including notable improvers Saudi Arabia (51<sup>st</sup>), Qatar (52<sup>nd</sup>), Kuwait (62<sup>nd</sup>), Morocco (67<sup>th</sup>) and Bahrain (72<sup>nd</sup>).

**Table 4 Heatmap: GII 2022 rankings overall and by innovation pillar**

Country/economy	Overall GII	Institutions	Human capital and research	Infrastructure	Market sophistication	Business sophistication	Knowledge and technology outputs	Creative outputs
Switzerland	1	2	4	4	8	7	1	1
United States	2	13	9	19	1	3	3	12
Sweden	3	19	3	1	13	1	2	8
United Kingdom	4	24	6	8	5	22	8	3
Netherlands	5	4	14	14	18	10	5	10
Republic of Korea	6	31	1	13	21	9	10	4
Singapore	7	1	7	11	4	2	13	21
Germany	8	20	2	23	14	19	9	7
Finland	9	11	8	3	17	5	4	18
Denmark	10	9	10	5	15	15	12	14
China	11	42	20	25	12	12	6	11
France	12	18	15	17	10	17	15	6
Japan	13	21	21	12	9	8	11	19
Hong Kong, China	14	10	13	6	2	27	60	5
Canada	15	15	12	30	6	20	24	20
Israel	16	41	24	42	7	6	7	36
Austria	17	8	11	9	38	18	19	26
Estonia	18	12	34	10	3	25	21	24
Luxembourg	19	5	32	40	31	4	33	9
Iceland	20	14	29	22	41	14	22	13
Malta	21	28	42	27	33	16	32	2
Norway	22	3	19	2	28	21	25	30
Ireland	23	16	23	15	55	13	14	29
New Zealand	24	7	18	21	24	31	29	22
Australia	25	17	5	18	20	24	37	27
Belgium	26	29	16	37	45	11	18	32
Cyprus	27	36	39	28	29	23	20	17
Italy	28	58	28	26	35	33	16	16
Spain	29	38	26	16	30	32	27	28
Czech Republic	30	43	33	20	76	28	17	37
United Arab Emirates	31	6	17	7	23	26	59	45
Portugal	32	47	22	39	42	34	35	25
Slovenia	33	37	25	24	68	29	26	56
Hungary	34	48	37	35	67	30	23	46
Bulgaria	35	67	68	34	62	40	30	23
Malaysia	36	34	38	51	26	41	39	41
Türkiye	37	101	41	48	37	47	47	15
Poland	38	65	36	43	61	38	38	38
Lithuania	39	26	44	45	32	37	48	47
India	40	54	43	78	19	54	34	52
Latvia	41	35	48	52	65	36	44	42
Croatia	42	77	46	31	56	46	45	39
Thailand	43	78	71	54	27	43	43	49
Greece	44	69	31	46	64	55	46	54
Mauritius	45	22	66	70	16	96	82	31
Slovakia	46	68	59	41	70	45	28	70
Russian Federation	47	89	27	62	48	44	51	48
Viet Nam	48	51	80	71	43	50	52	35
Romania	49	75	74	33	63	51	31	57
Chile	50	39	57	47	46	57	54	55
Saudi Arabia	51	50	30	53	22	53	65	66
Qatar	52	25	56	29	47	73	69	59
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	53	131	54	75	11	115	50	33
Brazil	54	102	50	65	49	35	55	51
Serbia	55	53	52	38	83	65	42	76
Republic of Moldova	56	98	62	84	58	79	49	43
Ukraine	57	97	49	82	102	48	36	63
Mexico	58	93	58	63	54	76	58	50
Philippines	59	90	86	81	78	39	41	58
Montenegro	60	59	61	44	53	58	72	71
South Africa	61	81	81	77	39	63	56	64
Kuwait	62	86	55	36	73	101	68	60
Colombia	63	72	79	59	66	42	67	75
Uruguay	64	32	73	60	77	62	62	85
Peru	65	61	47	79	40	49	90	65
North Macedonia	66	88	75	49	34	59	57	93

■ 4<sup>th</sup> quartile (best performers, ranks 1<sup>st</sup> to 33<sup>rd</sup>) ■ 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile (ranks 34<sup>th</sup> to 66<sup>th</sup>) ■ 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile (ranks 67<sup>th</sup> to 99<sup>th</sup>) ■ 1<sup>st</sup> quartile (ranks 100<sup>th</sup> to 132<sup>nd</sup>)

Table 4 Continued

Country/economy	Overall GII	Institutions	Human capital and research	Infrastructure	Market sophistication	Business sophistication	Knowledge and technology outputs	Creative outputs
Morocco	67	85	83	89	74	94	64	44
Costa Rica	68	44	77	66	88	60	61	81
Argentina	69	96	69	64	95	52	77	53
Bosnia and Herzegovina	70	94	67	55	25	98	63	83
Mongolia	71	76	64	92	97	61	85	40
Bahrain	72	27	78	32	75	93	73	98
Tunisia	73	92	45	85	98	116	53	61
Georgia	74	30	70	83	72	64	75	86
Indonesia	75	71	90	68	36	92	78	72
Jamaica	76	56	84	99	110	67	89	34
Belarus	77	130	35	67	96	72	40	91
Jordan	78	45	76	100	52	75	76	78
Oman	79	57	40	56	71	97	94	80
Armenia	80	55	91	80	85	84	71	73
Panama	81	70	94	50	89	105	86	62
Uzbekistan	82	63	65	74	60	74	80	102
Kazakhstan	83	52	60	58	90	68	81	118
Albania	84	84	89	57	91	56	96	82
Sri Lanka	85	119	120	73	108	71	66	69
Botswana	86	40	51	88	112	70	88	100
Pakistan	87	118	113	114	100	81	70	67
Kenya	88	82	119	107	111	80	74	79
Egypt	89	111	97	93	86	103	79	84
Dominican Republic	90	80	108	69	84	83	93	88
Paraguay	91	115	100	76	82	86	105	74
Brunei Darussalam	92	23	53	61	101	66	127	125
Azerbaijan	93	46	87	90	80	77	117	105
Kyrgyzstan	94	113	63	86	51	107	92	121
Ghana	95	100	101	96	119	88	103	77
Namibia	96	49	72	106	81	108	113	113
Cambodia	97	87	99	103	44	117	101	104
Ecuador	98	121	98	72	103	85	102	96
Senegal	99	60	103	105	69	124	97	112
El Salvador	100	107	107	97	99	87	108	90
Trinidad and Tobago	101	66	88	87	123	102	87	117
Bangladesh	102	109	127	94	92	125	95	87
United Republic of Tanzania	103	74	126	104	79	112	114	94
Tajikistan	104	91	85	121	94	128	84	116
Rwanda	105	33	106	95	115	113	111	126
Madagascar	106	120	105	132	109	118	115	68
Zimbabwe	107	128	92	126	114	90	99	89
Nicaragua	108	124	110	111	50	82	121	103
Côte d'Ivoire	109	73	122	98	122	95	104	108
Guatemala	110	122	121	119	107	89	91	99
Nepal	111	117	123	108	59	91	119	101
Lao People's Democratic Republic	112	103	111	118	57	104	122	114
Honduras	113	125	96	101	104	78	110	120
Nigeria	114	112	109	112	126	69	123	97
Algeria	115	99	82	102	125	120	118	109
Myanmar	116	123	102	128	93	130	100	106
Ethiopia	117	110	131	123	113	122	83	115
Zambia	118	126	118	116	106	100	116	110
Uganda	119	62	129	109	127	126	106	123
Burkina Faso	120	105	104	115	118	123	112	127
Cameroon	121	104	116	113	132	99	98	124
Togo	122	108	117	117	105	129	126	111
Mozambique	123	129	114	91	120	121	120	107
Benin	124	64	115	110	117	114	129	132
Niger	125	79	130	129	116	106	109	131
Mali	126	114	128	125	124	110	107	122
Angola	127	116	125	122	121	131	130	92
Yemen	128	132	124	120	87	127	124	95
Mauritania	129	83	112	127	129	111	132	130
Burundi	130	106	95	130	130	119	128	128
Iraq	131	127	93	124	128	132	125	129
Guinea	132	95	132	131	131	109	131	119

■ 4<sup>th</sup> quartile (best performers, ranks 1<sup>st</sup> to 33<sup>rd</sup>) ■ 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile (ranks 34<sup>th</sup> to 66<sup>th</sup>) ■ 2<sup>nd</sup> quartile (ranks 67<sup>th</sup> to 99<sup>th</sup>) ■ 1<sup>st</sup> quartile (ranks 100<sup>th</sup> to 132<sup>nd</sup>)

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

## Latin America and the Caribbean

Within Latin America and the Caribbean, Chile (50<sup>th</sup>) re-enters the top 50, while Brazil continues to move forward at 54<sup>th</sup> spot. Mexico remains within the top 60 at 58<sup>th</sup> position, but drops three ranks from last year, its lowest position since 2017. Eight out of the 18 economies covered within the region go up the rankings, but in a relatively modest manner compared to other world regions, with Colombia (63<sup>rd</sup>), Peru (65<sup>th</sup>) and Argentina (69<sup>th</sup>) recording the most notable increases, and all making it into the top 70. Over the past decade, only Mexico, Peru and Jamaica (76<sup>th</sup>) have gained more than 10 ranks, while Brazil and Argentina have experienced a more accelerated ranking increase over the past five years.

Uruguay is the regional leader in Institutions (32<sup>nd</sup>), Peru leads in Human capital and research (47<sup>th</sup>) and Market sophistication (40<sup>th</sup>), and Chile in Infrastructure (47<sup>th</sup>) and Knowledge and technology outputs (54<sup>th</sup>). Brazil is top of the region for Business sophistication (35<sup>th</sup>).

Among Caribbean economies, only the Dominican Republic climbs the rankings to 90<sup>th</sup> position – although it continues to perform below expectation for its level of development. In 2022, Jamaica ranks best in the region in terms of Creative outputs (34<sup>th</sup>), including in indicators such as Trademarks (9<sup>th</sup>) and Industrial designs (14<sup>th</sup>).

This year, Peru, Brazil and Jamaica also performed on innovation above expectation for their level of development (Table 3). Conversely, six Latin American and Caribbean economies have declined in performance status, no longer meeting expectation but instead performing below expectation for their level of development, pointing to a possible innovation performance stagnation within the region.

## Sub-Saharan Africa

In Sub-Saharan Africa, only Mauritius (45<sup>th</sup>) and South Africa (61<sup>st</sup>) rank among the top 80. Five of the region's other economies rank within the top 100 this year: Botswana (86<sup>th</sup>), Kenya (88<sup>th</sup>), Ghana (95<sup>th</sup>), Namibia (96<sup>th</sup>) and Senegal (99<sup>th</sup>) (Table 5). Sixteen economies move up the GII rankings, with Mauritius, Botswana, Ghana, Senegal, Zimbabwe (107<sup>th</sup>), Ethiopia (117<sup>th</sup>) and Angola (127<sup>th</sup>) making noteworthy improvements. Burundi (130<sup>th</sup>) makes a return to the GII this year thanks to improved data availability, after having held 128<sup>th</sup> position in the GII in 2019. Mauritania joins the GII for the first time at 129<sup>th</sup> place.

Mauritius ranks highest within the region in Institutions (22<sup>nd</sup>), Infrastructure (70<sup>th</sup>), Market sophistication (16<sup>th</sup>), and Creative outputs (31<sup>st</sup>). It leads worldwide in Venture capital deals (1<sup>st</sup>), and performs notably well in Trademarks (15<sup>th</sup>), ICT services imports (20<sup>th</sup>) and New businesses (20<sup>th</sup>). Botswana tops in Human capital and research (51<sup>st</sup>), and performs well in indicators such as Expenditure on education (2<sup>nd</sup>), New businesses (4<sup>th</sup>), Loans from microfinance institutions (15<sup>th</sup>) and Intellectual property payments (22<sup>nd</sup>). Namibia leads worldwide in Expenditure on education (1<sup>st</sup>) and performs well above the regional average on Human capital and research. South Africa heads the region in Business sophistication (63<sup>rd</sup>) and Knowledge and technology outputs (56<sup>th</sup>).

**Table 5** GII 2022 rankings in Sub-Saharan Africa

Rank Top 80		Rank Top 100		Rank Top 110		Rank Top 120		Rank Other	
45	Mauritius	86	Botswana	103	United Republic of Tanzania	114	Nigeria	121	Cameroon
61	South Africa	88	Kenya	105	Rwanda	117	Ethiopia	122	Togo
		95	Ghana	106	Madagascar	118	Zambia	123	Mozambique
		96	Namibia	107	Zimbabwe	119	Uganda	124	Benin
		99	Senegal	109	Côte d'Ivoire	120	Burkina Faso	125	Niger
								126	Mali
								127	Angola
								129	Mauritania
								130	Burundi
								132	Guinea

Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

## Creating balanced and efficient innovation ecosystems

### Several economies are still struggling to translate innovation inputs into outputs efficiently

Some economies are very efficient at converting innovation inputs into outputs. Among the high-income group, Switzerland (1<sup>st</sup>) produces considerably higher levels of outputs than other high-income economies, such as the United States (2<sup>nd</sup>), Sweden (3<sup>rd</sup>) and Singapore (7<sup>th</sup>), at comparable levels of innovation inputs (Figure 12). Germany (8<sup>th</sup>) produces the same levels of outputs as the United States and the Netherlands (5<sup>th</sup>), at lower levels of innovation inputs.


Among upper middle-income group economies, China (11<sup>th</sup>) ranks 8<sup>th</sup> overall in the Innovation Output Sub-Index, and its levels of outputs are comparable to those of high-income economies like the Netherlands and Germany, but at lower levels of innovation inputs. Türkiye (37<sup>th</sup>) has outputs comparable to high-income economies, such as Australia (25<sup>th</sup>), but with fewer inputs.

The Islamic Republic of Iran (53<sup>rd</sup>), among lower middle-income group economies, performs on innovation outputs at levels comparable to high-income European economies Latvia (41<sup>st</sup>) and Croatia (42<sup>nd</sup>). In addition, the Philippines (59<sup>th</sup>) does likewise, relative to Lithuania (39<sup>th</sup>) and Greece (44<sup>th</sup>), with a lower level of innovation inputs.

However, several high-income economies struggle to obtain a better balance between level of investment and results, often to the detriment of their overall innovation performance. This group of economies includes, notably, oil and natural gas producers and exporters Canada (15<sup>th</sup>), Norway (22<sup>nd</sup>), the United Arab Emirates (31<sup>st</sup>), Saudi Arabia (51<sup>st</sup>), Bahrain (72<sup>nd</sup>) and Brunei Darussalam (92<sup>nd</sup>). Other economies struggling to translate inputs into outputs include Singapore (7<sup>th</sup>), Australia (25<sup>th</sup>), Uzbekistan (82<sup>nd</sup>) and Rwanda (105<sup>th</sup>).

Among the top 25 (innovation leaders), Canada has managed to tilt the balance in its favor this year by becoming more productive in converting innovation inputs into outputs, making a comeback into the GII top 15.

**Figure 12 Innovation input to output performance, 2022**



Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.

A balanced and strong performance across all seven innovation pillars is most evident among the innovation leaders (top 25), but particularly the top 10. Only 15 economies in total – including Norway and New Zealand who are not in the GII top 20 – perform strongly across all seven GII pillars (Table 4).

However, certain economies ranked lower overall in the GII are nevertheless leaders in specific areas. Examples include Uruguay (32<sup>nd</sup>) and Rwanda (33<sup>rd</sup>) ranked highly for the quality of their Institutions; Bahrain (32<sup>nd</sup>) for its Infrastructure; and the Islamic Republic of Iran (11<sup>th</sup>), India (19<sup>th</sup>) and Malaysia (26<sup>th</sup>) for their Market sophistication. In addition, Slovakia (28<sup>th</sup>) and Romania (31<sup>st</sup>) score highly in Knowledge and technology outputs, and Türkiye (15<sup>th</sup>) in Creative outputs. Such imbalances in performance within economies hints at innovation systems that are changing, dynamic and have the potential for increased overall performance in the future.

Box 2 describes the process involved in using the GII to improve an economy's innovation performance.

---

**Box 2**      **What is the recipe for improving an economy's innovation performance as measured by the GII?**

For many years, governments around the world have used the GII to improve their innovation performance and shape evidence-based innovation policies. Every year since the GII first launched, numerous GII workshops and missions have taken place in collaboration with a number of different economies around the world – often in the presence of key ministers, ministries and innovation actors.

A survey carried out by WIPO in early 2022 shows that 70 percent of WIPO member states use the Global Innovation Index (GII). Out of the 110 responses received (one response per country), 68 countries had used the GII during the period 2020–2021 to improve their innovation ecosystems and policymaking, while 37 went so far as to use the GII as a specific reference in economic plans or policies.

While there is no recipe for moving up the GII rankings, this box discusses the process of using the GII to improve an economy's innovation performance.

A chief benefit of the GII is that it puts data-based evidence and metrics at the core of evaluating, crafting and deploying innovation policies. As a first step, countries begin by bringing together statisticians and decision-makers in order to understand the country's innovation performance, based on the GII metrics. In a second step, the policy discussion turns to leveraging domestic innovation opportunities, while at the same time overcoming country-specific weaknesses. Both steps are an exercise in careful coordination among different public and private innovation actors, as well as between government entities at the local, regional and national levels. Ideally, the GII becomes a tool for such coordination.

**Some do's:**

- Ensure innovation is embedded as a key priority in the country's pathway to national development and progress, possibly formulated within a clear innovation policy.
- Establish a cross-ministerial task force to pursue innovation policy and GII matters through a "whole of government approach," ideally reporting to the top tier of government, for instance, the Prime Minister's Office.
- Ensure any innovation policy task force interacts and consults with innovation actors from both the private and public sectors, including start-ups, deans of research universities and relevant innovation clusters.
- Ensure any national intellectual property (IP) policy is aligned with or even integrated into the above innovation policy.
- Ensure the targets or actions of innovation policy are quantifiable, and that they are regularly revisited and evaluated.

**Some don'ts:**

- Do not set over-ambitious and therefore unrealistic GII ranking targets – for example, by aiming to enter the top 20 by next year when the economy's ranking would suggest it is still far from achieving that goal. GII rankings rarely increase in large leaps from one year to the next, particularly at the top.
- Do not expect policy changes to result in improved GII indicator performance instantaneously. There are important lags between innovation policy formulation, execution and impact. The latest available innovation data is also rarely current, often lagging by a few years.



- Do not treat the GII as a mathematical exercise – that is, by attempting to collect or focus on specific indicators in order to climb the rankings. GII rank alone is only a partial reflection of national development and progress.
  - Do not over focus on year-on-year changes to the GII alone. These are influenced by relative performance vis-à-vis other countries and other methodological considerations (see Appendix I), many of which lie outside the control of the economy in question. Setting objectives over a multi-year period – for example, three to five years – and looking at combined progress over several years is a more fitting use of the GII.
- 

## Conclusion

The aim of the GII is to provide insightful data on innovation, to track major innovation developments at the country and regional level and, in turn, to assist policymakers in evaluating their innovation performance and making informed innovation policy decisions.

The GII is not intended to be considered as representing the ultimate and definitive ranking of economies with respect to innovation. On the contrary, the GII best represents an ongoing endeavor to find metrics and approaches that capture the richness of innovation most effectively, with continuous refinements reflecting an improved availability of statistics and theoretical advances in the field, and paving the way for the adoption of better and more informed innovation policies worldwide.

Several key insights emerge from this year's GII report.

- The global innovation landscape is changing – both within the top 25 leading innovation economies, as well as more generally within the overall rankings and the league tables by income group or region. The most notable of these changes are: (i) a significant shift within this year's top 15 innovators, with the United States, Singapore, Germany and China moving up the ranking, the latter overtaking France, and with Canada moving back into the top 15 thanks to improved innovation efficiency; (ii) the continued strong progression of emerging innovation powerhouses Türkiye, India and to some extent the Islamic Republic of Iran, while that of Viet Nam and the Philippines has halted momentarily; and (iii) the early signs of innovation potential coming from Indonesia, Uzbekistan and Pakistan, which all overperformed on innovation performance relative to development for the first time in 2022.
- Despite such shifts, and despite the fact that Asia as a region is catching up rapidly on Northern America and Europe, the gap with other world regions, notably Latin America and the Caribbean and Sub-Saharan Africa, needs urgent attention. Importantly, the short and longer-term impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, the current geopolitical turmoil, the tightening of monetary policies, and the repercussions of shocks to global supply chains and global innovation networks on nascent innovation systems in middle- and low-income economies all need close monitoring. The last two decades achieved great things in terms of putting innovation systems and innovation policies on the agenda of developing countries' policymakers, legislators and innovation actors. It would be a great shame were this attention, together with the accrued political will and experience, to come under threat due to ongoing crises.

Future editions of the GII will track developments closely and continue the journey toward enabling policy and business leaders through the fostering of a better understanding and measurement of innovation.

## Note

- 1 The regional rankings correspond to the average unweighted scores of a region's economies.

# Cluster ranking

The GII reveals the world's top 100 science and technology (S&T) clusters and identifies the most S&T-intensive top global clusters.

## The GII 2022 top 100 science and technology clusters

Recognizing that innovation output at the local level is as important as output at the national level, the Global Innovation Index (GII) continues to present the world's largest top 100 science and technology (S&T) clusters (see Map 1) – that is, the geographical areas around the world with the highest density of inventors and scientific authors (see Appendix IV, which details the methodological adjustment employed).


For the first time, this year the GII also presents S&T clusters beyond the top 100, shedding light on those clusters not normally highlighted in the section.

### Tokyo–Yokohama continues to lead the top 100 S&T clusters

Among the top 100, Tokyo–Yokohama (Japan) is the top-performing cluster, followed by Shenzhen–Hong Kong–Guangzhou (China and Hong Kong, China), Beijing (China), Seoul (Republic of Korea) and San Jose–San Francisco (United States) (see Appendix Table 3).

The top 10 clusters remain the same as last year, with one difference: Shanghai and Suzhou have now merged into one cluster.

**Map 1** Top 100 clusters worldwide, 2022



Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

Note: Noise refers to all inventor/author locations not classified in a cluster.

The largest increases in the ranking came from three Chinese clusters – Zhengzhou (+15 positions), Qingdao (+12) and Xiamen (+12). Berlin (+4) in Germany, Istanbul (+4) in Türkiye, Kanazawa (+4) in Japan, Ankara (+3) in Türkiye, Daegu (+3) in the Republic of Korea and Mumbai (+3) in India also advanced strongly this year.

Chinese clusters experienced the largest increases in S&T output too, with the median increase equating to +13.9 percent and with China hosting the fastest growing clusters – Qingdao (+25.2 percent) and Wuhan (+21.9 percent).<sup>1</sup> Other clusters in middle-income economies, besides those in China, also experienced strong growth, including Istanbul (Türkiye, +7.3 percent), Chennai (India, +7.1 percent) and Delhi (India, +5.2 percent).

High-income economy clusters generally grew at a slower pace than clusters in middle-income economies. However, there were some notable exceptions among the high-income economy clusters, namely Basel (+10.5 percent), a new top 100 entrant this year from the French, German and Swiss border region, Munich (+8.6 percent) in Germany – closing the gap between it and Cologne – and Kanazawa (+8.1 percent) in Japan.

The top S&T clusters of each economy or cross-border region are shown in Table 6.

**Table 6** Top S&T cluster of each economy or cross-border region, rank among the top 100, 2022

Rank	Cluster name	Economy	Rank change since 2021
1	Tokyo-Yokohama	JP	0
2	Shenzhen-Hong Kong-Guangzhou	CN/HK	0
3	Beijing	CN	0
4	Seoul	KR	0
5	San Jose-San Francisco, CA	US	0
10	Paris	FR	0
19	London	GB	0
23	Cologne	DE	-2
25	Amsterdam-Rotterdam	NL	-2
26	Taipei-Hsinchu	TW*	0
30	Tel Aviv-Jerusalem	IL	-2
31	Moscow	RU	-1
32	Tehran	IR	0
33	Singapore	SG	-2
35	Stockholm	SE	0
36	Eindhoven	NL/BE	-2
39	Melbourne	AU	-2
46	Istanbul	TR	4
47	Brussels	BE	-4
48	Madrid	ES	-1
51	Zürich	CH/DE	1
53	Milan	IT	0
54	Toronto, ON	CA	-5
59	Copenhagen	DK	-4
60	Bengaluru	IN	0
71	São Paulo	BR	0
73	Helsinki	FI	-1
76	Vienna	AT	-1
92	Warsaw	PL	0
93	Lausanne	CH/FR	-3
99	Basel	CH/DE/FR	7

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

Notes: The codes given in the tables in this section are the ISO alpha-2 country codes, with the following addition: \*TW = Taiwan, Province of China.

## China is now on a par with the United States in terms of the number of top 100 S&T clusters

In 2022, as in previous years, the top 100 S&T clusters are highly concentrated in three regions, Northern America, Europe and Asia and, especially, in two countries: the United States and China (see Map 1).

For the first time, China hosts as many clusters as the United States, with 21 each (see Map 2a and 2b and Table 7). Germany follows, with 10 clusters in the top 100, with Cologne and Munich as the two largest clusters. Japan has five clusters in the top 100, with Tokyo-Yokohama and Osaka-Kobe-Kyoto also represented in the top 10 clusters overall.


Mirroring last year's results, with the exception of China, only five middle-income economies have clusters in the top 100:

- Brazil (1 cluster), with São Paulo, the sole top 100 S&T cluster in Latin America;
- India (4), with Bengaluru, Delhi and Mumbai, as last year, and Chennai making the top 100 for the first time;
- the Islamic Republic of Iran (1), with Tehran;
- Türkiye (2), with Istanbul and Ankara; and
- the Russian Federation (1), with Moscow.

It is notable that, among the aforementioned clusters, Ankara and Istanbul, the two Turkish clusters, and Mumbai have made significant jumps forward.

## Map 2 Top S&T clusters, United States and China, 2022

### a – United States and Canada



Cluster rank

- 1-25
- 26-50
- 51-75
- 76-100



### b – East Asia



Cluster rank

- 1-25
- 26-50
- 51-75
- 76-100



Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

**Table 7 Economies with three or more top 100 S&T clusters, 2022**

Economy	Economy name	Number of top 100 clusters
US	United States	21
CN	China	21
DE	Germany	10
JP	Japan	5
FR	France	4
CA	Canada	4
IN	India	4
KR	Republic of Korea	4
GB	United Kingdom	3
AU	Australia	3
CH	Switzerland	3
SE	Sweden	3

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

### Beyond the top 100: Bangkok, Buenos Aires, Cairo, Kuala Lumpur and Mexico City are top S&T clusters in middle-income economies

Using the same thresholds employed for the identification of top 100 S&T clusters, the GII 2022 also identifies clusters beyond the top 100 without determining their precise ranking.

Based on the same parameters applied to produce the top 100 ranking, 123 additional clusters are identified beyond the top 100, including 23 clusters based in the United States, 13 in both China and Germany and 10 in both France and the United Kingdom.

In India, Kolkata, Pune and Hyderabad stand out. Brazil's Rio de Janeiro and Porto Alegre were also added, along with Saint Petersburg and Novosibirsk in the Russian Federation.

Table 8 identifies top S&T clusters in economies not covered previously in the top 100, including Portugal and Saudi Arabia, with two clusters each. Among the middle-income economies, Argentina, Egypt, Malaysia, Mexico and Thailand each host a top S&T cluster in the extended list, namely Buenos Aires, Cairo, Kuala Lumpur, Mexico City and Bangkok, respectively. Other prominent Latin American urban areas – such as Mexico City, Rio de Janeiro, Porto Alegre and Santiago de Chile – feature in this extended list as well.

**Table 8 Top S&T clusters in extended ranking, economies not covered in top 100, 2022**

Economy	Economy name	Cluster name
PT	Portugal	Lisbon and Porto
SA	Saudi Arabia	Riyadh and Dammam
AR	Argentina	Buenos Aires
CL	Chile	Santiago
CZ	Czech Republic	Prague
EG	Egypt	Cairo
GR	Greece	Athens
HU	Hungary	Budapest
IE	Ireland	Dublin
MO	Macao, China	Macau
MY	Malaysia	Kuala Lumpur
MX	Mexico	Mexico City
NZ	New Zealand	Auckland
NO	Norway	Oslo
RO	Romania	Bucharest
RS	Serbia	Belgrade
TH	Thailand	Bangkok

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.


## S&T intensity of the top 100 clusters

Since 2020, the GII has also presented the top 100 clusters ranked by their S&T intensity – that is, the sum of their patent and scientific publication shares divided by population. This work draws on geospatial imagery to estimate the underlying population levels (see Appendix IV).

Cambridge in the United Kingdom and Eindhoven in the Netherlands/Belgium are found to be the most S&T-intensive clusters, followed by Daejeon (Republic of Korea), San Jose–San Francisco (United States) and Oxford (United Kingdom) (see Appendix Table 4). Sweden is making a strong showing overall with Lund–Malmö, Stockholm and Göteborg. Only San Jose–San Francisco makes the top five of the GII S&T cluster and the GII S&T intensity ranking.

Through this fresh lens, many European and United States clusters show more intense S&T activity than their Asian counterparts (see Map 3 and Table 9). The United States has seven clusters in the top 25 by S&T intensity, followed by Germany with five, and Switzerland and Sweden with three each.

**Map 3** European S&T clusters by intensity



**Table 9** Top S&T clusters by S&T intensity, 2022

Rank per capita	Cluster name	Economy
1	Cambridge	GB
2	Eindhoven	NL/BE
3	Daejeon	KR
4	San Jose–San Francisco, CA	US
5	Oxford	GB
6	Boston–Cambridge, MA	US
7	Ann Arbor, MI	US
8	San Diego, CA	US
9	Seattle, WA	US
10	Lund–Malmö	SE
11	Lausanne	CH/FR
12	Raleigh, NC	US
13	Munich	DE
14	Kanazawa	JP
15	Stockholm	SE
16	Göteborg	SE
17	Helsinki	FI
18	Nuremberg–Erlangen	DE
19	Zürich	CH/DE
20	Tokyo–Yokohama	JP
21	Copenhagen	DK
22	Beijing	CN
23	Stuttgart	DE
24	Basel	CH/DE/FR
25	Portland, OR	US

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

As was the case in the previous year’s GII S&T cluster ranking, S&T intensity was higher in those cases where patenting activity drove a cluster’s output, with 20 out of the top 25 clusters deriving the majority of their output from patents.

As expected, China, in particular, scores less well when correcting for population. Applying this methodology, Beijing (23) makes it into the top 25 by S&T intensity but no other Chinese or middle-income economy cluster does. Relative to the top S&T cluster ranking, Brazil, India, Iran, the Russian Federation and Türkiye maintain the same number of clusters in this top 100 S&T intensity ranking: Tehran (77) in Iran; Ankara (91) and Istanbul (95) in Türkiye; Moscow (94) in the Russian Federation; Bengaluru (96), Chennai (97), Delhi (99) and Mumbai (100) in India; and São Paulo (98) in Brazil (in order of best ranked cluster, with Tehran ranking highest).

## Note

- 1 S&T output growth refers to the net S&T output over time, which is the difference in total patents and publications for each cluster, for all points that were located inside the same cluster compared to the previous year.



## Special theme

This year's special GII theme looks to the future of innovation-driven growth, and asks:

Is stagnation here to stay, or are we about to enter a new era, where innovation waves reinvigorate economic growth and productivity globally?

# What is the future of innovation-driven growth: Productivity stagnation or revival?

**Klaas de Vries**, The Conference Board

**Sacha Wunsch-Vincent**, World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

The question of how innovation will affect our well-being over the coming decades has attracted the attention of scholars, policymakers and industry leaders.

Are we likely to live through a period of stagnation or will major innovations emerge that change all our lives for the better?

In the past, innovation has been the key driver of economic growth. Innovation has helped us to improve productivity – that is, how efficiently we produce things. An improvement in productivity directly boosts economic output relative to the population (gross domestic product, GDP, per capita), which in turn improves living standards.

Over recent decades there has been an unprecedented investment in innovation, both by the public and the private sectors. One would have expected this investment to have borne fruit in terms of higher living standards and improved well-being.

Yet, despite a massive growth in research and development (R&D) and other forms of innovation effort since the 1970s, recent technological developments are yet to generate the type of sustained productivity spurt seen in previous industrial revolutions. In fact, high-income economies are experiencing the opposite effect: rather than investment in innovation driving growth, there has instead been a prolonged slowdown in productivity since the 1970s. Often referred to as the “Great Stagnation,” this productivity growth slowdown brings into question the ability of innovation to create future growth.

At the same time, hope is on the horizon. Rapid advances in biomedicine, energy and information and communication technology (ICT) have the potential to significantly transform every aspect of the economy, leading some experts to predict that the world might, after all, be on the cusp of a new innovation-driven era of high productivity growth.

This 2022 edition of the *Global Innovation Index* (GII), with contributions by experts ([available online](#)), casts a spotlight on future productivity growth driven by innovation.<sup>1</sup> The key question addressed in this edition of the GII is which scenario is most likely to prevail – one of technology pessimism or one of optimism? Which technologies and what sectors will make a difference? And what roadblocks must be overcome before the route is clear toward a productivity revival?


To answer these questions and more, this introduction to the GII 2022 Special theme first assesses the severity of the productivity growth slowdown since the 1970s that continues up to the present day. The main reasons for pessimism about the future of innovation-driven growth are laid out, but also the causes for optimism. We look at two upcoming innovation waves most likely to finally bring productivity stagnation to an end. Lastly, business and policy recommendations for overcoming the barriers to future innovation-driven growth are formulated.

## How infrequent spurts in innovation-driven productivity – often with long delays between – started to boost living standards and bring massive changes

Major economic downturns aside, productivity and economic output grew year-on-year worldwide throughout the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries.

Historically speaking, this is a relatively recent phenomenon.<sup>2</sup> Effectively, before the 19<sup>th</sup> century, even those countries with the highest standards of living (measured in GDP per capita) did not experience any notable change in productivity and economic output for hundreds of years (Figure 13). It was only from the 1820s onwards that living standards started to rise significantly. From 1820 to 1949, the average annual per capita growth rate was 1.1 percent, after the Second World War from 1950 to 2021 rising to 1.9 percent.

**Figure 13** Real GDP per capita levels at the frontier, 1300–2021



Source: Authors' own representation, updated from WIPO (2015).<sup>3</sup>

A major contributor to higher living standards is improved productivity, that is, the increasing amount of goods and services produced from given labor and machinery. Productivity growth has accelerated significantly since the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Whereas it took 50 years for productivity to double after 1870, productivity has since doubled roughly every 25 years. As a result, in 2021, an hour worked in the Group of Seven (G7) economies produced, on average, 24 times more goods and services in comparison to 1870.<sup>4</sup>

The increase in living standards since the 19<sup>th</sup> century and the First Industrial Revolution can be traced back to technological breakthroughs, new waves of invention and innovation, and the effective diffusion of new technologies across economies. These innovation waves disrupted entire industries and incumbent businesses, on average for the better.

However, such innovation-driven growth spurts cannot be taken for granted. Innovation waves – what experts sometimes call industrial revolutions – are rare, take decades to happen and require a myriad of complementary conditions to fall into place before they come about. They are marked by radical innovations, such as the steam engine, electricity, chemicals and mass production, having the effect of boosting productivity across all sectors.<sup>5</sup> They have also coincided with periods of severe recession and social transformation.<sup>6</sup>

Past and future productivity-driven growth spurts initiated by innovation waves have four essential ingredients.

1. A sustained effort to turn breakthrough inventions made at the technology frontier into innovations with the potential to succeed in the marketplace.
2. Scalable innovations readily diffused and adopted across a wide range of sectors in the economy, building on all required complementary innovations.<sup>7</sup>

3. Relatedly, emerging economies adopting innovations at the technology frontier, thereby driving up world productivity.<sup>8</sup> (This process of technological catch-up is not automatic.)
4. The confronting of headwinds likely to lower living standards, such as an aging population. Productivity growth needs to outrun countervailing forces for welfare to increase.

Ingredients 2 and 3 taken together mean that any global innovation-growth stimulus often only occurs after a long delay.<sup>9</sup> Invariably, innovation and productivity effects occur very slowly during the initial stages, only to be followed by a sharp takeoff and impact years later.<sup>10</sup>

These four ingredients are key to assessing any potential future productivity growth spurts.


## Productivity slump since the 1970s: Is the link between innovation and productivity broken?

Today, innovation-driven productivity growth seems to be broken. High-income economies, in particular, are struggling to replicate their success of the recent past.

### Is the persistent productivity slowdown getting worse?

After the 1970s, a period of sustained slowdown in productivity growth began (Figure 14; see also GII 2022 Expert Contributions from van Ark and Fleming; Petropolous). Before then, productivity growth had been stimulated by the aforementioned innovation waves: the United States of America took the innovation and productivity lead in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, with the post-Second World War period especially fruitful, as technology diffused out from the more advanced United States to reach Europe and later Japan and the Republic of Korea.

**Figure 14 Labor productivity growth, 1871–2021**




Sources: Authors' own representation based on 1870–1950 data from Bergeaud *et al.* (2016); 1950–2019 data taken from The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ (April 2022).

Note: Continental Europe refers to France, Germany and Italy.<sup>11</sup>

The first period of productivity slowdown occurred somewhere around the 1970s (see Figures 14, 15 and 16). The drop from a 3.8 percent average annual growth rate between 1950 and 1973 to 2.1 percent between 1976 and 2007 is visible almost across the board, with the sole exception of the Republic of Korea (see Figure 16). A further drop to a 1.2 percent average annual growth between 2010 and 2021 can be seen in almost every Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) country, this time including the Republic of Korea.

The United States experienced a brief uptick in growth during the 1990s and early 2000s, often associated with the ICT revolution (see *Revival or stagnation?*). However, this proved short-lived and Europe was not a beneficiary of this innovation wave. Furthermore, the productivity growth slowdown intensified again around the time of the 2008/2009 global financial crisis, and has worsened since.

**Figure 15 Slowdown in GDP per capita growth in OECD economies, 1950–2021**




Source: Authors' own representation based on data from The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ (April 2022).  
 Note: Real GDP levels are expressed in 2021 International Dollars, converted using purchasing power parity (PPP).<sup>12</sup>


What does this slowdown mean in practice? The trend lines in Figure 15 show that living standards would have been significantly higher in the absence of a productivity growth slowdown. If the 1950–1973 real GDP per capita growth trend had continued until 2007, real GDP per capita would have been 78 percent higher that year. Furthermore, if the already slower trend from 1976–2007 had continued until 2021, real GDP per capita would nevertheless have risen by a fifth (20 percent) in no more than 14 years.

**Figure 16 Slowdown in labor productivity growth, 1950s–2010s**

**a. Labor productivity growth, high-income economies**



**b. Labor productivity growth, emerging economies**



Source: Authors' own representation based on data from The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ (April 2022).  
 Note: Labor productivity refers to GDP per hour worked.

Ironically, this productivity growth slump has coincided with soaring innovation investments, as measured by spending on education and R&D, the availability of venture capital (VC), the filing of intellectual property (IP) and investments in other forms of intangible assets.<sup>13</sup> Economists have accordingly suggested a marked decline in the productivity of R&D.<sup>14</sup>

These boom-and-bust figures apply only to high-income economies. For middle-income economies, the trend is more diverse – and fraught with measurement uncertainties. China’s productivity growth began to gather speed from the 1980s onwards, once the country had started to integrate into the world economy, has slowed prematurely over the last decade (see Figures 14 and 16b).

The vast majority of other emerging economies were never part of the productivity spurt, in particular Africa and Latin America, but also the bulk of economies in the Middle East or Asia. Notable exceptions are India, Indonesia and Türkiye.

## **Techno-pessimist or techno-optimist?**

Technology pessimists argue that the supply of innovation has diminished, compounding the other factors slowing improvement in living standards.

### **Techno-pessimist #1: Transformative ideas are getting harder to find**

The central argument of techno-pessimists is that innovations are, on the one hand, becoming more difficult to find, and, on the other, that those that are emerging will not have the same transformative impact on productivity as did past technologies. On the first point, it is argued that the low-hanging fruit of innovation and technology has already been picked.<sup>15</sup> Despite massive innovation investments, it is becoming more costly to find and develop potentially novel innovation; the rate of scientific progress has slowed and the productivity of R&D has declined.<sup>16</sup> It is further argued that emerging novel technologies are less revolutionary than past breakthroughs.<sup>17</sup> The “great inventions” of the past – ranging from the combustion engine, electrification, plumbing, airplanes to barcodes<sup>18</sup> – allowed a dramatic shift from an agrarian to an industrialized economy, and subsequently led to the development of service-based economies, making today’s innovations appear modest in comparison.<sup>19</sup>

### **Techno-pessimist #2: Innovation systems are no longer so productive**

A second argument is that today’s innovation systems, including the interplay between innovation actors churning out impactful inventions, are less effective than in the past. This argument runs contrary to the hypothesis that, today, public–private knowledge transfer works better, thanks to more efficient knowledge transfer policies and practices.<sup>20</sup> On paper, firms are spending more on R&D than ever before. However, it is argued that scientifically excellent in-house laboratories renowned for their innovations between the 1950s and 1970s – such as, for instance, the American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T) or International Business Machines (IBM) – once key to the commercialization of breakthrough inventions, are now in rapid decline.<sup>21</sup> Large firms are increasingly choosing to license research from universities rather than carry out their own R&D. With diminished in-house research capacities, the link between innovation in the marketplace and scientific discoveries in the laboratory is weakened. In turn, this reduces the overall speed and effectiveness of innovation creation, adoption and impact.

### **Techno-pessimist #3: Other factors are making it harder for innovation to make a difference**

Finally, the conditions for innovation making a lasting difference to growth have worsened. Even if innovation had the same potential as before – which it does not – several factors (dubbed headwinds)<sup>22</sup> will continue to drag on long-term growth. One of these factors is an aging population (see [Will innovation beat the slowing growth in living standards?](#)).

Not all experts agree with this bleak, “Great Stagnation” hypothesis. What then are the counterarguments? The core argument put forward by technology optimists is that innovations take time to unfold, due to the many challenges faced by innovation diffusion at every level, from the firm, sectoral and regional levels all the way up to the international level. In fact, they go further by arguing that we are on the cusp of a new innovation-driven productivity boom.

### Techno-optimist #1: Historically speaking, we are doing fine; non-stop exponential productivity growth is the wrong benchmark

Compared to historic data, productivity growth rates over the past decades have remained above average (see Figure 13). Moreover, using rates seen prior to the 1970s as a benchmark for the future is arguably off the mark. This point of view is supported by a recent, influential paper arguing that productivity does not grow exponentially, but rather that the big growth spurts seen in the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries are the exceptions, not the norm.<sup>23</sup> Today's "additive" growth will still lead to vast improvements over time (see Figure 22, showing advanced economies to have roughly doubled their productivity since the 1970s slowdown began).

That does not mean experts exclude the possibility of a historically significant productivity growth push. Indeed, techno-optimists argue that big science has already begun producing major breakthroughs, whose transformative potential across all industry sectors (not only ICT) is on par with, or even superior to, previous innovation and productivity spurts (see [Revival or stagnation?](#)).<sup>24</sup> The rapid adoption and success of the messenger RNA vaccines in combating COVID-19 has probably played a large part in this renewed optimism. But techno-optimists also point to advances in other areas: for example, the rapidly declining cost of renewable energy (mainly related to wind, solar and geothermal (see the Global Innovation Tracker Dashboard on page 25 and GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Gutierrez de Piñeres Luna, Ocampo, Del Pilar Tapias, Morales, Otalvaro and Fernandez](#)) and battery technologies (e.g., lithium-metal batteries), the rapid advancements in digital technologies (e.g., artificial intelligence (AI), nanotechnologies) and the sharply declining cost of space exploration (e.g., SpaceX).

### Techno-optimist #2: It takes time for innovation to be absorbed and create impact

It takes a tremendously long time – sometimes decades – for new inventions and innovations to combine with other complementary processes and organizational innovations. The innovations that have occurred after the 1970s, particularly those during the 2000s, will eventually feed through to strong productivity growth. Artificial intelligence, quantum computing or advances in new materials or bioinformatics – none of which is inferior to past big inventions – will inevitably translate into higher productivity growth. This future is not yet here, but it is just around the corner.

Furthermore, the argument goes, the potential diffusion of existing technologies is massive. Untapped productivity gains are within grasp, but diffusion is imperfect at the firm, sector, regional and international levels.

Starting at the firm level, evidence shows technology adoption still concentrated within a few firms only – the super-firms (see [Revival or stagnation?](#)). The co-existence of productivity leaders alongside productivity laggards creates persistent productivity differences, slowing the process of creative destruction. Laggards lack the skills and resources to make the necessary investments in order to become as productive as those economies who lead in terms of technological sophistication and are thus able to push forward the productivity and innovation frontier (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [van Ark and Fleming](#)).

Moving to the next level, some sectors – the super-sectors – have experienced above-average productivity growth, including ICT, wholesale and retail, manufacturing, finance, but also agriculture. Despite this, the majority of sectors have performed below the overall economy average, or even seen a decline; namely, utilities, transport, education, entertainment, restaurants, construction and others (Table 10). A focus on this group of sectors will yield large productivity gains.<sup>25</sup> And, in middle- and low-income economies, the untapped potential is even greater. Only a few sectors, notably agriculture, have experienced productivity increases (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Braga de Andrade, Cosentino and Sagazio](#)).<sup>26</sup> Large parts of developing countries' economies are informal in nature. Although such parts are measured, and consequently do not drag down observed productivity, it is nevertheless correct to say that productivity is typically low in informal sectors (see see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Dosso](#)).<sup>27</sup>

At the regional level, vast variations exist in the diffusion of productivity-enhancing innovations across regions, including in the European Union and the United States, as well as in emerging economies such as China, Colombia and Türkiye. Some regions – the super-regions – perform extremely well, while others, lacking agglomeration effects and locked in a low skills-wage-productivity trap, perform poorly (Figure 17).<sup>28</sup>


**Table 10 Average productivity growth by sectors, 1996–2019 (average annual percentage change)**

			United States %	Canada %	United Kingdom %	Germany %	France %	Japan %	Italy %	Unweighted G7 average %	Share in GDP %
<b>Leading</b>	Information and communication	J	5.4	2.0	8.9	3.8	3.1	2.1	2.1	3.9	5
	Agriculture	A	4.5	3.7	4.4	3.7	3.4	2.3	1.5	3.3	2
	Manufacturing	C	3.4	1.7	3.8	2.2	2.8	2.7	1.2	2.5	16
	Wholesale and retail	G	2.6	2.6	0.6	2.2	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.7	11
	Finance and insurance	K	2.1	2.5	1.9	-0.3	2.1	1.3	1.4	1.6	6
	Government	O	0.1	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.1	8
<b>Economy-wide</b>	Overall	A–T	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.3	1.1	100
<b>Lagging</b>	Transport and storage	H	0.4	1.0	0.7	1.6	1.4	-0.1	0.7	0.8	4
	Real estate activities	L	1.2	1.4	-1.3	1.5	1.2	0.2	-0.8	0.5	11
	Arts, entertainment and other services	R–T	0.1	1.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.9	0.1	-0.2	0.2	5
	Utilities	D–E	0.6	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	-1.0	-2.0	0.1	2
	Mining	B	2.2	-0.3	-4.4	1.8	-0.5	-1.2	2.6	0.0	1
	Professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support services	M–N	1.2	0.9	0.4	-1.2	-0.2	0.8	-1.8	0.0	10
	Health and social care	Q	0.7	-0.2	-0.2	0.7	0.2	-0.9	-0.8	-0.1	7
	Restaurants and hotels	I	0.4	0.6	-0.1	-0.3	-0.2	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	3
	Education	P	0.2	0.5	-1.3	-1.2	-0.4	0.4	-0.4	-0.3	4
	Construction	F	-1.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	-0.6	-0.2	-1.1	-0.3	5

Source: Authors' calculations using data from national statistical offices and EU-KLEMS.

Notes: G7 refers to an unweighted average of the seven countries; share in GDP is likewise an unweighted average of GDP shares over the period 1996–2019; codes in the second column refer to the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities, Rev.4.

**Figure 17 Regional labor productivity differentials, 2020 or earlier**



Source: Authors' own calculations using the OECD Regional Economy dataset.

Notes: Labor productivity refers to GDP per worker. The regions at the top of the graph are more productive than the average or median; those at the bottom are the least productive regions.<sup>29</sup>

Finally, vast untapped technology diffusion and productivity catch-up potential exists at the international level. While the productivity of most advanced economies has roughly doubled since the 1970s slowdown began, others have yet to catch up (see Figures 22 and 23).

### Techno-optimist #3: Productivity might be under-measured or completely the wrong metric

The third and last techno-optimist argument is that productivity may actually be on the rise, but its full extent not captured by productivity statistics. GDP statistics were largely conceived during the Second World War.<sup>30</sup> At that time, a large portion of the economy centered around making goods, whereas, today, services activities predominate.

Conventions regarding the estimation of GDP (and national accounts more broadly) are updated every two decades or so to reflect a changing economy. Nevertheless, several measurement problems stand out. They are:


- how to better measure the services-oriented economy;
- how to account for the monetary benefits of notionally free digital services, such as online maps;



- the imperfect way intangible asset investments are accounted for;<sup>31</sup> and
- the imperfect way quality improvements are captured, first and foremost in ICT products, but also in other fields (e.g., car safety, health and so on – see [Will there be an innovation-driven productivity revival?](#))<sup>32</sup>

Indeed, a better capturing of intangible asset investments – particularly in the field of economic competencies – leads to an increase in official labor productivity measures (Figure 18). National accounts similarly need to include the contribution made by substantial quality improvements in many different fields, including in health and education.

**Figure 18 Labor productivity growth rate, selected countries, 2000–2007 and 2011–2018**



Source: Authors' calculations using EU-KLEMS available at Luiss: <https://euklems-intanprod-lee.luiss.it>.

Others argue that productivity data is not just mis-measured, but entirely inappropriate as a measure of technological progress.<sup>33</sup> According to Nakamura (2020) “we are simply not ‘seeing’ innovation-driven productivity growth since the changes are too fast for our statistical systems to keep up with.”<sup>34</sup> Moreover, productivity and GDP may no longer be adequate measures for capturing living standards or welfare either (see [Will there be an innovation-driven productivity revival?](#)). Environmental degradation is a significant externality that GDP as a measure fails to reflect.<sup>35</sup>

Importantly, this raises the possibility that the drivers of innovation might also have radically changed. Productivity used to be a paramount concern; nowadays, climate change issues, and more generally “value-based production,” are key to pushing innovation. This being the case, the linkage between innovation and productivity gains will inevitably become weaker.

## Revival or stagnation?

What follows assesses the likelihood of an innovation revival bringing productivity growth stagnation to an end.

### Productivity figures getting better after a COVID-19 boost? Not really...


A pressing question is whether current productivity figures have experienced an uptick during, and possibly as a result of, the COVID-19 pandemic.

Indeed, 2020 and early 2021 data and related business executive surveys have nurtured this belief.<sup>36</sup> The crisis has supposedly accelerated technology adoption and diffusion, in particular as regards digitalization and novel forms of (remote) working.

Recent data shows 2020 to have seen the fastest rate of global labor productivity growth since the 1970s in such countries as Brazil, Türkiye, the United Kingdom, the United States and South Africa (in order of growth).<sup>37</sup> Global productivity figures spiked that year at 4.5 percent, up from 1.4 percent in 2019 (Figure 19; see also Global Innovation Tracker, this volume).

Yet, attributing this spike to a productivity revival would be wrong. First, it is the result of simple arithmetic: 2020 global GDP dropped by 3.3 percent, but total hours worked declined by more, 7.5 percent, thus boosting productivity. Second, lockdowns disproportionately impacted low productivity economic activities (e.g., in-person services), thereby boosting productivity through compositional effects.

**Figure 19 Global GDP per hour worked, 2011–2022**



Source: The Conference Board Total Economy Database™, April 2022.

Notes: Underlying levels of real GDP are expressed in 2021 international dollars, converted using purchasing power parity (PPP).

After 2020, global labor productivity fell sharply to zero in 2021, and is forecast to stagnate again in 2022, including due to the impacts of higher input costs for energy, as well as the supply chain disruption caused by the the Russian Federation–Ukraine conflict.<sup>38</sup> In most economies, productivity levels are likely remain below trend into the foreseeable future. As argued later, this does not mean that the accelerated digitalization prompted by the pandemic did not have a productivity effect. It probably did – it will just take time before it appears in the data.

### Will there be an innovation-driven productivity revival?

Thankfully, the sharp declines in productivity for 2021 – and static forecast for 2022 – are driven down mainly by short-term factors, namely, escalating input costs and the shutting down and subsequent reopening of the economy that impacted low-productivity service activities in particular.<sup>39</sup> Therefore, the impact of innovation breakthroughs is not directly factored into these estimates.

So, what is the innovation-driven productivity revival outlook likely to be?

#### Digital Age and Deep Science: Two innovation waves in the making


Evidence is building for two types of novel innovation waves emerging, each with the potential for large, measured – and possibly unmeasured – productivity and welfare impacts.

##### Digital Age wave: ICT surge in two parts

First, the ICT wave – which started in the 1970s and supposedly subsided in the late 1990s – is forecast to regain strength over the coming months and years (see GII 2022 Expert Contributions from [van Ark and Fleming](#); [Peters and Trunschke](#); [Petropoulos](#)).

This is best conceptualized as two consecutive ICT surges forming what we choose to call the “Digital Age wave” (Figure 20).

**Figure 20 Past and future innovation waves from the 19<sup>th</sup> through the 21<sup>st</sup> century**



Source: Authors' conceptualization based on references sources.<sup>40</sup>

The first ICT surge led to the installation of sophisticated communication networks and equipment – the internet, mobile devices and so on. This installation phase is not yet over, instead it continues to boom (Figure 21). While the ICT revolution led to an initial uptick in productivity growth in the United States, this neither lasted nor spread to other countries.


In a second surge, ICTs are diffusing as general-purpose digital technologies in the form of supercomputing, cloud computing, the internet of things (IoT), AI and automation (fueling the “New Digital Economy,” as discussed in GII 2022 Expert Contribution from van Ark and Fleming).

In this Digital Age wave, the impact of ICTs unfolds in two ways:

- **ICT as a research tool:** ICTs have had a powerful effect on scientific advances and R&D in fields such as bio-informatics, pharma, green tech and other scientific fields, leading many to observe a convergence of ICT, bio- and nanotechnology, and cognitive science research. As characterized by Cockburn and colleagues, ICTs are a general-purpose “method of invention” – with data analysis and simulation opportunities – profoundly reshaping the innovation process and the organization of R&D.<sup>41</sup>
- **Advanced ICTs as a general-purpose technology:** The second ICT revolution will profoundly impact the organization of non-ICT sectors, in particular through the application of automation and AI, large-scale factor digitalization, 3D-printing and advanced robotics (see in GII 2022 Expert Contribution from Petropoulos, WIPO, 2019). If the adoption of these technologies follows suit, this would be a productivity game-changer in every manufacturing sector and also agriculture (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from Braga de Andrade, Cosentino

and Sagazio), but – importantly – also in those large service sectors trailing in productivity, including education, health, transport and utilities, and for which existing ICT, robotics and other technologies are not yet fully ripe.

**Figure 21 Investment in ICT equipment, 1995–2021**



Source: Authors' calculations using national sources and Eurostat.

Note: Nominal investment in ICT equipment (hardware and communication equipment) in local currency, indexed to 2000=100.

Taken together, the advent of “cyber-physical systems” and their application equip people and machines with entirely new capabilities (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from van Ark and Fleming). Nobel-prize winning economist William Nordhaus posits that computation and AI will eventually cross a boundary, beyond which economic growth will accelerate sharply, as an ever-increasing slew of improvements cascades through the economy (though he admits this is far from happening yet).<sup>42</sup>

Indeed, while the effect of ICT on non-ICT science and research has already been a forceful one, its effect in the second revolution and the required digital transformation will take a long time to materialize, given the complexity of application within a business context (see GII 2022 Expert Contributions from [van Ark and Fleming](#); [Petropoulos](#); [Gültepe](#); [Braga de Andrade, Cosentino and Sagazio](#)).<sup>43</sup>

The reason for insufficient adoption to date is, in part, linked to the current limitations of installed computing and networking capabilities. However, it is caused principally by a lag in the adoption and integration of advanced second phase ICTs,<sup>44</sup> as well as the lack of a skilled workforce.

Even so, in selected high-tech firms within high-income economies, the positive productivity effects of the Digital Age wave can already be felt (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Peters and Trunschke](#)).<sup>45</sup>

Clearly, although the figures for 2021 and 2022 fail to show a productivity upswing, experts remain convinced that the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated three things: (i) the accumulation of ICT-related capital; (ii) an increase of associated skills; and (iii) a spurring of organizational and behavioral changes – remote work being one of them, but also spilling into new, digital ways of delivering services previously subject to low productivity, for example, tele-medicine (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Mazumdar-Shaw](#)), as well as tele-education. As a result, “a decade’s worth of digital innovation has been compressed into just under two years, boosting innovation adoption.”<sup>46</sup>

#### *Deep Science wave: Life sciences and health, clean tech, and agri-food innovation*

In addition to a reinvigorated Digital Age wave, there is the real possibility of another upcoming innovation wave – a Deep Science wave – evolving around breakthrough inventions and innovations in the fields of life sciences and health, agri-food, energy and clean tech, and transport. This wave relates to scientific progress across an array of scientific and technical fields,

outside of ICT, that have matured over the last decades, and which are erupting – see the rapid evolution of novel vaccines – or are about to erupt shortly.

Like the Digital Age, this Deep Science wave has not arrived out of nowhere. Breakthroughs in biotechnologies, bio-chemistry, nanotechnologies, new materials and other basic scientific advancements made over the last decades are now a lubricant for downstream innovations – representing a true comeback for the hard sciences.<sup>47</sup> Breakthroughs include:

- developments in genetics and stem cell research, nanotechnology, biologics and brain research generating new possibilities for the detection, prevention and cure of disease, including vaccines;<sup>48</sup>
- novel materials, such as new resins and ceramics, being developed at the nano-technology level, drawing on advancements in graphene and the material sciences, which promise to change production going forward (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Gültepe](#));
- an unprecedented convergence of biology, agronomy, plant science, digitalization and robotics transforming innovation in the field of agriculture and food.<sup>49</sup>

Beyond the use of ICTs alone, science is today being conducted with radically more efficient tools and processes. The indirect effects on productivity cannot be overestimated.<sup>50</sup> As a result, a previously feared stagnation in the field of biomedical sciences is now considered over.<sup>51</sup>

Taken together, this has led to radical progress in fields as diverse as life sciences and health, agri-food, energy and clean tech, and transport innovation (Table 11). In these fields, the links between big science, industrial innovation and the marketplace have become stronger rather than weaker.

**Table 11 Deep Science wave impacts in four fields**

Life sciences and health	Agri-food
<b>New scientific breakthroughs, treatments, and cures</b>	<b>New scientific breakthroughs</b>
Genetics and stem cell research	New-generation sequencing
Nanotechnology	Bioreactor-based synthetic food production
Biologics	Lab-grown real meat and other future foods with higher yields and better nutrient content
Brain research	Self-fertilizing crops
New generation of vaccines and immunotherapy	Precision farming
Pain management	Smart fertilizers
Mental health treatments	Advanced packaging
New medical technologies (precision and regenerative medicine)	Total recycling
<b>New health innovation systems</b>	<b>New food production systems</b>
Novel approaches in health care research (e.g., AI)	Digital agriculture enabled by remote sensing, and geographic information systems
New ways of delivering health care (e.g., telemedicine)	Bio-controlled and artificial agro-ecosystems
	Vertical farming
	Innovation along the agri-food value chain, from seeds to farming and harvesting
	Digitalization of retail and logistics
<b>Energy and clean technology</b>	<b>Mobility</b>
<b>New scientific breakthroughs</b>	<b>New scientific breakthroughs</b>
Cheaper and efficient renewable energies	Electric batteries and other elements of energy and clean tech
Battery technologies	Autonomous vehicles
Fusion technology	Tunneling for high-speed transport
Geothermal	Supersonic and electric aviation
Green hydrogen	
Sustainable alternative fuels	<b>New transport systems</b>
Carbon dioxide catcher	Charging infrastructure
	Urban air mobility companies
<b>New energy delivery and storage systems</b>	Drone delivery
Digitalization of energy system	Ultra-highspeed train networks
Smart grid	Novel traffic management systems
Ultra-high voltage lines	
Utility-scale storage of renewable energy	
Small-scale renewable systems to provide electricity to people living far from the grid	

Sources: GII 2019, 2018, 2017 and this volume, in particular GII 2022 Expert Contribution from Gutierrez de Piñeres Luna.

Still, a cautionary note is in order. The literature on innovation waves had predicted the life science wave would take over from the ICT wave in the 1990s – yet this did not happen. The transformative potential of technologies such as CRISPR, graphene and nanotechnology more broadly has been touted for at least two decades, if not three. And, although they have now been around for a long while, they have not led to a revolution. Again, in general, it is important to acknowledge the long lead times required and related uncertainties. Clearly, the pandemic may have inadvertently unlocked the potential of mRNA technology, with possible spillover effects to other areas of health. Factors like the greater frequency of environmental disasters or high energy prices might also have started to boost clean technologies in the short term.

### The Digital Age and Deep Science waves: Which impacts on what sectors?

This cautionary note aside, one can nevertheless speculate about the impact the Digital and Deep Science waves are likely to make on different sectors of the economy. In Table 12, sectors are ranked by order of recent productivity growth rates in G7 economies.

**Table 12 Promising new technologies identified by sector**

	Digital Age wave impacts	Deep Science wave impacts	Welfare impact
<b>Information and communication</b>	Not applicable, originating sector	Yes, use of nanotechnology and neural networks	
<b>Agriculture</b>	Yes, in particular automation with regards to planting and harvesting, big data to make better decisions, etc.	Yes, see Table 11	Quicker delivery to market; reduction of carbon footprint; more sustainable
<b>Manufacturing</b>	Yes, in particular fields of automation, advanced robotics and 3D-printing	Yes, nanotech, new materials, etc.	
<b>Wholesale and retail</b>	Yes, in particular e-commerce and supply chain and logistics	Uncertain	
<b>Finance and insurance</b>	Yes, in particular FinTech, digital currencies; block chain	Uncertain	
<b>Government</b>	Yes, in particular e-government	Uncertain	
<b>Transport and storage</b>	Yes, in particular supply chain and logistics	Autonomous vehicles; supersonic aviation; urban air mobility companies; drone delivery; tunneling for high-speed transport, electric aviation	Fewer accidents; fewer carbon emissions
<b>Real estate activities</b>	More limited, except for planning and logistics, and virtual reality	Uncertain	
<b>Arts, entertainment and other services</b>	More limited, except for planning and logistics, and virtual reality	Uncertain	
<b>Utilities</b>	Yes, in particular smart grid	Yes, see Table 11	Cleaner and more abundant energy
<b>Mining</b>	Yes, for planning and extraction, and more advanced prospecting	Uncertain	
<b>Professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support services</b>	Yes, for collaborative telepresence, AI applications and machine learning	Uncertain	
<b>Health and social care</b>	Yes, including electronic patient records and remote health care	Yes, see Table 11	Improved well-being; longer and more healthy lifespan
<b>Restaurants and hotels</b>	More limited, except for delivery, planning and logistics, and robots	Uncertain	
<b>Education</b>	Yes, with virtual learning environments and distance education	Uncertain	
<b>Construction</b>	Medium with use in annex service industries (architects, etc.), such as integrated building information modeling	Yes, 3D-printed homes; materials science	

Source: Authors' analysis and conceptualization.

From the exercise in Table 12, some cautious conclusions can be drawn.

First, many of the likely productivity-enhancing innovations of the Digital and the Deep Science waves will positively impact those sectors performing above average in the last decade, including ICTs, agriculture, manufacturing, and wholesale and retail. These are important sectors of the economy, both in terms of employment and overall size. The possible impacts in fields such as automation for the various manufacturing sub-sectors, or the ability of some impacts to increase agricultural productivity, cannot be overestimated.

Second, the picture is more mixed, as regards those sectors in need of a productivity boost – it is unclear whether productivity laggards will be able to reverse their fortunes. Because the

transport sector is large, economically speaking, it is probable that enhanced productivity in this sector could have a significant effect on productivity economy-wide. However, hospitality (restaurants and hotels) and other in-person type services might be unable to garner similar productivity gains from new waves of innovation. Any shift in demand from sectors where technology is progressing rapidly (e.g., manufacturing) to sectors where it is progressing slowly (e.g., services) reduces aggregate productivity growth.<sup>52</sup>

In sectors like construction, which has been plagued by low productivity growth in the past, or mining, where productivity performance is medium on average, the impact of innovation on productivity is hard to predict. Only time will tell whether scientific and technological advances will make an important difference to these sectors' productivity. There are encouraging signs regarding the role of AI in extractive industries or 3D-printing in housing, but the aggregate productivity effects in these sectors are still uncertain.<sup>53</sup>

Third, although the impact of innovation might be enormous on energy, green technologies, health care and education, the effect on immediate and measured productivity might be limited. It would therefore improve overall well-being, for example, by reducing the carbon footprint or facilitating a longer and healthier lifespan, rather than seriously impacting business or productivity performance. Clearly, in the longer term, the benefits of a healthier population and cleaner environment could well be felt in terms of higher productivity growth.<sup>54</sup> That said, these effects are diffuse and some more related to improved welfare rather than productivity impacts (see [Techno-pessimist or techno-optimist?](#)).

On balance, if adoption is high – and that is the crux of the matter – innovation-driven productivity growth propelled by the Digital Age and Deep Science waves could turn out to be high.

### **Innovation diffusion, adoption and international catch-up: Drivers and barriers**

What are the novel adoption and diffusion drivers likely to determine the fate and fortune of the impending waves of innovation breakthrough?

Table 13 sets out the main drivers for and obstacles to diffusion, adoption and international innovation catch-up.

Overall, technology adoption and complementary innovations are potentially a critical stumbling block. There is a renewed urgency from innovation actors and policymakers to transfer technology into the marketplace and find practical, innovation-driven answers to ever-more urgent societal challenges. This is an evident boost to adoption. Yet, as set out in Table 13, the challenges preventing the rapid adoption of technologies and their complementary innovations happening are real.

The services provided by large IT companies have the power to disseminate methods, techniques, software and artifacts that increase the productivity of the economic activities that absorb them. Such companies disseminate the most relevant second-generation ICT solutions to the wider economy.

Aside from the many asymmetries listed, the question of whether only a few select superstar firms benefit from technologies is an interesting one to pursue.<sup>55, 56</sup> Indeed, it is the case that frontier firms manage to improve performance, while lagging firms struggle to keep up. Such cases show technology is capable of delivering productivity growth, leaving the question of how the positive uptake of technology can be broadened. As explained in the context of Brazil (see GII Expert Contribution from [Braga de Andrade, Cosentino and Sagazio](#)), the inability of the “long tail” of small and medium-sized firms of low productivity existing in emerging country economies to tap technology potential is a big problem.

Skills shortages are an additional serious hindrance to innovation waves materializing; and this concerns rich countries equally as much as poor ones, including in fields such as data science.

One also needs to be realistic about the radical nature of some elements of the Digital Age and Deep Science waves, which makes them in need not only of acceptance by society, but also the complementary infrastructure and substantial new regulatory frameworks that are a long time in the making.

**Table 13 Innovation diffusion, adoption and international catch-up: drivers and barriers**

Drivers	Barriers
<p><b>What is the state of innovation diffusion and adoption?</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Generally, new technologies diffuse into households and firms faster today than in the past (Comin and Hobijn, 2010)</li> <li>2. Novel second ICT wave technologies such as AI are embedded in services readily purchased off-the-shelf from external providers</li> <li>3. Generally, technology transfer from public labs to the marketplace – including via spin-offs and starts-up – is getting more efficient</li> <li>4. COVID-19 and emergencies in the fields of health, climate change and food may have accelerated the diffusion and adoption of new technologies, including by increasing their social acceptance</li> </ol>	<p><b>What is the state of innovation diffusion and adoption?</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Technology adoption – as opposed to simple diffusion – is still arduous and long, particularly with respect to the second ICT surge and the Deep Science wave</li> <li>2. Achieving widespread technology diffusion and adoption, and hence overcoming the firm, sectorial and regional level gaps (see the <a href="#">Techno-pessimist or techno-optimist?</a> section) is challenging</li> <li>3. The dominance of “superstar” firms – winner-takes-all – might slow innovation adoption (the productivity slowdown’s “dirty secret,” according to Andrews, 2016)</li> <li>4. Severe skills shortages slow the adoption of novel technologies</li> <li>5. Current economic uncertainty and the rise in capital costs might limit private technology and complementary innovation investments</li> <li>6. Innovations in the fields of health (genetic engineering), robots and AI, transport (autonomous vehicles) and bio-engineered food are radical and require societal acceptance, a complementary infrastructure and substantial new regulatory frameworks long in the making</li> </ol>
<p><b>What drives international innovation catch-up?</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recent setbacks aside, knowledge and technology spreads much faster internationally than in the past, with globalized production and innovation networks leading to unseen, unconditional catch-up and convergence with the frontier (Patel <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> <li>2. Generally, the competency of middle- and lower-income economies in integrating and adapting leading technologies is on the rise</li> <li>3. Only a very few emerging economies themselves drive frontier innovations (essentially China and a few others), thus facilitating diffusion and adoption in these same middle-income economies, and possibly the production of more cost-effective technologies fit for other emerging economies</li> </ol>	<p><b>What slows international innovation catch-up?</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. COVID-19 and recent geopolitical conflicts invite a scenario where de-globalization or reduced international knowledge flows slow catch-up</li> <li>2. Reduced corporate income and lower government revenues in middle- and low-income economies, together with reduced access to financial markets, depress technology investment</li> <li>4. A few economies, especially in East Asia, have managed to catch-up through technology adoption. Yet, most developing country firms are far behind the technological frontier and find it difficult to adopt technologies, particularly micro-enterprises and informal enterprises</li> <li>3. Since COVID-19, many developing countries have experienced setbacks to their education and human capital base, accentuating existing skills shortages</li> <li>4. Many of the novel breakthrough innovations – including of the Digital Age and Deep Science waves – are mis-aligned with developing country circumstances</li> </ol>

As to technological catch-up and convergence, the past three decades were an unacknowledged golden age that has led to unconditional and historic convergence.<sup>57</sup> This was thanks to increased globalization and what came with it in terms of knowledge diffusion and technology and innovation transfer, including managerial and other organizational and process innovations. All those countries that have climbed the GII innovation rankings over time, for example, China, India, Türkiye, the Philippines and Viet Nam, have for various reasons (e.g., industrial policies) been able to develop homegrown technological capabilities; an achievement reflected in measured innovation performance and the ability to participate in global value chains.


A key tailwind comes from the growing share of resources dedicated to R&D across the world over recent decades. The question of a possible decline in R&D productivity aside, this means that the financial and human resources devoted to solving the world’s problems are clearly trending upwards.

It is also evident that, today, the proficiency with which middle-income countries are able to absorb existing technologies and innovations is far higher. This means that – at least for advanced developing countries like China – they are now in a position themselves to drive forward the technology frontier.

That said, the catch-up potential is still vast (Figures 22 and 23). Although convergence has quickened in some selected emerging economies, notably in Asia, such as China, India and Indonesia, but also Türkiye, the productivity differentials remain massive. As a case in point, an average hour worked in a middle-income economy produces goods and services worth around 10 to 20 percent of the value of what is produced in the United States. Impressively, if every country were to perform at the US level, global GDP would be nearly three and a half times its current size.




**Figure 22** Productivity levels in selected major economies between 1970 and 2021



Source: Authors, based on data from The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ (April 2022).

Notes: Real GDP levels are expressed in 2021 international dollars, converted using purchasing power parity (PPP); productivity refers to GDP per worker.

**Figure 23** Labor productivity relative to the United States



Source: Authors, based on data from The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ (April 2022).

Notes: Real GDP levels are expressed in 2021 international dollars, converted using purchasing power parity (PPP); productivity refers to GDP per worker.

And whether in the years to come there will be as much unconditional convergence potential as there has been over the last three decades is questionable. Countries that have yet to barely overcome the COVID-19 pandemic standstill are now confronted by geopolitical turmoil, as well as sizeable global trade and supply chain disruptions and a potential de-globalization scenario. This might close the door to any future emerging economy wishing to jump aboard the catch-up express train.

Finally, one must always keep in mind the question as to whether the outputs of the Digital Age and Deep Science waves are always a good fit for the needs and skills in place in developing countries.

## Will innovation beat the slowing growth in living standards?

A decade ago, Gordon posited the need for faltering innovation to confront the significant headwinds slowing long-term growth in living standards, including an overhang of debt, aging populations, inequality and environmental policies that might (at least temporarily) be a drag on living standards, that is, per capita GDP growth (see [Techno-pessimist or techno-optimist?](#) section).<sup>58</sup>

Some of Gordon's arguments are rather US-centric, while others might need revision in the light of more current global events. In sum, some of Gordon's headwinds hold strong, some can be tempered, and new ones have emerged in the meantime.

- **Rising cost of inputs, energy and global value chain disruptions:** The COVID-19 pandemic and geopolitical events have resulted in steep rises in input costs and a shortage of goods and materials. There are growing calls for re-shoring or near-shoring, possibly heralding yet higher input costs. Whether higher input costs and energy prices are a temporary headwind is uncertain.
- **Public debt making future investments more difficult:** Debt levels surged during the pandemic, as governments sought to mitigate the negative impacts of shutdowns. These are expected to abate in advanced economies through to 2027, but expected to rise in emerging economies.<sup>59</sup> In general, it will be important to observe whether the cost of capital – and thus investment costs – persistently trend upwards over the coming years.
- **An aging population and shrinking workforce:** With global population growth rates shrinking, due to an aging population, the working-age population is either already contracting or expected to decline in many economies, both advanced and emerging. According to United Nations projections, the share of elderly people over 65+ years of age is expected to increase to almost 15 percent in 2040, up from 10 percent in 2020. The process of population ageing is especially acute in Europe and China. However, the concern that this will inevitably slow down economic growth, due to fewer people working, is not necessarily true. The example of Japan, and to some extent many European countries, shows that an ageing population does not have to result in a decline in labor force participation. Japan heads the world in terms of ageing, yet its employment levels have been increasing for the last two decades, due to increased participation rates. Put simply, ageing and a shrinking working-age population do not translate one-to-one into slower growth.
- **Rising income inequality:** Another headwind is rising inequality, meaning that even if an economy grows, the benefits do not reach a large segment of the population. Over time and across the world, income gaps have widened in advanced and emerging economies alike.<sup>60</sup> For example, the cumulative real income growth for the bottom 50 percent in the United States since 1976 through to the beginning of 2022 has been 34 percent, compared to 94 percent for the total economy.<sup>61</sup> At the same time, global inequality levels, that is, income inequality between countries, have decreased substantially over the last two to three decades.<sup>62</sup>
- **New regulations or policy ambitions in the field of environmental legislation that – temporarily – increase production costs:** The final headwind slowing a growth in living standards is the shift to a carbon-neutral economy. The main concern here is that such a shift raises the cost of production (for example, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, once cost free, now come at a price), while also causing upheaval in the economy through stranded assets and plants, as well as jobs that need reallocation.<sup>63</sup> However, this could be considered a static view, with many advocates suggesting that, in the medium-term, green growth will boost rather than reduce economic growth. Moreover, avoiding major climate catastrophes will have positive welfare impacts beyond productivity.

## Business and policy practices to release the next wave of productivity growth

This year's *Global Innovation Index 2022* Special theme written by notable innovation experts (available online), together with the section [Revival or stagnation?](#), charts a possible positive trajectory for innovation-led productivity growth. However, both underline that a positive scenario is by no means certain. Indeed, a number of things still need to fall in place, if there is to be a new wave of innovation-driven growth.

It must be acknowledged that future technological opportunities are unpredictable, and so too their likely success in the marketplace. Consequently, there is great uncertainty around how productivity growth will evolve over the coming decades. There is also increasing perplexity regarding the question of how far governments should go, when trying to pick technology “winners” – an idea taboo in economic policy spheres until recently.

However, all are agreed that, given the technological opportunities out there, government policy has a role in ensuring they are realized. As outlined in what follows, this role ranges from funding basic and more applied research in promising fields to facilitating more fluid technology transfer and adoption (including via the creation of complementary infrastructure) to addressing inequalities at the firm, region and country levels, as well as closing important skills gaps and other key policy priorities.

The business and policy practices required for this are numerous and challenging. They run all the way from boosting frontier innovation and related funding to diffusion and adoption. And, what is more, the sectorial and technological specificities are enormous; for instance, transforming health systems with radical innovations is dauntingly different to transforming the transport system.<sup>64</sup>

Still, beyond general innovation policy prerogatives, there are several priorities that can be identified:

**Funding breakthrough innovations and providing business incentives:** An evident role of government remains the funding of research relevant to future innovation waves. However, there is a twist to this: increasingly, governments are being called upon to once again steer research and innovation toward solving rapidly important societal challenges, including via the creation of focused research institutes (see [GII 2017](#) for agricultural innovation), mission-oriented funding, moonshot projects and R&D subsidies or tax breaks with a specific purpose in mind, and generally financing innovation (see [GII 2020](#) as in Guadagno and Wunsch-Vincent, 2020). Any new government support mechanisms will need to specifically spur collaboration across innovation actors – including international partnerships.

**Translation and adoption:** In all future innovation waves, policymakers need to influence the translation and adoption of research in applications not only through supply, but also increasingly demand-side policies that set innovation targets and focus on specific areas that can no longer be left to the marketplace alone. The key challenge is how to overcome any incumbent model, like the fossil fuel-based infrastructure, installed vehicle base, commercial interests and regulatory and other infrastructure preventing energy innovation adoption (see [GII 2018](#)). Ensuring that disruptive forces can deploy and are not unnecessarily stalled is one essential ingredient. Increasingly, the public sector is also being expected to put in place smart demand-side policies – via public procurement and co-financing, for example. Yet again, access to finance remains the perennial stumbling block; the financial system is still rarely found to be fit for purpose in terms of providing innovation finance without tangible collateral (see also [GII 2020](#) and [GII 2022 Expert Contribution from Dosso](#)).<sup>65</sup>

**Establishing complementary infrastructure:** The introduction of disruptive innovations often requires the presence of novel forms of hard or soft infrastructure: for example, the smart grid or electric vehicle charging stations for energy innovation or digital health networks (and mobile internet penetration) or new imaging standards for medical innovation.

**Addressing inequality and fostering competition:** Rising inequality between leading and lagging firms, leading and lagging regions, across high-paid and low-paid workers, and across countries is recognized as a major drag on technology diffusion, adoption and productivity. Tackling these differences will be key to realizing the benefits of any upcoming innovation waves. The policies proposed to achieve this are multi-faceted. One policy proposal relates to how to deal with the so-called superstar technology firms and possible ways of maintaining or

fostering competition.<sup>66</sup> Yet, the hegemony of such firms is unlikely to be the sole reason for the disparities outlined earlier (see [Techno-pessimist or techno-optimist?](#)), and for which other policy instruments are required.

**Urgently narrowing the skills gap:** A skills gap stands in the way of new innovation waves materializing and creating impact. This is most evident in the fields of advanced ICT, programming, AI and data science skills, and is valid even in the most advanced high-income economies. ICT skills of this type and skills in digital technologies are required, including for digital innovation in the agricultural sector and for many developing country innovations. Similar skills gaps will become evident in fields related to the Deep Science wave, too.

**Data infrastructure and management:** The access, management and valorization of data is a cornerstone of all future innovation waves. New data infrastructure and data management systems will be important. Some dangers exist, like the monopolization of data by a few firms.<sup>67</sup> Regulatory frameworks fostering trust and privacy in fields such as transport and health care, but also in others, are an important driver fostering innovation adoption (see [GII 2019](#) as in Dutta *et al.*, 2019), and GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Mazumdar-Shaw](#)).

**Fostering debate and societal acceptance:** Over the coming years, topics such as humanoid robots, AI, bio- or genetic engineering, new health solutions, and novel food types will challenge social acceptance and therefore require societal debate. Debating risks, social values and the pros and cons of novel innovations will all be key to facilitating innovation adoption.

**Keeping international learning and technology flows lively:** The current international environment poses real challenges to the diffusion of technology via trade, investment and other international knowledge flows. This is particularly problematic for emerging and developing countries in dire need of integrated global value chains and innovation networks in order to catch-up. Keeping alive the possibility of quick productivity wins will be crucial.

**Developing countries face barriers to using existing technologies for their own economies:** Developing economies will need to take a specific approach to absorbing existing technologies – particularly in health and agriculture. In this respect, the acute barriers faced in developing countries with regards to funding for both public and corporate R&D are a concern, as are limitations to entrepreneurship or business sector innovation in general (see GII 2022 Expert Contribution from [Dosso](#), on required funding for prototyping, demonstration activities and market expansion). Skills are important too (see above), but their need extends beyond technical or research skills, often relating to marketing and managerial skills.

The fostering of grassroots and incremental innovations, and how to make traditional innovation policy measures more relevant to less formal innovation is an important factor in this context. Local governments and firms need to steer the development of innovations fit for local contexts – rather than relying on diffusion alone. In the field of health, for example, low-tech or adapted technologies are already saving more lives than the latest high-tech innovations (see [GII 2019](#) as in Dutta *et al.*, 2019).

**Important measurement priorities:** To get a firmer grip on understanding and supporting innovation-driven productivity growth, more work is required on better measurement, as well as a stronger focus in the productivity data in official data releases (as is already evident in the United States and the United Kingdom). In particular, better metrics are required for assessing the extent of frontier innovation, related diffusion, installment and absorption. The contemporary data arsenal for capturing technology diffusion and adoption at the firm and societal level – broadband and mobile network coverage aside – is, at best, poor.<sup>68</sup>

To underpin our understanding of the role of related investments and productivity, here are three suggestions:

- (i) work toward the better measurement of intangible assets, in particular so as to better cover the full spectrum of these assets, including design, product development and economic competencies, as well as brand, organizational capital and training, which are all still treated as intermediate inputs and thus go unmeasured;
- (ii) better measure the digital economy, particularly digital service investments (including cloud computing), which are likewise treated as intermediate inputs; and
- (iii) better capture quality improvements, both within and outside of ICT.

Finally, if innovation today is more oriented toward solving urgent challenges rather than merely driving enterprise productivity (see [Techno-pessimist or techno-optimist?](#)), the linkage between innovation and productivity gains will, unsurprisingly, become weaker. Ultimately, this requires better metrics for measuring those innovation impacts that can be felt beyond firm-level productivity.

## Conclusion

Following decades of slow productivity growth and faltering innovation potency, evidence is building for the existence of two types of novel innovation waves, each potentially having large productivity and welfare impacts – the Digital Age wave and the Deep Science wave.

However, the positive effects of these waves will take a long time to materialize; numerous obstacles, particularly in the area of technology adoption and diffusion, have to be overcome. Digital Age innovation and its advanced ICT solutions need to increase their sophistication, if they are to substantially increase productivity in the services sector.

It is also uncertain whether existing productivity metrics are up to capturing the potency of innovation. Many societal preoccupations, and many of the impacts of novel Digital Age and Deep Science innovations, are focused on well-being, including health, better education, the environment and housing. But they do not necessarily accord with the established productivity concept of producing more with less. This requires a fundamental rethink about how we measure innovation impacts and outcomes – a fertile field for future innovation measurement and policy work.

## Notes

- 1 This piece draws on a longer background study for the GII 2022 Special theme as per de Vries (The Conference Board), and earlier submissions by Francesca Guadagno (Consultant), on past and present innovation waves (both unpublished background studies) and the WIPO workshop “Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?” held on May 2, 2022, with a presentation of all the Expert Contribution authors. Marco Alemán, Charlotte Beauchamp, Carsten Fink, Bruno Lanvin and Samar Shamoon provided useful comments to an earlier draft.
- 2 WIPO, 2015.
- 3 Data for 1300–1950 are from the Maddison Project Database 2020. 1950–2021 data taken from The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ (April 2022). This approach follows Gordon (2012). Real GDP levels are expressed in 2021 international dollars, converted using purchasing power parity (PPP); frontier refers to England, Great Britain and the United Kingdom from 1300–1879 and the United States from 1880 onwards.
- 4 The G7 consists of Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States.
- 5 WIPO, 2015; DeLong, 2022.
- 6 Perez, 2002.
- 7 Fleming, 2021.
- 8 WIPO, 2011. In theory, the further a country is from the frontier the faster the catch-up. Yet, this is not as automatic as economic theory would imply. It takes time and the availability of skills and resources – absorptive capacity – in less developed countries, and perhaps most importantly, a policy environment conducive to competition. These spillovers are frequently driven by knowledge acquired through channels such as foreign direct investment (FDI), trade, joint venture multinationals, migration and/or collaboration with firms from higher-income countries.
- 9 WIPO, 2015.
- 10 Brynjolfsson and Petropoulos, 2021.
- 11 Trend growth rates are obtained using a HP (Hodrick-Prescott) filter, assuming  $\lambda=500$ .
- 12 High-income OECD economies are Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Republic of Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Republic of Korea and the United States.
- 13 See GII, 2021; WIPO, 2015, 2019, 2021b.
- 14 Bloom *et al.*, 2020.
- 15 Bloom *et al.*, 2020.
- 16 Bloom *et al.*, 2020; Collison and Nielsen, 2018; Cowen and Southwood, 2019.
- 17 Gordon, 2012.
- 18 See also WIPO, 2015.
- 19 Cowen, 2020.
- 20 Arundel *et al.*, 2021.
- 21 Arora, Belenzon and Pataconi, 2018.
- 22 Gordon, 2012.
- 23 Philippon, 2022.
- 24 Cowen, 2020; Cowen and Southwood, 2019; Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2021; *The Economist*, 2020. See also the conference “Is the Great Stagnation Over?,” hosted by the American Enterprise Institute in April 2021.
- 25 McKinsey Global Institute, 2018.

- 26 World Bank 2021, chapter 7.
- 27 See also Charmes, 2016.
- 28 Van Ark in the WIPO workshop on “Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?” held May 2, 2022.
- 29 Data are for 2020, except for Japan (2016), China (2017) and Colombia (2018); data for Spain exclude Basque Country, Navarra, Ceuta, Melilla and the Canary Islands; data for France exclude Corsica, Guadeloupe, Martinique, French Guiana, La Reunion and Mayotte.
- 30 Coyle, 2015.
- 31 Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2021.
- 32 Byrne *et al.*, 2017.
- 33 Lipsey *et al.*, 2005; Vollrath, 2020.
- 34 The digital revolution has fundamentally altered the way we consume (increased variability, ease of access) and work, in ways not captured within productivity statistics. Consider the consumption of music, for example, where streaming services nowadays offer easy access to an endless variety and enormous quantity of music, from the latest hits to compositions by Bach or Mozart.
- 35 Kapoor and Debroy, 2019.
- 36 Greene, 2021; *The Economist*, 2020.
- 37 The Conference Board Total Economy Database™, Productivity results (April 2022), available at: <https://www.conference-board.org/press/productivity-brief-2022>.
- 38 The Conference Board Total Economy Database™, Productivity results (April 2022), available at: <https://www.conference-board.org/press/productivity-brief-2022>.
- 39 The Conference Board Total Economy Database™, Productivity results (April 2022), available at: <https://www.conference-board.org/press/productivity-brief-2022>.
- 40 Kondratieff, 1935; Perez, 2002; Wilenius, 2014; Allianz, 2010; WIPO, 2015 (in particular infographic “200 years of Innovation and Growth”, available at: [https://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/pressroom/en/documents/wipr\\_2015\\_infographic.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/pressroom/en/documents/wipr_2015_infographic.pdf)).
- 41 Cockburn *et al.*, 2018. Vickery and Wunsch-Vincent, 2008.
- 42 Nordhaus, 2021.
- 43 Van Ark, 2016, who calls this the gestation period for technologies in the “New Digital Economy.”
- 44 Zolas *et al.*, 2020.
- 45 Van Ark *et al.*, 2020.
- 46 Brynjolfsson and Petropoulos, 2021
- 47 WIPO, 2015.
- 48 GII, 2019.
- 49 See GII, 2017.
- 50 Mokyr, 2016; Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2017.
- 51 See Cowen, 2020 and GII, 2019 on the revival of health care research productivity.
- 52 Bauer *et al.*, 2020, on the role of services in the Europe productivity growth slowdown. For an overview of productivity growth in US manufacturing, see Brill *et al.*, 2018.
- 53 Daly *et al.*, 2022, on innovation in the mining sector.
- 54 WIPO, 2015, Figure 1.5 and text on page 27.
- 55 Evidence shows that a very large proportion of the R&D investments financed and executed by the business sector worldwide is concentrated in a relatively small number of world-leading corporate innovators, in many cases large multinational groups (see also the GII 2021 Tracker).
- 56 See also De Loecker *et al.*, 2022; Cirera *et al.*, 2020.
- 57 Patel *et al.*, 2021.
- 58 Gordon, 2012.
- 59 IMF, 2022.
- 60 World Inequality Database, available at: <https://wid.world>.
- 61 See <https://realtimeinequality.org> for the underlying data.
- 62 World Inequality Database, available at: <https://wid.world>.
- 63 See <https://www.piie.com/publications/policy-briefs/climate-policy-macroeconomic-policy-and-implications-will-be-significant>.
- 64 See the 2017, 2018 and 2019 editions of the *Global Innovation Index* and Atkinson, 2016.
- 65 Erber *et al.*, 2017.
- 66 De Loecker *et al.*, 2022.
- 67 Cockburn *et al.*, 2018
- 68 Zolas *et al.*, 2019; Cirera *et al.*, 2020.

## References

- Allianz (2010). The sixth Kondratieff – long waves of prosperity. Frankfurt am Main: Allianz Global Investors. Available at: [www.allianz.com/content/dam/onemarketing/azcom/Allianz\\_com/migration/media/press/document/other/kondratieff\\_en.pdf](http://www.allianz.com/content/dam/onemarketing/azcom/Allianz_com/migration/media/press/document/other/kondratieff_en.pdf).
- Andrews, D., C. Criscuolo and P.N. Gal (2016). The Best versus the Rest: The Global Productivity Slowdown, Divergence across Firms and the Role of Public Policy. *OECD Productivity Working Papers No. 5*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Arora, A., S. Belenzone and A. Pataconi (2018). The decline of science in corporate R&D. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(1): 3–32.
- Arundel, A., S. Athreye and S. Wunsch-Vincent (eds) (2021). *Harnessing Public Research for Innovation in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: An International Assessment of Knowledge Transfer Policies* (Intellectual Property, Innovation and Economic Development). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781108904230.
- Atkinson, R. (2016). *Think Like an Enterprise: Why Nations Need Comprehensive Productivity Strategies*. Washington DC: Information Technology & Innovation Foundation.
- Bauer, P., I. Fedotenkov, A. Genty, I. Hallak, P. Harasztosi, D.M. Turégano, D. Nguyen, N. Preziosi, A. Rincon-Aznar and M.S. Martinez (2020). *Productivity in Europe: Trends and Drivers in a Service-based Economy*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Bergeaud, A., G. Cetté and R. Lecat (2016). Productivity trends from 1890 to 2012 in advanced countries. *The Review of Income and Wealth*, 62(3): 420–444.
- Bloom, N., C.I. Jones, J. Van Reenen and M. Webb (2020). Are ideas getting harder to find? *American Economic Review*, 110(4): 1104–1144.
- Braga de Andrade, R., L. Cosentino and G. Sagazio (2022). Improving productivity with innovation policy in Brazil. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution7-en-improving-productivity-through-innovation-policy-in-brazil-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- Brill, M., B. Chansky and J. Kim (2018). Multifactor productivity slowdown in US manufacturing. *Monthly Labor Review*, 1–15.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and G. Petropoulos (2021). The coming productivity boom. *MIT Technology Review*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Brynjolfsson, E., D. Rock and C. Syverson (2017). Artificial Intelligence and the Modern Productivity Paradox: A Clash of Expectations and Statistics. *NBER Working Paper No. 24001*. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Brynjolfsson, E., D. Rock and C. Syverson (2021). The productivity J-curve: How intangibles complement general purpose technologies. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 13(1): 333–372.
- Byrne, D.M. and C.A. Corrado (2017). ICT Services and their Prices: What do they tell us about Productivity and Technology? *Finance and Economics Discussion Series*. Washington, DC: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 10.17016/FEDS.2017.015r1.
- Charmes, J. (2016). The informal economy: Definitions, size, contribution and main characteristics. In Kraemer-Mbula E. and S. Wunsch-Vincent (eds), *The Informal Economy in Developing Nations: Hidden Engine of Innovation?* (Intellectual Property, Innovation and Economic Development), 13–52. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cirera, X., D.A. Comin, M. Curz and K.M. Lee (2020). Anatomy of Technology in the Firm. *NBER Working Paper No. 28080*. National Bureau of Economic Research, [www.nber.org/papers/w28080](http://www.nber.org/papers/w28080).
- Cockburn, I.M., R. Henderson, and S. Stern (2018). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Innovation. *NBER Working Paper No. w24449*. National Bureau of Economic Research. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3154213>.
- Collison, P. and M. Nielsen (2018). Science Is Getting Less Bang for Its Buck. *The Atlantic*, November 16, 2018. Available at: [www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2018/11/diminishing-returns-science/575665/](http://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2018/11/diminishing-returns-science/575665/).
- Comin, D. and B. Hobijn (2010). An exploration of technology diffusion. *American Economic Review*, 100 (5): 2031–59.
- Cornell University, INSEAD, and WIPO (2017). The Global Innovation Index 2017: Innovation Feeding the World. Ithaca, Fontainebleau, and Geneva. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2017.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2017.pdf).
- Cornell University, INSEAD, and WIPO (2018). The Global Innovation Index 2018: Energizing the World with Innovation. Ithaca, Fontainebleau, and Geneva. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2018-chapter1.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2018-chapter1.pdf).
- Cornell University, INSEAD, and WIPO (2019). The Global Innovation Index 2019: Creating Healthy Lives – The Future of Medical Innovation. Ithaca, Fontainebleau, and Geneva. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2019-chapter1b.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2019-chapter1b.pdf).
- Cornell University, INSEAD, and WIPO (2020). The Global Innovation Index 2020: Who Will Finance Innovation? Ithaca, Fontainebleau, and Geneva. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2020.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2020.pdf).
- Cowen, T. and B. Southwood (2019). Is the Rate of Scientific Progress Slowing Down? *GMU Working Paper in Economics No. 21-13*, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3822691> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3822691>.
- Cowen, T. (2020). What might an end to the Great Stagnation consist of? *Marginal Revolution*. Available at: <https://marginalrevolution.com/marginalrevolution/2020/12/why-did-the-great-stagnation-end.html>.
- Coyle, D. (2015). *GDP: A Brief but Affectionate History: How GDP Came to Rule our Lives – And Why it Needs to Change*, Revised and expanded edition. Princeton University Press.
- Daly, A., D. Humphreys, J. Raffo and G. Valacchi (eds) (2022). *Global Challenges for Innovation in Mining Industries* (Intellectual Property, Innovation and Economic Development). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781108904209.
- De Loecker, J., T. Obermeier, J. Van Reenen (2022). Firms and inequality. *The IFS Deaton Review*. Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- DeLong, B. (2022, forthcoming). *Slouching Toward Utopia*. Basic Books.
- Dosso, M. (2022). Building place-based innovation capabilities for productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution6-en-building-place-based-innovation-capabilities-for-productivity-in-sub-saharan-africa-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- Dutta, S., C. Hardman, L. Rivera León, R. Reynoso Escalona and S. Wunsch-Vincent (2019). Creating healthy lives – The future of medical innovation, Special theme section. In *Global Innovation Index 2019*. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2019-chapter1b.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2019-chapter1b.pdf).
- Erber, G., U. Fritsche and P.C. Harms (2017). The global productivity slowdown: Diagnosis, causes and remedies. *Intereconomics*, 52(1): 45–50.
- Fleming, M. (2021). Productivity Growth and Capital Deepening in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Working Paper No. 010*. The Productivity Institute.

- Gordon, R. (2012). Is US Economic Growth Over? Faltering Innovation Confronts the Six Headwinds. *NBER Working Paper No. 18315*. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Greene, M. (2021). Productivity growth is almost everything in the post-Covid recovery. *Financial Times*, September 29, 2021.
- Guadagno, F. and S. Wunsch-Vincent (2020). Introduction to the GII 2020 theme – Who Will Finance Innovation? Special theme section. In *Global Innovation Index 2020*. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2020-chapter3.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2020-chapter3.pdf).
- Gültepe, M. (2022). The impact of future technologies on the productivity of Turkish exporters. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution8-en-the-impact-of-future-technologies-on-the-productivity-of-turkish-exporters-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- Gutiérrez de Piñeres Luna, E.J., A. Ocampo, A. Del Pilar Tapias, A. Morales, M.C. Ojalvo and J.P. Fernandez (2022). Science, technology, and innovation are key drivers for unlocking productivity potential in uncertain times. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution5-en-science-technology-and-innovation-are-key-drivers-for-unlocking-productivity-potential-at-a-time-of-uncertainty-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- IMF (2022). IMF Fiscal Monitor, April. International Monetary Fund. Available at: [www.imf.org/en/Publications/FM/Issues/2022/04/12/fiscal-monitor-april-2022](http://www.imf.org/en/Publications/FM/Issues/2022/04/12/fiscal-monitor-april-2022).
- Kapoor, A. and B. Debroy (2019). GDP Is Not a Measure of Human Well-Being. *Harvard Business Review*. Available at: <https://hbr.org/2019/10/gdp-is-not-a-measure-of-human-well-being>.
- Kondratieff, N. (1935). The long waves in economic life. *The Review of Economic Statistics*, 17(6): 105–115.
- Lipsey, R.G., K.I. Carlaw and C.T. Bekar (2005). *Economic Transformations: General Purpose Technologies and Long-term Economic Growth*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mazumdar-Shaw, K. (2022). Strengthening the link between innovation and productivity at the national level: The example of healthcare in India. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution4-en-strengthening-the-link-between-innovation-and-productivity-at-the-national-level-the-example-of-health-care-in-india-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- McKinsey Global Institute (2018). *Solving the Productivity Puzzle: The Role of Demand and the Promise of Digitization*. McKinsey&Company.
- Mokyr, J. (2016). Remarks at the debate “Are America’s Best Years of Growth Behind Us?”. Northwestern University, April 28, 2016.
- Nakamura, L.I. (2020). Evidence of Accelerating Mismeasurement of Growth and Inflation in the US in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. *FRB of Philadelphia Working Paper No. 20-41*. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3717187> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.21799/frbp.wp.2020.41>.
- Nordhaus, W.D. (2021). Are we approaching an economic singularity? Information technology and the future of economic growth. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 13(1): 299–332.
- Patel, D., J. Sandefur and A. Subramanian (2021). The New Era of Unconditional Convergence. *CGD Working Paper 566*. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development. Available at: [www.cgdev.org/publication/new-era-unconditional-convergence](http://www.cgdev.org/publication/new-era-unconditional-convergence).
- Perez, C. (2002). *Technological Revolutions and Financial Capital. The Dynamics of Bubbles and Golden Ages*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Peters, B. and M. Trunschke (2022). Productivity impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution2-en-productivity-impact-of-the-fourth-industrial-revolution-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- Petropoulos, G. (2022). The ICT revolution and the future of innovation and productivity. *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution3-en-the-ict-revolution-and-the-future-of-innovation-and-productivity-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- Philippon, T. (2022). Additive Growth. *NBER Working Paper No. w29950*. National Bureau of Economic Research. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4086241>.
- The Economist* (2020). The pandemic could give way to an era of rapid productivity growth. *The Economist*, December 8, 2020. Available at: [www.economist.com/finance-and-economics/2020/12/08/the-pandemic-could-give-way-to-an-era-of-rapid-productivity-growth](http://www.economist.com/finance-and-economics/2020/12/08/the-pandemic-could-give-way-to-an-era-of-rapid-productivity-growth).
- Van Ark, B. (2016). The productivity paradox of the new digital economy. *International Productivity Monitor*, 31(Fall): 1–15.
- Van Ark, B., K. de Vries and A. Erumban (2020). How to Not Miss a Productivity Revival Once Again? *NIESR Discussion Paper No. 518*, November. National Institute of Economic and Social Research.
- Van Ark, B. and M. Fleming (2022). Will the Fourth Industrial Revolution deliver as promised? *Global Innovation Index 2022: What is the future of innovation-driven growth?* Geneva: WIPO. Available at: <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-expert-contribution1-en-will-the-fourth-industrial-revolution-deliver-as-promised-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.
- Vickery, G. and S. Wunsch-Vincent (2008). *R&D and Innovation in the ICT Sector: Toward Globalization and Collaboration*, OECD Information Technology Outlook 2018. Paris: OECD.
- Vollrath, D. (2020). *Fully Grown*. University of Chicago Press.
- Wilenius, M. (2014). Leadership in the sixth wave – excursions into the new paradigm of the Kondratieff cycle 2010–2050. *European Journal of Futures Research*, 2: 36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40309-014-0036-7>.
- WIPO (2011). The changing nature of innovation and intellectual property. In *World Intellectual Property Report – the Changing Face of Innovation*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_944\\_2011-chapter1.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_944_2011-chapter1.pdf).
- WIPO (2015). A look inside the economic growth engine. In *World Intellectual Property Report – Breakthrough Innovation and Economic Growth*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_944\\_2015-chapter1.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_944_2015-chapter1.pdf).
- WIPO (2019). *WIPO Technology Trends 2019: Artificial Intelligence*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/publications/en/details.jsp?id=4386](http://www.wipo.int/publications/en/details.jsp?id=4386).
- WIPO (2021a). *Global Innovation Index 2021: Tracking Innovation through the COVID-19 Crisis*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2021.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2021.pdf).
- WIPO (2021b). *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2021*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization. Available at: [www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_941\\_2021.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_941_2021.pdf).




World Bank (2021). *Global Productivity: Trends, Drivers, and Policies*. Washington DC: World Bank Group. Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/34015/9781464816086.pdf>.

Zolas, N., Z. Kroff, E. Brynjolfsson, K. McElheran, D.N. Beede, C. Buffington, N. Goldschlag, L. Foster and E. Dinlersoz (2020). Advanced Technologies Adoption and Use by US Firms: Evidence from the Annual Business Survey. *NBER Working Paper No. 28290*. National Bureau of Economic Research.

# **GII 2022 Economy profiles**

The following tables provide detailed profiles for 132 economies.

# Framework of the Global Innovation Index 2022



Source: Global Innovation Index Database, WIPO, 2022.



All rankings of 1, 2 and 3 are highlighted as an economy's strengths, except in particular instances at the sub-pillar level, where strengths and weaknesses are not signaled when the desired data minimum coverage (DMC) is not met for that sub-pillar.<sup>5</sup> For the remaining indicators, the strengths and weaknesses of a specific economy are based on the percentage of economies with scores that fall above or below its own score (i.e., percent ranks).

For a given economy, strengths ● are those scores with percent ranks greater than the 10<sup>th</sup> largest percent rank among the 81 indicators in that economy.

For that same economy, weaknesses ○ are those scores with percent ranks lower than the 10<sup>th</sup> smallest percent rank among the 81 indicators in that economy.

Similarly, for a given economy, income group strengths ◆ are those scores that are above the income group average plus the standard deviation within the group.

For that same economy, income group weaknesses ◇ are those scores that are below the income group average minus the standard deviation within the group.

In addition, economies with a sub-pillar that does not meet the DMC requirement will show the score for that sub-pillar within square brackets. Those that have more than one sub-pillar that fails to meet the DMC requirement in the same pillar will also show the ranks of the pillar within square brackets. For these pillars and sub-pillars, strengths/weaknesses are not signaled.








## Notes

- 1 Economies are classified according to the World Bank Income Group Classification (June 2021, see <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methodology/m49>). Geographical regions correspond to the United Nations' publication on standard country or area codes for statistical use (M49), as follows: EUR = Europe; NAC = Northern America; LCN = Latin America and the Caribbean; CSA = Central and Southern Asia; SEAO = South East Asia, East Asia, and Oceania; NAWA = Northern Africa and Western Asia; SSA = Sub-Saharan Africa.
- 2 Data are from the United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects: 2019 Revision.
- 3 Data for GDP and GDP per capita are from the International Monetary Fund's World Economic Outlook Database: October 2021 edition.
- 4 As the only economy in the top 25 that does not fall within the high-income group, China's income group strengths and weaknesses are computed within the non-top 25 group.
- 5 Data stringency requirements are applied in the attribution of strengths and weaknesses at the sub-pillar level. These levels were revised in 2019. When economies do not meet a DMC requirement at the sub-pillar level (for sub-pillars with two indicators, the DMC is 2; for three indicators it is 2; for four it is 3; and for five it is 4), no strength or weakness is attributed to the economies at the sub-pillar level. Furthermore, if the economy in question does not meet the DMC requirements at the sub-pillar level, but still obtains a ranking higher than or equal to 10, or a ranking equal to or lower than 100 at the sub-pillar level, for the sake of caution this rank is shown in brackets. This is to ensure that incomplete data coverage does not lead to erroneous conclusions being drawn about strengths or weaknesses, or, particularly, about strong or weak sub-pillar rankings.

## Albania

84

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
89	80	Upper middle	EUR	2.9	44.5	15,487








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.4	84	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	30.4	56
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	57.9	70	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	41.2	[46]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	18.4	81
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	46.8	77	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	46.2	24 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	59.2	81	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	50.9	61	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	36.8	82	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	12.9	59
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	20.8	91	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.4	76
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	37.2	[91]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	50.5	44
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	37.2	100	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	28.9	126 ○ ◇
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP ○	0.0	70
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	22.7	89	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	28.7	69
<b>2.1 Education</b>	42.1	91	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	61
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.1	105	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	4.3	121 ○ ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	9.8	97 ○ ◇	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.7	52
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.4	62	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	7.6	12 ● ◆
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	419.8	56	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.3	31 ●	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.6	96
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	25.9	79	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	3.0	119
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	57.8	55	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	105
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.1	67	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.7	82	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	65
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.9	100
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	2.1	122 ○
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	20.3	94
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.3	56
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.5	69
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	86
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	46.3	57	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.4	30 ●
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	78.0	53	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	4.0	102 ○ ◇
3.1.1 ICT access*	84.5	71	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	14.6	90
3.1.2 ICT use*	58.7	75	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	33 ◆
3.1.3 Government's online service*	84.1	31 ●	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	31.3	81
3.1.4 E-participation*	84.5	36	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	116 ○ ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	21.4	99	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.8	69
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,869.7	86	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	14.6	82
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	28.4	84	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	9.2	104
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	20.8	86	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	39.6	31 ●	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	31.6	71
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	16.5	16 ● ◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	47.1	48	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	70
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.0	22 ●	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	36.2	15 ● ◆
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.7	18 ● ◆
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	25.4	91	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.9	48
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	9.4	114 ○ ◇	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	3.7	1 ● ◆
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	38.6	83	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	108
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.4	41	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	3.9	67
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	5.0	[79]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	6.7	49
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	3.5	61
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	4.9	59
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	63	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	83
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	65			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.0	47			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.1	12 ●			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	92.2	40			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	44.5	107			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Algeria

115

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
118	110	Lower middle	NAWA	44.6	532.6	11,829

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	47.2	99	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	16.8	120
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	47.4	103	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	15.2	111
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	17.9	85
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	38.4	99	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	50.4	105	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	76
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	12.7	128	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	6.7	81
1.2.2 Rule of law*	25.8	110	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	8.1	81
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.3	71	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	17.4	111
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	43.9	[77]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	37.1	96
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	43.9	83	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.3	66
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	95
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	124
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	97
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	26.1	82	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	17.8	125
<b>2.1 Education</b>	40.9	[94]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.4	77
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.1	19	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.9	55
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.5	112
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.3	67	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.8	107
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	361.7	76	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	0.5	81
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	7.7	118
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	34.3	51	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	6.8	94
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	52.5	61	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	86
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	29.6	20	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	93
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.6	98	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	3.2	79	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.6	82
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	819.3	56	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	9.8	75
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	58	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	11.5	116
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.4	80
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.4	104
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	122
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	107
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	4.1	101
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	31.1	102	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	4.8	122
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	45.1	115	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	103
3.1.1 ICT access*	80.4	84	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	17.5	110
3.1.2 ICT use*	57.0	82	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.0	130
3.1.3 Government's online service*	27.7	126	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.2	124
3.1.4 E-participation*	15.5	130	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	5.7	109
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	31.1	61	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	10.5	98
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,893.8	83	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	18.6	107	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	24.8	86
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	41.4	5	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	17.2	110	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	43
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.1	90	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	1.3	120
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	29.6	110	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	101
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	91	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.4	72
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	0.8	58
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.3	94
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	121
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	12.1	125	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.7	106
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	9.5	[113]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.5	108
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	114
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	29.7	94	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.2	83
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	103
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	0.7	110			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	0.2	81			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	103			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	72			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	26.0	120			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	10.2	118			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	31.9	107			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	532.6	42			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Angola

127

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
117	129	Lower middle	SSA	33.9	218.0	6,820

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	41.9	116	<b>Business sophistication</b>	13.2	131
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	43.0	122	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	9.7	[124]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87 ●	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	11.1	103
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	24.2	128 ◇	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	51.1	103	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	22.3	122	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	21.1	119	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.6	110
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.9	76 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	12.1	127 ◇
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	31.7	106	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	18.7	128 ◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	39.3	97 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	28.1	128 ◇
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	24.2	57 ◇	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	123
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ◇
<b>Human capital and research</b>	10.9	125	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	18.0	124
<b>2.1 Education</b>	26.6	[124]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	62 ●
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.4	119 ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	3.3	125
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.8	93 ●
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	9.6	106 ◇	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-4.7	129 ◇
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	26.8	112 ◇	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	2.4	130
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	6.1	117 ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	0.3	132 ◇
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	9.3	115	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	129
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	12.0	103 ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	97
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	67
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.1	116	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	131 ◇
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	18.8	107 ◇	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	0.4	130 ◇
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.0	112 ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	3.6	[127]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-4.6	117 ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	120
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	3.0	107 ◇
<b>Infrastructure</b>	24.4	122	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	3.4	125 ◇
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	40.0	120 ◇	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	95
3.1.1 ICT access*	41.9	125 ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	6.7	117 ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	24.0	123 ◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	100 ●
3.1.3 Government's online service*	48.8	108	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.1	131 ◇
3.1.4 E-participation*	45.2	108	<b>Creative outputs</b>	11.1	[92]
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	11.1	128 ◇	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	7.0	[110]
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	485.7	110	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	0.0	125 ◇	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.0	105
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	23.1	68 ●	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	22.1	82 ●	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	13.0	37 ●	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	30.3	[28]
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	30.5	106	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	129	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.3	8 ●
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	127
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.1	128
			7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.0	130
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	117
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	119
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	111
<b>Market sophistication</b>	14.9	121			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	9.6	112			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	25.7	67 ◇			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	12.9	122			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.0	59			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	20.3	126 ◇			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	9.2	113			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	16.0	108 ◇			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	218.0	65 ●			








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Argentina

69

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
62	77	Upper middle	LCN	45.6	1,049.4	22,892








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	47.6	96	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	31.2	52
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	54.3	83	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	34.9	59
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	63.6	81	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	25.3	57
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	45.0	83	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	40.2	32
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	44.1	119 ○ ◇	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.2	56
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	30.8	101 ◇	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	26.5	62
1.2.2 Rule of law*	33.9	90	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	16.3	45
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	30.3	120 ○ ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	18.4	105
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	44.3	75	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	38.0	91
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	12.4	128 ○ ◇	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	40.4	103
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	76.2	10 ● ◆	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	49
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	64
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	30.5	69	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	40.3	35 ◆
<b>2.1 Education</b>	42.5	89	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	2.3	10 ● ◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.7	49	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.6	33
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	16.7	73	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.9	18 ● ◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	17.9	14 ● ◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.6	84
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	395.0	69 ○	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	11.2	61
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.0	77
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	30.9	67	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	13.6	62
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	95.4	5 ● ◆	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	65
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	15.4	95	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.5	62	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	52
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	18.1	43	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.8	80
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,230.8	50	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	27.8	36 ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	65	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	21.2	86
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-1.6	109 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	43.1	28 ● ◆	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	112 ○
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	53
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	44.0	64	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.5	40
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	80.8	44	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	25.9	48
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.8	38	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	22.3	69
3.1.2 ICT use*	62.2	68	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.4	29 ◆
3.1.3 Government's online service*	84.7	30	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	35.9	71
3.1.4 E-participation*	85.7	29	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.9	74
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	26.0	76	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.1	39
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,184.7	61	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	24.2	53
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	39.1	60	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	36.9	45
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	18.9	100	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	70.7	22
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	25.3	72	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	68.4	28 ●
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.1	69	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	15.1	50
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	41.1	67	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	60
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	61	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	17.0	62
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.4	21 ● ◆
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	24.9	95	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	7.0	17 ● ◆
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	17.3	94	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	4.5	46
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	30.5	57	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	16.0	115 ○ ◇	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	102
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	5.9	59
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.0	87	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.1	63
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	11.5	71 ○	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	6.3	48
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	84 ○	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	7.4	50
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	92 ○	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.8	52
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	47			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	53.4	74			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	6.9	101 ◇			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	81.4	67			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,049.4	27 ●			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Armenia

80

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
73	82	Upper middle	NAWA	3.0	43.5	14,701








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	59.7	55	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	23.5	84
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	54.4	82	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	33.1	61
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	19.2	79
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	47.0	76	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	27.5	59
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	68.8	54	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	51.2	60	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	16.7	70
1.2.2 Rule of law*	43.9	65	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	16.6	43 ●
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.0	41	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	17.2	112
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	55.9	44 ●	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	35.7	100
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	53.3	54	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.8	89
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	58.6	24 ● ◆	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	74
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	102
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	61
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	22.0	91	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	20.1	109 ◇
<b>2.1 Education</b>	43.4	85	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	122 ◇ ◇
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.7	113 ◇ ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.6	61
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	15.6	79	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.5	110 ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.1	82	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.1	100
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.7	35 ●	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.6	71
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	21.7	87	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	13.0	63
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	50.8	64	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	46
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	14.6	98 ◇ ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	65
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.9	42	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	34
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.7	100	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	19.4	49
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	9.9	73
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	88	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	20.2	95
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◇ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.8	23 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ◇ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.9	47
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	81
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	39.9	80	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	108
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	75.3	62	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	5.4	98 ◇ ◇
3.1.1 ICT access*	93.3	20 ● ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	25.4	58
3.1.2 ICT use*	62.9	64	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113 ◇ ◇
3.1.3 Government's online service*	70.0	69	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	35.3	74
3.1.4 E-participation*	75.0	57	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.7	84
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	18.9	112	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	7.5	9 ● ◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,608.1	69	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	18.2	73
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	26.0	87	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	23.3	71
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	16.1	114 ◇ ◇	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	25.4	68	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	87.9	17 ●
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.5	65	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ◇ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	48.3	45 ●	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	74
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	127 ◇	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	19.7	[57]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.5	54
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	26.5	85	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	28.5	60	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	30.7	54	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.6	22 ●
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	72.2	47	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.8	52
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	6.6	55
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.6	[98]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.1	62
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	5.1	54
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	68	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	8.0	48
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.1	40 ●
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	48.4	84			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.1	74			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	64.5	94			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	43.5	108			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Australia

25

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
32	19	High	SEAO	25.8	1,427.3	55,492








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	77.2	17	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	48.6	24
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	84.1	15	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	62.4	[19]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 47.8	13
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	84.6	12	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	90.8	10	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.9	25
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	90.3	5 ●	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	88.9	13	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 28.5	5 ● ◆
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	12.0	39	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	48.0	16
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	56.6	39 ◇	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	53.8	34 ◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	67.1	23	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	55.2	36
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	⊙ 46.1	37 ○ ◇	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	61.7	5 ●	5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	9
<b>2.1 Education</b>	60.5	36	5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	26 ◇
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 5.1	37	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	35.5	48 ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.0	64 ○ ◇	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.2	31
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	21.1	1 ● ◆	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	12.1	23
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	499.0	20	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.5	62
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.9	49
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	58.8	3 ● ◆	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	114.2	3 ● ◆	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	32.2	37 ◇
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 18.6	81 ○ ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	40.6	22
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	26.0	5 ● ◆	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	41 ◇
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	65.7	12	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	26 ◇
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	29
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 1.8	20	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	55.9	7 ● ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	65.6	19	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	69.0	7 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	81.9	7 ●	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	35.6	37
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	58.8	18	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.4	78 ○
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	90.4	11	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	14.3	7 ●
3.1.1 ICT access*	88.9	57 ◇	6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	55
3.1.2 ICT use*	81.8	18	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.1	46
3.1.3 Government's online service*	94.7	7	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	24.6	49 ◇
3.1.4 E-participation*	96.4	9	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	20.3	72 ○ ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	53.7	19	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	32 ◇
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	10,303.1	14	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	31.0	84 ○ ◇
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	79.1	18	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.0	59 ◇
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	23.3	65 ○	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.4	78 ○
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	32.3	47	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	37.8	27
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	9.0	81 ○	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	43.3	31
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	60.1	17	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	68.1	27
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.3	38	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	67.6	30
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	50.2	20	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	78.3	27
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	49.1	21	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	47
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	⊙ 44.2	28 ◇	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	24.5	48 ◇
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	142.3	13	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.3	68 ○ ◇
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.1	57 ○ ◇
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	28.3	27	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	54.3	11
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	108.3	13	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.0	12 ◆
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	23	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.6	58
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	21	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	40.2	16
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	31	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	61.4	10
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	73.1	16	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	54.8	15
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.7	7 ●	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	32.2	21
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	91.9	43	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.2	31
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,427.3	18			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Austria

17

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
21	17	High	EUR	9.0	531.4	59,406








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	82.8	8 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	52.8	18
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	84.5	13	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	57.3	23
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	43.5	25
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	85.3	10	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	42.6	28
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	93.2	6 ●	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	2.2	7
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	79.9	18	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	50.1	29
1.2.2 Rule of law*	93.0	7 ●	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	18.8	36 ◇
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	57.5	8 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	70.8	15	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	62.4	19
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	83.4	4 ● ◆	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	66.9	11
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	58.1	25	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.5	4 ● ◆
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	43 ◇
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.5	11
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	58.8	11	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	43.7	29
<b>2.1 Education</b>	63.0	20	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.8	55 ○
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.2	35	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.9	76 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	25.9	18	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	3.5	11
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.0	37	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-4.5	128 ○
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	491.0	27	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	62.9	8
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.3	24	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	43.5	19
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	58.5	4 ● ◆	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	48.8	14
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	86.5	15	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.0	11
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	30.6	15 ◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.0	11
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	17.6	10	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	35 ○
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	54.9	19	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	40.6	22
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	5,751.6	10	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	44.2	18
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.2	7 ●	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	34.9	38
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	57.9	24	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.2	95 ○
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	44.3	26	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.5	95 ○ ◇
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.5	17
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	62.7	9 ●	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.5	35
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	91.5	8 ●	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	45.8	17
3.1.1 ICT access*	91.0	37	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	46.7	21
3.1.2 ICT use*	82.5	15	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.6	27
3.1.3 Government's online service*	94.7	7 ●	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	83.2	7 ●
3.1.4 E-participation*	97.6	6 ●	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	7.3	25
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	56.1	11	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	4.0	27
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,759.0	22	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	38.0	26
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	92.1	4 ●	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	43.8	30
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.9	38	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	61.5	40 ○
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	40.6	30	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	56.9	41
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	14.0	32	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	60.7	32
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	66.5	8 ●	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.6	20
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	33	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	30.3	29
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	41.0	38 ◇	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.2	22
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	40.3	28	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	6.6	18
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	46.0	22	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	58.6	7
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	93.3	32	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.0	47 ○
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.9	46
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	15.5	41 ◇	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	34.1	20
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	28.7	49 ○ ◇	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	35.5	18
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	25	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	63.0	10
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	36 ◇	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	29.6	23
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	37 ◇	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.2	46 ○
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	67.2	24			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	99.6	5 ●			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	531.4	43			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Azerbaijan

93

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
110	79	Upper middle	NAWA	10.2	155.9	15,299







		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	62.9	46 ●		<b>Business sophistication</b>	24.9	77
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	58.5	69	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	30.9	65
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	70.9	53 ●	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	23.1	65
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	46.0	81	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	33.9	47
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	60.7	78	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	88 ○
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	37.1	92	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	30.8	59
1.2.2	Rule of law*	28.2	105	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	13.5	57 ●
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.7	51 ●	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	24.9	56 ●
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	69.6	[19]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	59.8	23 ● ◆
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	69.6	21 ● ◆	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	60.3	25 ● ◆
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	97 ○ ◆
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	115 ○
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	66
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	23.8	87	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	19.0	116 ◇
2.1	<b>Education</b>	41.6	92	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	122 ○ ◇
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.7	114 ○ ◇	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	6.3	104
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	14.2	84	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	0.6	108
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	13.5	78	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.4	61 ●
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	402.2	65	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.1	9 ● ◆		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	8.6	117 ◇
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	25.8	80	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	4.6	107
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	35.2	80	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	71
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	24.2	42 ●	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	91
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.4	74	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	49
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	4.0	76	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.3	113
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,734.9	43 ● ◆	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	5.3	93
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	86	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	15.7	106 ◇
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	0.3	82
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.3	75
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	36.3	90	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.1	96 ◇
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	71.6	71	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	86
3.1.1	ICT access*	86.2	67	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	11.4	84
3.1.2	ICT use*	60.7	72	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	5.4	120 ○ ◇
3.1.3	Government's online service*	70.6	65	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113 ○ ◇
3.1.4	E-participation*	69.0	73	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	13.7	114 ○ ◇
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	15.7	120 ○ ◇	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	106 ◇
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,555.9	71	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	106
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a		<b>Creative outputs</b>	6.7	105 ◇
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	16.7	112 ○ ◇	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	10.0	[101]
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	21.5	85	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	9.1	79	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	27.1	80
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	38.6	75	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	83	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	103
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	28.4	[80]	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	5.9	97
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	8.1	[118]	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	86
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.5	67
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	26.1	104	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.8	63
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	95
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	1.1	99
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.9	96
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.5	76
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.9	87
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	48.7	83	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	94
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.9	96 ◇				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	81.0	68				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	155.9	75				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Bahrain

72

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
86	50	High	NAWA	1.7	79.0	53,128

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	71.9	27 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	22.0	93 ◇
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	64.0	51 ◇	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	19.8	[96]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	69.1	63 ◇	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	21.9	69 ◇
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	58.9	46 ◇	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	74.3	38	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	2.0	80 ◇
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	60.6	42 ◇	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	21.8	65 ◇
1.2.2 Rule of law*	58.8	45 ◇	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.6	49	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	29.3	41
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	77.5	[9]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.0	86 ◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	77.5	8 ● ◆	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	56.2	33
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	70 ◇
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	16 ●
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	74 ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	28.1	78 ◇	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	16.9	131 ◇
<b>2.1 Education</b>	48.5	72 ◇	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	n/a	n/a
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.2	123 ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	4.9	118 ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	17.6	67 ◇	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.4	118 ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.3	28 ●	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.4	64
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	0.4	82 ◇
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.4	32	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.4	73 ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	31.1	66 ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	3.7	114 ◇
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	60.3	51	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	108
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	15.5	93 ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	71
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	12.8	15 ●	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	4.8	72 ◇	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.4	106 ◇
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	369.0	77 ◇	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.0	105 ◇
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	105 ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	28.8	60
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.3	15 ● ◆
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	11.6	60 ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.1	42
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	31 ●
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.3	44
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	9.8	90 ◇
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	55.8	32 ●	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	25.6	56
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	81.9	40	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	94.2	16 ●	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	42.5	58 ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	77.1	30 ●	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.6	87 ◇
3.1.3 Government's online service*	78.8	45	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.1	41
3.1.4 E-participation*	77.4	51	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	9.2	98 ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	64.3	6 ● ◆	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	13.5	93 ◇
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	20,390.2	1 ● ◆	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	19.9	70 ◇
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	40.9	58 ◇	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.9	119 ◇
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	34.2	9 ● ◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	14.3	53
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	21.1	90 ◇	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	106 ◇
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	4.5	124 ◇	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	7.5	[92]
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	42.0	66 ◇	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	42	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	4.7	45 ◇
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	30.4	75 ◇	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	27.0	[66]	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.9	48
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.1	85 ◇
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	73.9	45	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	4.3	57
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.9	89 ◇
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	13.4	44	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.1	70 ◇
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	66.1	27	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	95
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	32			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	50			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	51			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	50.8	80			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.0	62			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	63.8	95 ◇			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	79.0	91			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Bangladesh

102

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
90	112	Lower middle	CSA	166.3	953.4	5,733

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	44.1	109
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	45.5	112
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	58.2	103
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	32.7	118
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	40.6	122
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	22.4	121
1.2.2 Rule of law*	31.2	97
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	31.0	122
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	46.3	[69]
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	46.3	76
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>	10.8	127
<b>2.1 Education</b>	18.8	129
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	1.3	127
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	7.5	103
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	12.4	89
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	32.8	120
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	9.3	110
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	22.8	93
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	11.1	106
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	4.4	[74]
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	8.9	65

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>	35.5	94
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	58.2	95
3.1.1 ICT access*	76.0	90
3.1.2 ICT use*	38.6	102
3.1.3 Government's online service*	61.2	86
3.1.4 E-participation*	57.1	90
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	23.9	83
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	518.6	109
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	24.7	92
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	30.4	24
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	24.3	75
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	17.0	13
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	23.1	127
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	108

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>	25.4	92
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	31.4	49
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	45.3	76
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	3.2	11
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	3.1	93
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	25.8	52
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	95
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	93
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	77
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	41.8	97
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	11.0	123
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	75.1	77
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	953.4	30

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>	16.5	125
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	11.8	[117]
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	8.3	111
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	21.9	72
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.3	111
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.1	99
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	29.4	118
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	43.1	87
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	92
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	100
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	18.7	118
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	102
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.1	68
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.2	129
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.6	111
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.7	95
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	7.1	[90]
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	122
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.6	105
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	12.2	63
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	22.9	78
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	5.3	5
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.0	122
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	75
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	117
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	6.5	97
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	8.2	110
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	94
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	20.9	100
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	104
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.9	88

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>	13.0	87
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	24.5	68
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	68.6	26
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.2	108
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	4.2	69
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	59
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	2.1	[114]
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	76
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.2	96
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	106
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.9	103
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.4	111
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	123
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.4	92
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	73

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Belarus

77

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
63	86	Upper middle	EUR	9.4	200.7	21,467

		Score/Value	Rank			Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>		32.2	130	<b>Business sophistication</b>		25.8	72
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	47.0	105	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	45.9	39
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	60.0	97	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	41.0	30
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	34.0	113	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	31.5	53
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	48.7	110	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	4.0	43
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	28.7	107	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	45.0	35
1.2.2	Rule of law*	20.2	120	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	20.5	32
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	21.7	95	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	6.8	[129]
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	0.8	[130]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	n/a	n/a
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	n/a	n/a	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	n/a	n/a
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	0.8	73	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	41
<b>Human capital and research</b>		42.6	35	5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	105
2.1	<b>Education</b>	64.1	16	5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	56
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.0	41	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	24.6	91
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	35.7	6	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.5	70
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	15.2	47	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	6.3	103
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	472.3	36	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.2	81
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.3	22	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.2	69
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	53.6	8	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	86.6	14	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		31.4	40
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	35.5	8	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	13.9	60
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.9	43	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	32
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	10.0	57	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	63
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,465.7	49	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	16
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	56	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.8	104
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	9.8	75
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	16.6	56	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	41.8	19
<b>Infrastructure</b>		43.4	67	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	2.2	30
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	78.2	52	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.1	78
3.1.1	ICT access*	89.7	48	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.0	104
3.1.2	ICT use*	77.7	27	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	37.5	3
3.1.3	Government's online service*	70.6	65	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	29.8	45
3.1.4	E-participation*	75.0	57	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	38.5	31
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	26.5	74	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	39
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,035.1	53	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	61.1	31
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	24.2	97	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	2.2	56
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.6	49	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	7.5	10
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	25.4	69	<b>Creative outputs</b>		11.3	91
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	6.9	103	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	7.6	107
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	48.5	44	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	49	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	26.2	82
<b>Market sophistication</b>		24.6	96	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	11.0	108	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	73
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	21.9	69	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	9.7	[83]
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	33.1	89	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.5	55
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.0	55	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	1.1	109	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	1.4	80	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	81
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	89	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.7	53
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	84	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	20.2	30
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	84	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.7	82
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	61.7	48	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	6.5	47
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.8	56	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	19.9	33
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	92.1	41	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	52.8	2
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	200.7	68				







NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Belgium

26

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
24	26	High	EUR	11.6	645.4	55,919

	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	71.5	29	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	56.7	11
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	77.0	27	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	74.4	5 ●◆
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	80.0	30	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	49.6	9 ●
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	73.9	26	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	57.8	11
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	78.5	31	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	2.5	6 ●◆
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	78.7	20	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	64.3	9 ●
1.2.2 Rule of law*	81.6	20	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	28.1	7 ●◆
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	19.7	84 ○	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	55.9	9 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	59.0	[36]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	68.8	8 ●◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	59.0	42	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	63.7	18
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.5	5 ●◆
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	25
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	15
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	56.2	16	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	39.7	37
<b>2.1 Education</b>	70.6	5 ●◆	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.8	51
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.4	14 ◆	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.4	65 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	23.7	27	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.8	21
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	19.6	4 ●◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-5.5	130 ○
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	499.9	19	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	56.8	18
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.1	19	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	44.4	18
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	35.6	46	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	49.7	13
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	80.1	22	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	18
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	17.6	86 ○◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	16
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	10.0	26	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	62.3	15	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	42.2	19
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	5,750.1	11	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	53.8	14
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.5	4 ●◆	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	37.9	30
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	66.9	17	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.0	91 ○
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	55.1	17	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	4.7	30
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.6	10 ●
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	53.7	37	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.1	57
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	74.5	64 ◆	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	44.2	21
3.1.1 ICT access*	89.2	53	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	45.4	23
3.1.2 ICT use*	77.5	28	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.9	23
3.1.3 Government's online service*	65.9	76 ○◆	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	70.1	21
3.1.4 E-participation*	65.5	77 ○◆	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	8.3	22
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	54.6	16	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.6	31
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,614.4	24	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	32.6	32
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	92.6	3 ●◆	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	36.0	46
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.7	56	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	69.4	25
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	32.0	49	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	39.5	64 ○
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.3	66 ○	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	48.1	34
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	58.2	21	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	42
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	55	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	27.5	39
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.4	20
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	38.2	45	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.9	25
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	27.7	[64]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	48.2	19
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.0	46
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	75.8	43	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.0	43
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	31.2	23
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	22.5	34	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	21.4	27
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	75.2	23	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	62.1	13
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	22	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	39.0	15
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	31	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	70 ○
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	32			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	64.5	30			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	88.6	49			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	645.4	36			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
131	107	Lower middle	SSA	12.5	46.5	3,720

		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>		57.2	64 ● ◆
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	52.9	89
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	61.8	87
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	44.0	86
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	62.1	74 ● ◆
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	35.6	94
1.2.2	Rule of law*	27.2	107
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	11.6	38 ●
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	56.7	[37]
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	56.7	45 ● ◆
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>		15.6	115
2.1	<b>Education</b>	31.0	119
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.0	108
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap ○	7.9	102
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	10.8	97
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	18.2	88
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	15.7	101
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	11.1	110
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.7	70 ●
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.0	68 ●
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇

		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>		28.7	110
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	46.9	112
3.1.1	ICT access*	54.2	119 ◇
3.1.2	ICT use*	27.4	116 ◇
3.1.3	Government's online service*	51.2	104
3.1.4	E-participation*	54.8	93
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	23.5	87
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	81.7	127 ○
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	32.6	75 ●
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.7	40 ●
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	15.6	124
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	7.1	101
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	29.6	110
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	121

		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>		17.6	117
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	16.7	95
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	15.5	116
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	2.0	16 ●
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	18.5	127 ◇
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	9.9	117
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	46.5	105

		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>		18.9	114
5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	9.7	[122]
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	6.1	118
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	20.0	79
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.2	112
5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	19.3	98
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	37.1	95
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	34.5	119
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP ○	0.0	76 ●
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	27.6	77 ●
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	120 ◇
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	4.7	119
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	2.9	19 ● ◆
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.3	89
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a

		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		3.0	129 ○ ◇
6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	4.5	110
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	117
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78 ○ ◇
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.1	74 ●
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	4.0	105
6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	3.1	[128]
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.6	91
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.1	101
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	104
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	1.5	131 ○ ◇
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	104
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	123
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	104








		Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>		0.3	132 ○ ◇
7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	0.4	130 ○ ◇
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.7	127 ○
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	112
7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	0.1	[131]
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	109 ○
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	129 ○
7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	0.4	114
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.6	103
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	119
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.4	112
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Bosnia and Herzegovina

70

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
75	64	Upper middle	EUR	3.3	53.2	16,302








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	48.1	94	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	21.5	98
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	46.2	108	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	30.1	67
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	63.6	81	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	18.0	83
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	28.7	122	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	37.9	38
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	68.1	57	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	64
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	39.1	89	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	36.1	53
1.2.2 Rule of law*	38.1	78	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.7	70
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	9.2	23	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	15.3	120
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	29.9	110	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	29.1	119
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	21.8	125	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	39.8	105
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	38.1	41	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	67
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	85
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	30.7	67	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	19.2	114
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.4	[30]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	99
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	n/a	n/a	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	6.3	102
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	32.7	7	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	96
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.4	63
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	402.6	63	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	12.0	60
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.5	14	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	22.3	63
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	29.2	71	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	9.9	75
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	37.9	77	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	64
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	23.3	46	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	47
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	6.6	40	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.4	91	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.0	62
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	452.0	72	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.7	98
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	89	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	28.1	63
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.5	74
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.0	80
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	90
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	47.5	55	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.9	6
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	63.1	90	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	15.4	74
3.1.1 ICT access*	82.3	79	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	28.9	51
3.1.2 ICT use*	56.0	84	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	42
3.1.3 Government's online service*	53.5	97	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	58.7	35
3.1.4 E-participation*	60.7	85	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.6	52
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	28.3	71	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.2	60
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,951.2	43	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	14.4	83
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	35.3	67	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	20.5	78
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	20.1	91	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	28.9	67
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	51.2	7	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.3	96
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	6.4	108	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	39.4	73	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.0	37
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.2	1	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	13.7	69
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	71
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	45.5	25	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.1	30
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	31.2	51	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	41.4	37	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.1	43
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	58.5	60	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.4	65
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	3.0	72
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.0	64
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	3.1	63
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	5.9	52
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	99
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	59.9	53			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.9	72			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	97.2	17			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	53.2	102			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Botswana








86

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
94	74	Upper middle	SSA	2.4	41.1	17,163

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	65.0	40	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	26.0	70
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	67.6	43	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	26.7	74
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	80.0	30	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	19.9	76
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	55.2	56	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	66.0	63	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	63
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	55.3	53	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	17.7	69
1.2.2 Rule of law*	57.5	46	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	16.4	44
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	20.3	87	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	25.4	54
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	61.4	[32]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	49.2	50
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	61.4	35	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.4	64
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	33
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	58
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	35.6	51	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	25.8	87
<b>2.1 Education</b>	87.6	[1]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.6	22
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	8.7	2	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.0	73
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.2	82
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.9	103
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	1.0	78
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.5	44	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	14.5	88
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	17.2	97	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	6.8	95
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	26.1	89	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	115
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	18.5	83	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	70
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.2	75	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	38
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.9	87	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.9	69
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	185.2	83	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.6	100
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	57	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	28.7	61
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-2.0	111
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	18.0	4
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	84
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	123
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	36.5	88	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	7.9	111
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	53.7	97	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	98
3.1.1 ICT access*	83.4	75	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	23.1	95
3.1.2 ICT use*	58.2	77	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	99
3.1.3 Government's online service*	36.5	116	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	114
3.1.4 E-participation*	36.9	114	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	8.0	100
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	23.6	85	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	14.5	91
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	927.7	99	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	16.8	72
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.2	95
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	29.4	26	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	32.0	50	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	91
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	14.4	27	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	2.2	[113]
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	54.0	33	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	98	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	80
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	19.6	112	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.0	102
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	23.4	77	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.0	93
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	2.0	71
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	39.5	80	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.9	106
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	2.3	15	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	114
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	3.6	91			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	63			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	59			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	90			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	31.8	113			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.8	8			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	0.0	109			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	41.1	111			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
53	58	Upper middle	LCN	214.0	3,437.6	16,169

	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank	
 <b>Institutions</b>	46.7	102 ○	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	37.9	35 ◆	
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	52.8	91	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	45.9	[40]	
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	24.5	59	
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	40.1	94	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a	
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	63.2	70	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	40.9	84	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙	43.5	
1.2.2 Rule of law*	41.5	71	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	14.4	53	
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.4	62	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	24.7	58	
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	24.1	121 ○ ◇	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	40.4	78	
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	35.4	103 ○	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	49.8	51	
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	12.8	66 ○ ◇	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	86	
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	53	
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	36.2	50	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	43.3	30 ◆	
<b>2.1 Education</b>	51.4	67	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.9	14 ● ◆	
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙	6.1	20 ●	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	13.2	19 ●
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.4	43	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.6	25 ● ◆	
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.6	44	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.5	37	
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	400.0	68 ○	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙	26.6	
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	16.3	79				
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	22.2	86	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	24.8	55	
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	55.1	58	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	20.0	48	
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙	18.5	82 ○	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	43
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.2	105 ○ ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	54	
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	35.0	33 ◆	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	26	
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	887.7	53	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.9	51
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	1.2	34 ◆	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	38.6	23 ● ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	46.5	32 ◆	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	30.8	54	
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	42.5	30 ◆	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.8	66	
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.7	48	
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	40	
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.7	50	
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	37.5	32 ◆	
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	23.7	64	
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	36 ◆	
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	44.0	53	
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.6	53	
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.1	85	
			 <b>Creative outputs</b>	24.5	51	
			<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	41.8	33	
			7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	72.1	17 ●	
			7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	82.7	19 ●	
			7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	34.7	41	
			7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	58	
			<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	6.8	93	
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.5	51	
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.1	56 ○	
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	6.0	44	
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	83 ○	
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	83	
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	7.6	51	
			7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.6	85	
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	8.9	42	
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	8.3	47	
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.5	34	
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	43.9	65				
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	80.4	45				
3.1.1 ICT access*	81.4	81				
3.1.2 ICT use*	62.9	63				
3.1.3 Government's online service*	87.1	20 ● ◆				
3.1.4 E-participation*	90.5	18 ● ◆				
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	26.0	75				
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,922.5	64				
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	43.7	55				
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	17.3	108 ○				
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	25.3	71				
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.2	67				
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	43.6	60				
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	69				
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	37.2	49				
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	22.1	82				
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	40.7	38				
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	70.2	48				
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.0	57 ○				
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	17.2	38				
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	59.8	32				
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	53				
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	44				
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	22				
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	72.4	18 ● ◆				
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	8.4	107 ○ ◇				
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	94.2	27				
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	3,437.6	8 ● ◆				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Brunei Darussalam

# 92

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
129	53	High	SEAO	0.4	30.3	65,675

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
74.5		23	◆
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	87.6	8 ◆◆
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	94.5	3 ◆◆
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	80.7	17 ●
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	84.5	22 ●
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	67.8	35
1.2.2	Rule of law*	70.2	32
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ●◆
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	51.5	[54]
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	51.5	59
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
35.2		53	◇
2.1	<b>Education</b>	52.8	63 ◇
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 4.4	62
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 23.6	29
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	14.0	72 ◇
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	423.1	53 ◇
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	7.2	4 ●◆
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	42.3	31
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	32.0	83 ◇
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	38.4	4 ●◆
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.7	59
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	10.4	55 ◇
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.3	81 ◇
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	23.4	44

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
45.5		61	◇
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	68.9	78 ◇
3.1.1	ICT access*	83.3	76 ◇
3.1.2	ICT use*	74.0	43
3.1.3	Government's online service*	63.5	80 ◇
3.1.4	E-participation*	54.8	93 ◇
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	46.1	26 ●
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 11,465.1	11 ●
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	30.7	78 ◇
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	33.9	11 ●◆
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	21.4	87 ◇
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	6.5	106
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	45.7	55
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	77 ◇

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
23.5		[101]	
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	13.5	[104]
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	39.7	79 ◇
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	33.4	111 ◇
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.0	2 ●◆
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 0.0	109 ○◇
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	30.3	123 ○

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
27.4		66	◇
5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	30.6	[66]
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 34.3	44
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 0.0	100 ○◇
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 12.1	63 ◇
5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	22.9	67 ◇
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	51.0	40
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	48.4	63
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	92 ◇
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	37
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	70 ◇
5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	28.6	72 ◇
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	86 ◇
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	5.2	116
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	2.4	27 ●
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.8	27 ●
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
4.2		127	◇
6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	6.0	98 ◇
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	102
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○◇
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.1	68 ◇
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	3.3	114 ◇
6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	4.5	[124]
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.0	81
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	77
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 3.3	106 ○◇
6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	2.0	129 ○◇
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113 ○◇
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	103 ◇
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.1	129 ○◇








Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
2.0		[125]	
7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	2.1	[122]
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.2	114 ◇
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	118 ○◇
7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	0.9	[123]
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	106 ○◇
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙ 0.1	89
7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	3.0	73 ◇
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	6.8	47
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.1	83 ◇
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.8	65 ◇
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	102

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Bulgaria

35

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
30	47	Upper middle	EUR	6.9	177.7	25,847







	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	55.9	67	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	36.4	40
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	58.6	68	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	38.9	50
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	33.4	45
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	48.1	75	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	20.0	79
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	74.8	37	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.6	38
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	57.9	46	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	37.6	49
1.2.2 Rule of law*	43.8	66	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	20.1	33
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.6	16	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	35.3	32
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	34.3	98	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	50.3	45
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	41.5	91	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	54.0	40
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	27.1	52	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.3	7
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	51
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	43
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	30.5	68	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	34.9	51
<b>2.1 Education</b>	48.6	71	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	65
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.1	74	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.9	75
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.6	42	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.5	63
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.9	73	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.3	39
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	426.7	50	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	49.3	26
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	12.3	52			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	33.7	57	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	35.4	30
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	73.4	30	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	26.0	36
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.5	71	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	38
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	7.2	34	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	45
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	9.4	60	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.2	6
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,402.3	35	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.5	61
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.9	46	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	15.4	54
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	43.1	16
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	6.8	67	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.9	36
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.4	72
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	62
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	37.6	2
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	23.6	55
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	54.7	34	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	37.2	37
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	82.3	37	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	34
3.1.1 ICT access*	91.7	31	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	54.0	42
3.1.2 ICT use*	71.0	56	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	5.6	35
3.1.3 Government's online service*	77.1	47	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	4.9	19
3.1.4 E-participation*	89.3	23			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	32.1	58	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	38.3	23
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,808.1	32	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	59.0	12
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	45.6	51	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	71.0	20
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	18.4	105	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	81.0	21
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	49.8	13	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.2	89	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	21
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	51.9	35	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	22.0	54
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.5	3	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.7	16
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.0	47
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.2	37
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.2	40
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	33.4	62	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	13.2	39
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	31.2	50	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	24.4	24
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	44.1	29	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	4.1	57
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	51.7	69	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	16.4	35
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.9	48
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.9	80			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	24.3	55			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	51			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	72			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	85			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	64.2	32			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	98.5	10			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	177.7	72			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Burkina Faso

120

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
124	114	Low	SSA	21.5	52.6	2,444

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	46.3	105	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	16.7	123
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	43.1	121	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	10.1	[120]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	50.9	121	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	13.3	96
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	35.3	107	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	65.0	66	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	34.5	96	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	35.2	89	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	0.8	119
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.5	32	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	16.5	114
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	30.8	108	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	30.2	115
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	47.3	73	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	28.7	127
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	14.2	65	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	55
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	117
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	18.1	104	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	23.4	96
<b>2.1 Education</b>	38.4	101	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	117
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.5	25	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	4.1	122
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	15.7	78	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.1	36
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	9.1	109	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.2	95
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	20.9	97	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	9.6	112
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	14.9	102	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	4.5	111
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	7.8	117	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	101
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.3	66	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.1	77	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	59
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.9	98	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.5	83
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.6	101
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	84	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	16.5	104
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.8	40
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.3	106
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	110
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	27.3	115	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	116
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	43.4	116	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	54.6	117	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	7.7	112
3.1.2 ICT use*	21.3	124	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	87
3.1.3 Government's online service*	46.5	110	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	19.7	104
3.1.4 E-participation*	51.2	99	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	120
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	19.9	109	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.2	82
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	91.5	125	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	1.3	127
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	26.5	86	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	1.5	126
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.1	62	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	18.5	101	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.5	115
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.0	92	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	35.5	89	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	98
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	124	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	2.2	[112]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	73
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	17.5	118	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	19.1	87	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	19.1	72	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	28.3	98	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	123
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	2.0	17	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.0	131
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	6.1	[69]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1	125
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	125
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.0	129
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	43	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	101			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	27.4	116			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	7.2	102			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	52.6	103			








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Burundi

130

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
130	127	Low	SSA	12.3	9.5	779








	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	45.3	106		 <b>Business sophistication</b>	16.9 119
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	35.8	128		<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	9.5 125
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	49.1	123		5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 2.3 126 ○ ◇
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	22.6	130		5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 32.0 49 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	50.0	108		5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.0 81
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	19.5	127		5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 8.8 77 ◆
1.2.2 Rule of law*	11.9	130 ○ ◇		5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 0.2 125
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.9	66 ●		<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.9 93
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	50.0	[59]		5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	⊙ 38.6 87
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	⊙ 50.0	63 ●		5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	⊙ 41.0 100
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a		5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0 97 ○ ◇
				5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a n/a
				5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0 101 ○ ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	20.7	95 ◆		<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	21.2 104
<b>2.1 Education</b>	45.7	78 ● ◆		5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙ 0.0 114
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.0	39 ●		5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.6 34 ●
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 30.8	10 ● ◆		5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙ 1.6 59 ●
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙ 10.8	96		5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.1 120
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a		5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 1.5 76
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	24.9	105		 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	3.8 128 ○ ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	15.9	100 ◆		<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	5.8 102
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	5.0	126		6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2 97
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 19.7	69		6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙ 4.8	51 ●		6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3 39 ●
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.6	102		6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.0 87
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 23.4	105 ○ ◇		6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	0.3 131 ○ ◇
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.2	87		<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	3.9 [126]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇		6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇		6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a n/a
				6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1 95 ◆
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	20.7	130		6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8 113
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	30.8	126		6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 3.9 103
3.1.1 ICT access*	38.1	129 ○ ◇		<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	1.8 130 ○ ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	16.6	128		6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙ 0.0 105
3.1.3 Government's online service*	35.3	119		6.3.2 Production and export complexity	n/a n/a
3.1.4 E-participation*	33.3	118		6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.0 131 ○ ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	14.6	122		6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙ 0.8 91
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	n/a	n/a		 <b>Creative outputs</b>	1.2 128
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	0.5	124 ○ ◇		<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	0.9 127
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	21.9	81		7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.5	116		7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.6 121
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	n/a	n/a		7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0 77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	30.5	106		7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.2 101
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	86 ◆		<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	2.7 [110]
				7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2 69 ●
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	7.4	130 ○ ◇		7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	5.8	123		7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a		7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	22.4	111		7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0 124
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	⊙ 0.3	43		<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.1 129
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]		7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1 126
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a		7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1 116
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a		7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.1 127
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a		7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a n/a
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	8.9	131 ○ ◇			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	11.3	124			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 0.0	109 ○ ◇			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	9.5	132 ○ ◇			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Cambodia

97

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
102	92	Lower middle	SEAO	16.9	78.1	4,930







	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	50.4	87	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	17.6	117
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	55.8	80	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	12.8	114
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	8.6	110
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	40.7	92	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	22.2	69
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	51.5	102	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	83
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	29.5	104	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	19.4	66
1.2.2 Rule of law*	21.5	117	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	2.2	105
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	19.4	83	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.9	84
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	43.8	[78]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.1	85
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	43.8	84	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.4	65
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	52
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	54
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	20.0	99	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	19.1	115
<b>2.1 Education</b>	32.7	[114]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	101
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.2	122	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	3.1	126
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	99
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	13.5	9
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	4.3	71
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	22.9	100	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	11.9	101
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	26.9	76	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	3.0	118
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	14.7	103	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	128
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	23.2	47	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	87
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.4	108	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.1	114
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	30.4	102	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.7	98
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	103	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	18.3	98
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.4	27
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.5	94
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	109
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	30.9	103	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	103
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	53.1	99	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	71.1	96	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	14.3	91
3.1.2 ICT use*	54.1	87	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	76
3.1.3 Government's online service*	45.3	112	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	29.9	86
3.1.4 E-participation*	41.7	111	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.5	68
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	21.8	97	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	109
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	526.4	108	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	7.3	104
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	24.7	92	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	9.9	102
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	27.0	36	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	17.8	107	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	39.5	65
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.6	86	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	30.1	109	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	99
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	96	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	7.5	[91]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	38.2	44	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	76.6	2	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	139.9	14	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.6	57
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	26.6	1	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.8	88
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	7.5	63	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.1	92
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	121
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	41	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.2	96
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	37	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.8	56
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	82			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	30.7	115			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	6.2	98			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	78.1	92			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Cameroon

121

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
114	124	Lower middle	SSA	27.2	105.1	3,860

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	46.5	104	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	21.3	99
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	42.6	124 ○	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	21.2	[92]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	54.5	116	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙	10.9 105
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	30.7	119	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙	37.6 39 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	48.5	111	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	24.5	118	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	16.3	124 ○ ◇	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙	2.0 106
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	19.9	85	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.3	79
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	48.3	[64]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	41.4	76
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	48.3	68 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	41.4	99
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	119 ○
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	92
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	15.2	116	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	21.5	102
<b>2.1 Education</b>	38.3	102	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙	0.0 110
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.2	104	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	⊙	6.1 107
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙	17.2 71	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙	1.2 83
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙	12.1 90	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.1	71 ●
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙	19.3 92	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.4	98
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	7.2	115	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	7.2	89
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙	14.3 104	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	78
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	81
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙	2.8 71	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙	0.0 66
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.4	57 ●
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	7.1	86
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	23.7	[76]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.2	57 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	79
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	27.6	113	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	110
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	41.8	119	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	41.0	127 ○ ◇	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	6.1	117
3.1.2 ICT use*	37.5	105	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙	0.0 73 ●
3.1.3 Government's online service*	47.1	109	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	5.8	118 ○ ◇
3.1.4 E-participation*	41.7	111	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	⊙	0.2 108
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	22.9	89	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙	1.7 73 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙	327.7 115	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	2.1	124 ○ ◇
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	25.6	88	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	1.5	125 ○ ◇
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	28.8	28 ●	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	18.1	105	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.5	116
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	9.1	78	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	30.2	108	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	96
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	115	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	4.9	[101]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.4	57 ●
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	4.4	132 ○ ◇	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	9.6	111	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	⊙	14.7 118	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙	0.0 120 ○
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.1	26 ●	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.4	112
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.6	99	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.2	116
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.9	88
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	61	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.6	110
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙	0.0 115 ○
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	93			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	1.1	132 ○ ◇			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	⊙	15.5 131 ○ ◇			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	105.1	86			


NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.


## Canada


15


Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
23	9	High	NAC	38.1	2,027.4	53,089


	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	80.4	15
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	84.4	14
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	83.6	16
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	85.1	11 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	91.5	8 ●
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	84.8	11 ●
1.2.2 Rule of law*	89.0	12
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.0	28
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	65.4	24
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	66.5	24
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	64.3	18


	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	57.7	12
<b>2.1 Education</b>	62.0	23
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 5.3	34
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 18.3	62 ○ ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.4	27
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	516.7	7
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.8	29
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	48.5	14
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	75.7	26
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 25.1	40
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	16.2	11
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	62.6	14
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 4,516.3	22
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.7	23
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	64.4	20
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	82.1	6 ●

	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	57.0	30
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	84.7	29
3.1.1 ICT access*	85.5	69 ○ ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	75.3	40 ○ ◇
3.1.3 Government's online service*	84.1	31
3.1.4 E-participation*	94.0	16
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	64.6	5 ● ◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	16,857.7	6 ● ◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	78.1	20
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.1	61
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	21.6	84 ○ ◇
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	5.7	115 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	50.0	42
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	85 ○ ◇

	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	65.1	6 ●
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	49.4	[20]
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	49.4	15
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	68.0	6 ●
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	137.0	8
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	11
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	1 ● ◆
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	10
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	77.8	13
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	47
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	97.6	15
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	2,027.4	15

	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Business sophistication</b>	52.3	20
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	50.3	28 ○ ◇
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 43.7	24
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.9	28
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	41.9	40 ○ ◇
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	19.5	35 ○ ◇
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	59.8	6 ●
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	67.9	9 ●
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	63.0	19
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	27
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	1 ● ◆
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	16
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	46.9	20
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	2.3	11
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	11.0	25
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.4	72 ○
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.3	67
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 58.4	16

	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	39.3	24
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	45.7	16
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	31 ○ ◇
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	25 ○ ◇
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	41.0	21
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	80.3	4 ● ◆
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	33.5	44 ○ ◇
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.4	76 ○
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙ 0.2	110 ○ ◇
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.7	3 ● ◆
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	79 ○ ◇
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	36.8	34
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	38.8	30
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.1	20
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	57.9	36
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	6.2	32
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.0	64

	Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Creative outputs</b>	38.7	20
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	39.9	36
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	71.0	19
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	33.8	68 ○
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	131.1	13
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	89 ○ ◇
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	31.1	25
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.7	17
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.3	27
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	57.1	9
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.3	30
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.8	49
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	44.0	13
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	86.6	4 ● ◆
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	34.0	21
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	44.0	12
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.2	36

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Chile

50

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
57	43	High	LCN	19.2	522.8	26,513

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	66.5	39
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	71.9	40
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	72.7	46
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	71.0	32
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	67.0	61
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	71.2	31
1.2.2 Rule of law*	73.8	25
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.4	111
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	60.6	34
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	63.4	30
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	57.9	26

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>	33.9	57
<b>2.1 Education</b>	52.6	65
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.4	28
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.9	58
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.7	22
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	437.8	46
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	17.6	85
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	33.5	58
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	93.1	7
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.6	63
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.6	97
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	15.7	46
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	510.3	68
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	74
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	41.3	31

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>	50.3	47
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	84.8	28
3.1.1 ICT access*	92.1	28
3.1.2 ICT use*	76.2	34
3.1.3 Government's online service*	85.3	24
3.1.4 E-participation*	85.7	29
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	36.4	45
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,210.4	50
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	59.1	33
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.3	77
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	29.6	53
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.9	61
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	46.7	51
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	41

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>	37.7	46
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	39.4	32
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	31.9	52
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	124.5	19
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	12.6	48
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	76.7	22
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	47
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	57
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	49
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	61.2	50
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.4	5
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	74.9	78
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	522.8	44

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>	29.9	57
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	32.2	64
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	31.7	47
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	60
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	31.0	58
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	12.3	62
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.3	77
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	41.4	75
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	45.4	77
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	65
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	56
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	41
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	36.0	46
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	2.1	12
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.2	52
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.0	86
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.5	34
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	27.7	44

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	25.1	54
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	15.3	57
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	69
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	37
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	42
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	23.5	38
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	24.2	38
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	42.3	17
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.3	16
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	12.1	10
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.4	21
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.4	42
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	23.9	54
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	17.6	79
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	70
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	36.8	69
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.9	65
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.6	96








	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>	23.6	55
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	39.3	38
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	47.9	53
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	102.8	12
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	33.1	42
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	108
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	9.6	85
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.3	67
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	3.0	38
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	11.9	30
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	75
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	91
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	6.2	57
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.1	75
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	15.0	33
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	5.4	54
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	68

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## China

11

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
8	21	Upper middle	SEAO	1,444.2	27,072.0	19,090

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	64.8	42		<b>Business sophistication</b>	55.9	12
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	67.3	44	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	77.8	[1]
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	n/a	n/a
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	63.7	41	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	79.2	1
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	52.7	101	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.8	12
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	42.9	77	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	77.5	3
1.2.2	Rule of law*	44.5	63	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.4	111	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	36.8	30
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	74.6	13	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	70.1	5
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	71.9	16	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	72.6	2
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	77.3	9	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	77
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	67
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	23
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	53.1	20	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	53.0	8
2.1	<b>Education</b>	69.3	[7]	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.4	25
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.6	95	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	26.9	5
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.4	68
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.5	86
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	579.0	1	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	58.5	15
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.4	61				
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	19.4	92		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	56.8	6
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	58.4	52	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	69.5	4
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	55.6	1
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.4	100	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	14
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	70.5	8	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	120.7	1
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,584.9	48	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	23.1	39
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.4	13	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	64.1	11
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	93.8	3	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	52.8	4
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	86.8	3	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	6.4	1
				6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	8.6	17
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	57.5	25	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.3	32
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	87.6	20	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.4	23
3.1.1	ICT access*	88.1	61	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	48.5	14
3.1.2	ICT use*	75.3	39	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	48.2	19
3.1.3	Government's online service*	90.6	12	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	35
3.1.4	E-participation*	96.4	9	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	73.2	16
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	56.0	13	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	32.4	4
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,537.7	35	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	2.5	53
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	72.6	25				
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	42.9	3		<b>Creative outputs</b>	49.3	11
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	29.0	54	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	82.9	2
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	6.8	104	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	78.4	11
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	28.4	115	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	376.9	1
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.0	15	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	111.4	18
				7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	31.1	1
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	56.0	12	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	28.8	33
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	44.7	25	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.6	47
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	51.5	9	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	61
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	182.4	4	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	10.5	34
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.9	29	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	72
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	28.7	26	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	13.1	1
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	62.7	29	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	2.8	77
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	31	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.5	72
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	19	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	4.0	58
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	16	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.7	89
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	94.6	3	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.5	67				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	99.9	2				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	27,072.0	1				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Colombia

63

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
70	63	Upper middle	LCN	51.3	812.8	15,922

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		54.6	72
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	56.2	78
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	61.8	87
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		50.6	67
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	63.0	71
1.2.2	Rule of law*	52.9	57
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	33.3	92
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	16.7	68
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		44.6	74
1.3.1	Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	46.1	79
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	43.1	38

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>2.1 Education</b>		27.4	79
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	42.2	90
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.9	44
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.4	45
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	14.4	63
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	405.5	62 ○
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	26.1	108 ○ ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		27.6	75
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	54.2	59
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	23.5	44
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.2	108 ○ ◇
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		12.6	52
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	88.0	92 ○ ◇
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	79
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	34.9	35

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		46.0	59
3.1.1	ICT access*	75.7	58
3.1.1	ICT access*	85.3	70
3.1.2	ICT use*	54.0	88
3.1.3	Government's online service*	76.5	49
3.1.4	E-participation*	86.9	27 ●
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		24.2	81
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,454.2	92 ○ ◇
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	41.4	57
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.4	97 ○
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		38.2	33
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	16.7	15 ● ◆
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	42.4	63
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.0	23 ●

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		32.5	66
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	24.3	74
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	29.4	63 ○
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	54.1	65
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		10.5	56
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	37.0	43
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	86 ○
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	71
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	30
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		62.6	40
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.4	66
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	85.3	61
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	812.8	32

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		35.6	42 ◆
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	51.0	27 ● ◆
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	38.2	34 ◆
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	63.0	7 ● ◆
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	57
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	53.4	24 ● ◆
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	14.9	50
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		21.2	82
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	46.7	55
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	50.3	48
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	68
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	89
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	65
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		34.7	52
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.2	30
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	15.8	14 ●
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	2.3	31 ● ◆
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.5	35
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	2.5	74 ○

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		20.5	67
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.9	80
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	76
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	60
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	44
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.1	86
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	18.5	45
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		32.5	49
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	3.6	8 ● ◆
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.0	62
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.2	70
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.0	21 ●
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	19.9	65
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		20.1	74
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	45
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	43.7	55
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	1.6	67
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.8	89








Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		17.9	75
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	26.4	66
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	38.7	63 ○
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	43.0	55
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	31.1	44
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	85
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		10.2	81
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	70
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.3	54
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	6.0	43
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.2	32
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.3	70
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		8.6	47
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.9	66
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	24.6	28 ● ◆
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	4.9	60
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	72

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Costa Rica

68

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
71	67	Upper middle	LCN	5.1	111.9	21,592

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	64.3	44	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	29.0	60
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	63.3	54	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	19.1	98
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	22.0	68
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	57.5	52	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	68.7	55	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙	0.1
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	56.2	51	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙	2.3
1.2.2 Rule of law*	60.9	42	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	11.7	66
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	18.7	78	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.2	80
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	60.9	[33]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	45.3	59
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	60.9	36	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	52.5	43
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙	0.0
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	90
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	62
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	28.3	77	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	46.9	21
<b>2.1 Education</b>	58.2	45	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	3.1	6
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.7	10	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.8	29
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	23.5	31	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.5	60
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.5	23	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	4.2	23
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	414.8	59	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	12.8	54	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	23.1	61
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	21.0	89	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	5.3	106
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	57.7	56	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	109
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	16.2	90	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.2	87	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	61
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	5.7	69	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.7	91
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	345.1	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	9.9	73
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	0.4	6.2 Knowledge impact	25.0	73
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.4	51
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	11.6	59	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.6	38
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	38
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	43.4	66	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.3	72
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	73.0	65	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	13.8	78
3.1.1 ICT access*	91.3	36	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	39.1	29
3.1.2 ICT use*	67.0	59	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	78
3.1.3 Government's online service*	68.2	72	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	50.6	44
3.1.4 E-participation*	65.5	77	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	5.8	33
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	22.8	92	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	7.7	8
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,169.0	78	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	15.2	81
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	34.4	72	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	20.4	79
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.0	99	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	34.6	43	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	82.0	20
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	17.2	12	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	46.3	53	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	113
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	63	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	13.7	70
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.7	40
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	25.9	88	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.4	53
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	21.8	[83]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.2	33
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	60.7	56	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	81
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	6.1	58
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	1.5	106	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	11.5	36
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	3.5	79	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.4	77
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	74	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	9.3	46
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	85	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	71
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	92			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	54.5	73			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	48			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	71.0	84			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	111.9	84			








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Côte d'Ivoire








109

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
106	109	Lower middle	SSA	27.1	158.3	5,724

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	54.6	73	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	21.8	95
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	53.3	86	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	17.2	[107]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	67.3	71	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	7.1	115 ○
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	39.4	97	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	35.5	45 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	62.1	73	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	38.0	90	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	30.5	99	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.2	113
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.1	47 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.2	81
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	48.3	[63]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	38.5	88
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	48.3	67 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	44.1	83
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	120 ○
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	94
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	11.8	122 ○ ◇	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	26.9	82
<b>2.1 Education</b>	29.9	121 ○	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	106
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.4	96	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	5.9	110
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	13.6	85	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.4	26 ● ◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	10.7	98	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.1	98
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	26.4	110 ◇	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	11.0	104
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	5.2	120 ○ ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	2.1	123 ○
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	9.9	114	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	111
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.4	73	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	74
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.2	112	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.4	118
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	5.1	96
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	108 ○	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	20.4	90
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.2	17 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.8	87
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	117 ○ ◇
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	32.8	98	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	90
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	47.9	108	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	65.2	105	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	10.7	102
3.1.2 ICT use*	40.5	101	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	97
3.1.3 Government's online service*	45.3	112	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	15.5	112 ○
3.1.4 E-participation*	40.5	113	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.1	71
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	26.9	73	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.2	83
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	421.2	112	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	6.0	108
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	47.9	49 ● ◆	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	11.3	96
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.9	69	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	3.6	73 ○ ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	23.7	78	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.9	113
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	13.4	36 ●	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	6.6	65
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	32.8	98	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	79
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	102	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	1.2	[121]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	87
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	14.8	122 ○ ◇	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	11.0	109	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	21.1	112	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	114
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.1	25 ●	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.2	118
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	6.4	67	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.4	110
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	13.5	70	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.3	107
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	44 ● ◆	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.3	117
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	39 ●	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	109 ○
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	89			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	27.0	118			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	7.6	104			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	158.3	74			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
40	45	High	EUR	4.1	124.8	31,112

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	52.6	77	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	32.6	46
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	69.6	42	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	40.0	47
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	80.0	30	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	36.4	41
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	59.1	45	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	26.2	63
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	70.3	50	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.6	37
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	55.8	52	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	37.6	49
1.2.2 Rule of law*	53.7	51	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	17.6	41
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.1	61	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	24.7	57
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	17.9	127	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	33.2	108
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	32.1	115	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	32.6	122
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	3.6	72	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.3	11
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	60
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	60
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	36.9	46	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	33.2	54
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.7	28	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.1	35
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	79	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.1	69
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.0	40
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.1	50	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.5	36
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	471.9	37	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	24.6	49
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	6.2	1	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	29.0	45
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	39.2	38	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	18.7	49
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	67.7	40	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	59
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	28.5	24	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	39
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.5	64	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	55
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	9.7	58	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	40.5	23
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,220.0	37	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	17.3	49
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.2	32	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	33.0	45
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.8	68
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	5.0	68	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	4.4	33
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	98
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	56.2	31	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.4	8
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	82.6	35	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	24.5	50
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.0	46	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	35.3	43
3.1.2 ICT use*	75.8	37	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	41
3.1.3 Government's online service*	75.3	52	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	62.0	30
3.1.4 E-participation*	89.3	23	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	4.2	42
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	33.6	53	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.9	28
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,269.1	59	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	28.0	39
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	48.8	48	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	30.2	60
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.7	46	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	47.5	54
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	52.4	5	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	48.3	49
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.7	41	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	2.5	75
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	60.2	16	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.1	36
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.1	8	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	36.4	14
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	2.0	11
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	35.1	56	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.4	45
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	29.3	56	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	37.1	46	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	3.0	5
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	59.8	59	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.0	42
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	15.3	36
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	13.2	46	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	14.9	32
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	36.0	44	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	11.8	38
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	81	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	26.5	26
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	41	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.2	45
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	28			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.7	38			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	95.2	24			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	124.8	80			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
20	29	High	NAWA	1.2	38.6	42,832

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	67.5	36
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	73.5	35
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	78.2	35
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	68.7	36
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	82.8	24
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	70.0	33
1.2.2 Rule of law*	61.1	41
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	46.3	70
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	59.9	39
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	32.8	49

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>	39.3	39
<b>2.1 Education</b>	65.4	12
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.7	23
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	37.3	4
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.6	43
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	438.0	45
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	7.7	7
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	46.7	20
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	88.5	11
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	13.1	99
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	26.1	4
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	5.7	67
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,706.1	45
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.8	47
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>	57.2	28
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	89.7	15
3.1.1 ICT access*	98.1	4
3.1.2 ICT use*	78.3	26
3.1.3 Government's online service*	87.1	20
3.1.4 E-participation*	95.2	14
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	35.9	46
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,545.5	34
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	51.2	44
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.2	78
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	45.9	21
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	14.2	29
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	58.0	22
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.5	16

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>	43.5	29
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	36.5	36
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	31.5	53
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	110.6	21
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	38.2	19
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	16.2	64
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	1
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	15
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	44
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	56.0	70
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	76.8	71
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	38.6	114

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>	48.9	23
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	48.9	33
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	38.0	36
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	39.7	34
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.4	46
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	36.4	52
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	26.5	14
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	41.0	26
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	44.2	65
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	51.5	46
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	26
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	10
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	27
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	56.9	6
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.3	29
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	3.9	124
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	14.1	1
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	52.5	1
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	36.3	37

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	41.9	20
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	34.5	28
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	37
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	22
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	58.2	4
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	12.3	62
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	38.9	28
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.4	79
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	13.3	8
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	73
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	21.1	13
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	15.9	72
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	52.3	13
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.6	14
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	49.1	46
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.9	75
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	17.7	1

	Score/ Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>	40.2	17
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	46.7	25
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	54.4	48
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	102.9	11
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.3	17
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	19.6	58
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.6	44
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	3.4	35
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.8	17
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	78
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	47.9	7
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	73.3	8
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	7.1	45
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	11.2	41
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	100.0	1

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Czech Republic

30

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
27	33	High	EUR	10.7	469.1	43,714

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		64.5	43
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	76.1	30
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	81.8	24
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	75.3	36
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	75.9	23
1.2.2	Rule of law*	73.6	27
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	20.2	86 ○
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		42.1	[82]
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	42.1	89 ○ ◇
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>2.1 Education</b>		43.3	33
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	60.0	37
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	4.3	66
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	25.5	20
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	16.2	32
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	495.5	23
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	11.5	46
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	45.4	24
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	65.6	44
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	25.9	36
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	14.4	14
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	24.5	39
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	4,127.9	25
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	2.0	18
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	38 ○ ◇
		31.5	38

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		58.3	20
3.1.1	ICT access*	77.1	54 ◇
3.1.2	ICT use*	89.7	49
3.1.3	Government's online service*	73.8	45
3.1.4	E-participation*	72.4	61
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	72.6	65
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	50.4	24
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	7,490.7	26
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	75.8	22
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	27.1	35
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	47.3	18
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	9.6	74 ○
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	59.9	19
		9.3	9 ● ◆

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		29.6	76 ○ ◇
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	18.8	[88]
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	53.2	67
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	n/a	n/a
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	5.3	72 ○ ◇
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.6	72 ○ ◇
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	40
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	77 ○
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	0.0	58 ○
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	64.6	29
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	1.5	20
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	93.1	35
		469.1	46

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		46.2	28
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	45.6	41
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	40.6	31
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	43.6	26
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	1.2	20
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	35.6	54 ○
5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	13.8	55 ◇
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	45.4	23
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	59.1	24
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	48.2	67
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	1 ● ◆
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	83 ○ ◇
5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	0.5	32
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	47.7	19
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	0.8	52
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	23.7	7 ● ◆
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.7	53
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	3.4	38
		51.0	24

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		44.7	17
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	35.4	27
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	36
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	32
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.9	7 ● ◆
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	37.6	25
6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	30.4	32
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	48.0	11 ◆
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.4	50
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	3.8	37
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	43
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	23.6	5 ● ◆
6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	60.1	4 ● ◆
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	50.6	16
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	0.4	30
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	83.9	6 ● ◆
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	23.8	7 ● ◆
		3.1	38








Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		29.9	37
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	24.1	70 ○
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	59.2	39
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	23.0	45
7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	3.4	33
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	40.6	7 ● ◆
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.7	42
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	9.1	5 ●
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	24.2	25
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.9	57 ○
7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	12.5	1 ● ◆
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	30.9	24
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	17.1	30
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	54.5	16
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	38.5	16
		13.3	28

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Denmark

10

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
10	8	High	EUR	5.8	370.3	63,405






		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	82.8	9		<b>Business sophistication</b>	54.3	15
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	90.7	2 ●◆	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	63.1	16
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	90.9	4 ●	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	48.7	10
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	90.4	5 ●	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	40.6	31
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	85.3	20	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.8	11
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	89.6	6 ●	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	59.6	14
1.2.2	Rule of law*	94.3	5 ●	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	24.6	20
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	18.8	80 ○	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	53.1	12
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	72.4	[14]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	65.4	15
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	72.4	13	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	60.3	26
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	25
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	17
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.7	8
				5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	46.6	23
				5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.8	50
				5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	6.6	99 ○
				5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	4.3	10
				5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.6	113 ○
				5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	58.2	17
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	59.4	10		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	51.9	12
2.1	<b>Education</b>	68.9	8 ●◆	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	63.3	9
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.8	8 ●	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.6	9
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.0	47	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.2	8
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	18.7	9	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	41 ○
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	501.1	17	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	65.6	2 ●◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.7	26	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	51.3	15
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	42.5	30	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	44.5	12
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	81.8	20	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	0.6	73 ○
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	23.0	50 ○	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	10.0	13
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	10.5	23	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.5	16
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	67.0	11	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.4	41
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	7,692.2	3 ●◆	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	48.3	15
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.0	10	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	47.9	20
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	70.4	14	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	2.1	12
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	58.5	15	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	67.4	26
				6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	5.8	34
				6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	3.4	36
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	64.3	5 ●◆		<b>Creative outputs</b>	46.2	14
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	93.8	3 ●◆	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	50.9	20
3.1.1	ICT access*	93.2	22	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	85.0	7
3.1.2	ICT use*	88.5	2 ●◆	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	31.4	73 ○◇
3.1.3	Government's online service*	97.1	3 ●◆	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	128.2	14
3.1.4	E-participation*	96.4	9	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.5	16
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	48.5	25	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	32.2	21
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,931.4	44	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.2	23
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	90.2	8	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	5.0	24
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	23.2	67 ○	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	72.9	4
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	50.7	10 ●	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.8	62 ○
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	19.7	8	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.6	33
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	77.9	1 ●◆	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	50.9	6 ●
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.8	31	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	49.8	14
				7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	100.0	1 ●◆
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	53.1	15	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	37.2	17
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	62.4	[5]	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.7	19
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a				
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	163.3	8				
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	34.7	22				
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	15				
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	14				
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	24				
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.2	46				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	87.9	51 ○				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	370.3	52				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Dominican Republic

# 90

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
92	90	Upper middle	LCN	11.0	220.7	20,944

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.9	80	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	23.5	83
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	56.7	75	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	25.6	[76]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	15.6	88 ◊
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	42.6	87	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	23.4	68 ◊
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	53.0	100	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	44.6	75	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	39.2	76	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	9.6	75 ◊
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	26.2	107 ◊	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	22.1	72
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	46.1	71	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	37.2	93
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	53.2	55 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	49.0	55 ●
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	39.1	40	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP ◊	0.0	126 ◊
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	76
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	17.5	108 ◊	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	22.9	99
<b>2.1 Education</b>	35.5	108 ◊	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	89
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.6	57	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.5	64
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	13.1	88	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	101
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.2	69 ◊	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.2	42 ●
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	334.1	78 ◊	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	18.6	90			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	17.0	98 ◊	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	13.0	93
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	61.2	48 ●	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	1.0	130 ◊
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	11.6	104 ◊	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	120
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.7	81	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	98
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	64
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	130 ◊
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	1.9	123 ◊
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	19.1	96
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ◊	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.4	28 ●
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.5	71 ◊
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	115 ◊
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	102
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	18.9	76
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113 ◊
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	37.3	67
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.9	50 ●
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.5	98
			 <b>Creative outputs</b>	12.4	88
			<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	10.3	99
			7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
			7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	41.5	58
			7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	2.9	73
			7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	120 ◊
			<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	27.6	[37]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.3	24 ●
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.6	91
			7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.5	74
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.3	78
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.5	78
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	92
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	27.2	84			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	14.7	101			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	19.5	71 ◊			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	30.5	92			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	39.8	100 ◊			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.9	81			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	220.7	64			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◊ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ◊ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Ecuador

98

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
98	96	Upper middle	LCN	17.9	204.7	11,529

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	39.4	121 ◊
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	48.3	101
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108 ◊
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	40.3	93
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	40.1	123 ◊
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	22.8	120 ◊
1.2.2 Rule of law*	31.9	94
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	31.8	123 ◊
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	29.9	111
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	32.8	110
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	27.0	53

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>	20.2	98 ◊
<b>2.1 Education</b>	38.6	100 ◊
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.1	72
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	6.7	106 ◊
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.6	58
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	20.8	96 ◊
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	18.4	94
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	47.9	66
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	16.2	89
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.8	93 ◊
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	3.7	77
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	399.5	74
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.4	66
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	5.0	68

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>	42.4	72
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	71.0	74
3.1.1 ICT access*	71.2	94 ◊
3.1.2 ICT use*	51.7	90
3.1.3 Government's online service*	81.2	40 ●
3.1.4 E-participation*	79.8	49 ●
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	28.2	72
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,767.0	88
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	38.6	61
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.4	42 ●
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	28.1	57
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.9	51 ●
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	46.5	52 ●
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	72

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>	23.3	103
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	22.3	80
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	25.9	65
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	47.6	73
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.7	18 ●
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	3.0	[96]
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	96 ◊
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	60
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	44.7	92
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	6.2	98 ◊
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	69.9	87
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	204.7	67

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>	23.2	85
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	28.3	72
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	12.3	100 ◊
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	73.7	2 ●◆
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.2	55
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	0.2	99 ◊
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	8.8	79
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	15.3	121 ◊
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	34.5	103
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	37.6	110 ◊
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	73
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	118 ◊
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	88
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	26.1	86
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	63
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.1	41 ●
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	95
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.1	97
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	11.3	102 ◊
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	6.8	93
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	103
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	69
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	47
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.4	76
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	8.9	80
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	21.1	87
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-1.3	107 ◊
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	64
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.3	43 ●
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	11.0	85
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	6.0	118 ◊
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	92
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	15.6	111 ◊
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	101 ◊
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	111

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>	10.4	96
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	16.3	88
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	64.3	37 ●
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ◊
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	97
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	7.6	[90]
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	97
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	52
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	111
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.5	94
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.0	77
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.0	85
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.5	77
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	88

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ◊ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◊ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
83	97	Lower middle	NAWA	104.3	1,381.1	13,422

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		49.0	99
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	60.0	97
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	38.0	101
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		37.6	125 ○
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	27.7	110
1.2.2	Rule of law*	36.7	84
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	36.8	126 ○ ◇
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		45.0	73
1.3.1	Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	60.4	37 ● ◆
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	29.6	51

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>2.1 Education</b>		38.1	104
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.5	118 ○
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	11.8	91
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	⊙ 13.6	76
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	15.8	78
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		11.6	107
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙ 38.9	76
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 11.2	105 ○ ◇
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙ 1.8	80
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		11.1	54
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	838.0	55
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.0	42 ● ◆
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	19.8	51 ◆

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		60.1	93
3.1.1	ICT access*	81.1	83
3.1.2	ICT use*	51.1	91
3.1.3	Government's online service*	57.1	93
3.1.4	E-participation*	51.2	99
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		21.0	103
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 1,935.3	82
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	35.8	66
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	16.0	116 ○ ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		25.4	70 ◆
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	13.4	35 ●
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	35.5	89
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	79

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		17.6	92
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	39.6	41
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	27.1	102
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.3	45
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		8.1	59
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	14.2	67
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	65
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	49
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	34 ●
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		52.9	77
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	⊙ 10.4	120 ○
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 95.1	25 ●
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,381.1	20 ●

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		13.8	112
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 26.8	54 ◆
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	7.9	96 ○ ◇
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	77
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 3.9	84
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 6.4	89
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		24.3	62
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	47.0	52
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	69.9	6 ● ◆
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	85
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	95
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	93
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		23.2	98
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.5	69
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	7.2	89
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.5	61
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.6	55
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 6.3	66








Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		12.9	64
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	70
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	80
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	19.4	48
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	18.0	47 ● ◆
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		25.7	72
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	3.5	11 ●
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	108
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.2	67
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	91
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 22.6	57
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		16.0	85
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	111 ○
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	38.2	65
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.6	86
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	1.9	65

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		23.3	72
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	51.9	50
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 18.7	94
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	7.0	64
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 1.4	56
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		9.1	86
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.5	71 ○
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	1.7	55
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	⊙ 0.7	69
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.4	37 ●
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		0.8	104
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.2	91
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	126 ○
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.3	93
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	80

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
95	101	Lower middle	LCN	6.5	62.3	9,551








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	45.3	107	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	23.1	87
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	53.7	85	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	27.1	73
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 12.4	98
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	42.0	91	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 53.8	16 ● ◆
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	53.0	99	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.1	70
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	44.5	76 ◆	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 31.5	57
1.2.2 Rule of law*	26.3	109	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 4.2	95
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	22.9	98	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	13.7	126 ○ ◇
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	29.0	[113]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	29.8	116
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	29.0	119 ○ ◇	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	34.8	116
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	76
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	121 ○
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	84
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	17.6	107	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	28.5	74
<b>2.1 Education</b>	32.1	115	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.0	40 ● ◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 3.4	98	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.1	39 ●
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	13.5	86	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	100
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙ 12.5	87	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.8	82
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙ 27.6	114 ○ ◇	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	10.3	108
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	20.2	91	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	1.1	128 ○
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	29.9	85	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	116
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 21.8	57	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.4	102 ○	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	62
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.6	103	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	129 ○
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 73.0	93	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	1.6	126 ○
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.2	92	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	4.9	[121]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.4	101
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	100
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	33.6	97	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.4	71
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	60.3	92	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	71.1	95	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	24.8	60
3.1.2 ICT use*	44.5	98	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	75
3.1.3 Government's online service*	57.6	92	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	43.8	54 ● ◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	67.9	75	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.8	51 ●
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	15.8	119	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.8	50 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 942.6	98	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	12.0	[90]
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	24.7	92	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	21.3	[75]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	16.3	113 ○ ◇	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	24.7	74	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	57.0	40 ●
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.6	53 ●	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	40.8	70 ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	109
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	90	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	4.0	[105]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	⊙ 0.0	108 ○
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	23.6	[99]	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	22.3	[78]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	62.1	55 ●	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.7	56 ●
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.4	95
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.2	[85]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.5	73 ◆
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.6	97
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	56	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.4	79
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	91
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	44.4	93			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.9	60 ◆			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	62.3	97			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Estonia

18

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
22	15	High	EUR	1.3	55.7	41,892








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	82.2	12	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	48.3	25
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	82.0	17	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	58.7	22
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	85.5	10	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	48.2	12
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	78.6	21	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	40.7	30
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	86.4	16	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.0	22
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	83.3	14	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	49.1	32
1.2.2 Rule of law*	81.9	19	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	27.4	10
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	12.9	40	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	37.7	29
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	78.0	8	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	50.0	47
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	57.9	44	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.7	61
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	98.1	3	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	18
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	19
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	29
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	42.7	34	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	48.5	18
<b>2.1 Education</b>	63.3	19	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	82
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.3	33	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.9	56
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.7	50	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	11.7	1
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.9	38	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	8.5	11
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	525.5	4	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	41.3	34
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.7	28			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	46.3	22	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	41.2	21
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	74.2	28	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	26.7	34
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	27.5	29	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	48
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	11.1	20	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	28
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	18.5	42	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	28
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	3,846.1	27	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	46.9	13
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.8	21	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	17.9	48
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	55.5	1
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	16.9	54	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.4	13
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	24.2	1
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	77
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	19.1	14
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	30.6	41
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	61.6	10	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	41.4	26
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	93.6	4	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	62
3.1.1 ICT access*	93.0	24	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	63.1	29
3.1.2 ICT use*	82.1	16	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	10.5	17
3.1.3 Government's online service*	99.4	2	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	5.0	18
3.1.4 E-participation*	100.0	1			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	41.9	35	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	38.2	24
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,233.1	49	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	39.6	37
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	58.6	34	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	39.9	62
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	31.3	21	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	93.5	16
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	49.2	14	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.9	83	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.6	26
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	61.4	14	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	40.0	9
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.4	6	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	2.2	9
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	10.8	2
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.8	16
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.1	41
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	68.8	3	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	33.4	21
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	47.4	23	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	11.1	39
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	55.5	7	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	46.3	17
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	64.8	54	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	40.2	14
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	4.4	6	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	36.1	6
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	96.2	2			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	7			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	1			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	1			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.7	39			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	96.6	22			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	55.7	101			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Ethiopia

117

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
100	126	Low	SSA	117.9	298.6	3,024





	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	44.0	110	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	16.8	122
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	43.5	120	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	5.3	129 ◊
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	49.1	123	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	4.5	121 ◊
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	37.8	103	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	20.8	76 ◊
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	53.2	98	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	86 ◊
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	21.0	124	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	1.5	93 ◊
1.2.2 Rule of law*	35.8	86	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	0.3	124 ◊
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	19.1	82	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	17.9	109
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	35.3	[94]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.6	81 ◊
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	35.3	104 ◊	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	37.7	109 ◊
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	45 ●
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	107
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ◊
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	8.8	131 ◊	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	27.1	80
<b>2.1 Education</b>	21.2	127	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	104
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.1	38 ●	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.4	37 ●
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	16.8	72 ◊	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.8	22 ●◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	8.4	115	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.0	44 ●
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	2.2	75
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	43.7	123 ◊	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	15.3	83 ◆
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	4.4	[122]	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	15.2	58 ●◆
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	10.4	111 ◊	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	126
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	19 ●◆
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.0	97	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.1	59 ◆
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	90.5	90 ◆	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	8.6	82 ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	82	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	21.6	84 ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	5.1	6 ●◆
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ◊	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.5	96 ◊
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	124 ◊
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	23.9	123	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	131 ◊
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	34.2	124	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	13.5	79 ◊
3.1.1 ICT access*	36.8	130 ◊	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	9.0	105
3.1.2 ICT use*	30.0	113 ◆	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	85
3.1.3 Government's online service*	36.5	116	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	20.1	103
3.1.4 E-participation*	33.3	118	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	95 ◆
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	23.5	86	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.1	86
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	134.9	122 ◊	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	4.8	[115]
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	1.5	[124]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	31.4	20 ●	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	14.0	130	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	117
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	5.2	119	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	3.0	72 ◆
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	31.8	100	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	132 ◊	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	16.2	[64]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	107
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	19.4	113	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.8	18 ●
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	77 ◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.1	130
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	0.5	111 ◊	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.0	131 ◊
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	132 ◊
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	94	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	120
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	95 ◊	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	106
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	98			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	38.3	103 ◆			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	12.1	127 ◊			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	86.8	55 ◆			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	298.6	57 ●◆			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Finland

9

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
9	6	High	EUR	5.5	293.6	53,084







	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	82.5	11	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	61.6	5
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	88.6	7	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	65.7	13
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	85.5	10	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	47.2	17
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	91.7	3 ●◆	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	50.2	20
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	95.7	3 ●	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	2.0	10
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	91.2	3 ●	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	54.3	22
1.2.2 Rule of law*	100.0	1 ●◆	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	26.4	15
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.1	30	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	66.4	3 ●◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	63.1	28	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	67.0	11
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	71.5	17	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	61.2	24
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	54.7	28	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.4	6 ◆
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	12
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.0	5 ●
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	60.6	8	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	52.7	9
<b>2.1 Education</b>	68.4	9 ◆	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.0	36
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.3	15	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.5	80 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	22.8	34	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	5.5	1 ●◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	19.1	7	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.4	118 ○
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	516.4	8	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	59.1	13
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	12.9	55 ○	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	59.6	4 ●◆
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	48.5	15	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	68.7	5 ●
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	93.0	8	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.7	7 ◆
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	27.9	26	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.5	1 ●◆
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	8.1	32	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	20
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	64.8	13	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	55.5	8
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	7,527.4	4 ●◆	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	42.6	19
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.9	11	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	40.3	22
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	74.3	11	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.2	84 ○
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	50.1	18	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	5.9	26
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.5	19
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	65.9	3 ●◆	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.5	28
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	92.7	5 ●	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	44.6	19
3.1.1 ICT access*	92.3	26	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	70.0	2 ●◆
3.1.2 ICT use*	86.2	7	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	3.2	8
3.1.3 Government's online service*	97.1	3 ●◆	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	76.3	13
3.1.4 E-participation*	95.2	14	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	4.6	38
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	61.2	8	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	13.1	5 ●◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	12,468.4	10	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	39.0	18
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	89.3	10	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	46.0	26
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.2	59 ○	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	71.2	18
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	43.7	25	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	43.1	54
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	7.9	94 ○	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	112.8	16
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	76.5	3 ●◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.4	28
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.8	18 ◆	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	27.0	40 ◆
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.6	49 ○
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	51.7	17	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	8.5	7
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	51.6	13	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	56.5	10
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	65.5	1 ●◆	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	58 ○
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	101.0	27	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.5	62 ○
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	36.8	18
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	38.5	18	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	29.7	21
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	40.2	18
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	21	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	48.2	11
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	10	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	28.9	9
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	19			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	65.1	28			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	98.3	12			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	293.6	58 ○			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## France

12

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
11	13	High	EUR	65.4	3,322.3	50,876








	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	77.0	18	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	53.2	17
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	76.5	29	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	68.4	8 ●
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	76.4	37	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	47.4	15
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	76.6	24	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	67.9	3 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	83.9	23	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.6	15
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	74.8	25	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	56.7	18
1.2.2 Rule of law*	80.5	22	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	24.8	19
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.0	41	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	41.8	25 ◇
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	70.7	16	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	49.8	48 ○ ◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	62.0	34	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	57.7	32
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	79.5	8	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	22
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	26 ◇
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.0	13
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	57.3	15	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	49.3	17
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.0	33	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.5	24
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.4	30	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.0	42
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	25.5	21	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	3.0	16
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.8	39	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.8	81 ○
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	493.7	25	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	62.9	9
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.4	60 ○			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	41.5	33	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	45.5	15
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	68.4	37	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	44.9	17
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	25.9	35	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.7	13
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	9.2	28	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	15
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	69.3	9 ●	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	45 ○
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	4,926.2	17	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	25.5	36
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.4	14	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	78.6	5 ● ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	84.7	7 ●	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	41.3	21
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	73.5	10 ●	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.1	85 ○
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	5.3	28
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.5	14
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.3	38
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	52.1	10
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	59.0	17	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	50.4	18
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	88.1	18	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.9	13
3.1.1 ICT access*	88.7	58 ○ ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	72.4	19
3.1.2 ICT use*	85.1	9 ●	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	11.2	15
3.1.3 Government's online service*	88.2	18	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.5	54 ○
3.1.4 E-participation*	90.5	18			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	52.4	21	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	52.5	6 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,803.7	21	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	76.9	3 ● ◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	83.3	16	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	91.6	3 ● ◆
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.7	47 ○	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	99.0	13 ● ◆
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	36.4	39	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	169.3	6 ●
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.3	46 ○	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.7	8 ● ◆
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	62.5	12	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	27.7	36 ◇
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	43	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.1	26
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	5.4	21
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	48.5	16
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	54 ○
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.6	34
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	58.0	10 ●	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	28.5	25
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	47.3	24	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	42.2	17
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	48.5	17	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	25.4	27
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	122.4	20	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	28.3	25
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.1	15
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	39.9	15			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	92.7	18			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	20			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	9 ●			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	14			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	86.7	8 ● ◆			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	95.0	26			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	3,322.3	9 ● ◆			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Georgia

74







Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
82	61	Upper middle	NAWA	4.0	61.6	16,590

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	70.7	30	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	27.6	64
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	67.0	46	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	33.5	60
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	67.3	71	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	24.7	58
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	66.7	39	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	32.0	49
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	80.9	29	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	72.6	28	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	1.7	90
1.2.2 Rule of law*	53.5	52	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	18.1	40
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.6	16	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	22.8	68
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	64.3	[25]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	45.6	57
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	64.3	28	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	50.2	49
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	56
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	48
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	67
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	30.0	70	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	26.5	83
<b>2.1 Education</b>	53.4	61	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.5	71
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	86	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	6.9	94
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.1	84
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.6	45	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	6.1	14
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	386.7	70	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	6.5	2	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.1	75
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	32.5	61	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	13.8	61
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	66.7	41	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	51
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	18.6	80	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	41
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	8.7	30	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	23
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	4.1	75	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.7	65
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,712.4	44	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	10.2	71
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	77	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	26.1	69
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.9	22
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	7.5	19
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	93
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.7	68
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	11.0	87
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	38.6	83	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	17.2	82
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	70.2	75	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	96
3.1.1 ICT access*	92.2	27	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	41.3	62
3.1.2 ICT use*	65.6	61	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.9	78
3.1.3 Government's online service*	58.8	88	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.5	77
3.1.4 E-participation*	64.3	80	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	13.4	86
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	22.8	90	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	17.8	83
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,008.1	63	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	18.1	109	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	47.1	51
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.6	50	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	9.4	58
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	22.9	80	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	40
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.5	64	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	14.1	68
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	39.1	74	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	72
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	97	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	3.6	33
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.4	28
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	94
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	30.8	72	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	3.8	68
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	32.5	43	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.8	81
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	5.6	52
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	79.9	42	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	5.4	56
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	2.4	13	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.3	67
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.8	[97]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	64			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	57.2	64			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.2	4			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	71.7	83			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	61.6	98			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Germany

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
7	12	High	EUR	83.9	4,843.4	58,150





	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	76.5	20	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	52.7	19
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	80.4	20	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	60.7	21
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	81.8	24	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	45.7	21
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	79.0	20	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	79.3	30	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	2.1	9
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	84.4	13	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	64.5	8
1.2.2 Rule of law*	86.5	15	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	14.9	51 ○ ◇
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	21.6	92 ○ ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	52.2	13
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	69.6	20	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	64.9	16
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	72.2	14	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	68.5	7 ●
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	67.1	15	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	15
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	27 ○ ◇
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.2	6 ●
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	64.1	2 ● ◆	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	45.4	25
<b>2.1 Education</b>	62.2	22	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.0	41
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.0	40 ○	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.4	35
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	23.7	28	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.7	23
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	17.0	18	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.9	48
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	500.4	18	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	60.2	12
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.8	48	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	54.8	9
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	54.7	7 ● ◆	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	68.3	7 ●
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	73.5	29	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.0	1 ● ◆
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	35.8	7 ◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.6	10
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	10.1	25	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	11
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	75.3	5 ●	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	27.7	33
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	5,393.1	14	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	87.4	3 ● ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.1	9	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	40.2	24
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	94.0	2 ● ◆	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.0	88 ○
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	72.2	11	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.4	73 ○ ◇
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.5	18
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	57.7	23	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.9	27
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	80.0	48 ○ ◇	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	56.8	7
3.1.1 ICT access*	91.6	32	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	55.9	10
3.1.2 ICT use*	79.7	23	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	2.2	11
3.1.3 Government's online service*	73.5	59 ○ ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	90.1	3 ● ◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	75.0	57 ○ ◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	11.7	14
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	54.5	17	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.2	59 ○
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	6,919.7	28	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	52.3	7 ●
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	100.0	1 ● ◆	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	67.8	7 ●
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.3	76 ○	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	79.6	10
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	38.6	32	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	70.4	27
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	14.3	28	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	148.2	9
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	62.4	13	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.0	7 ● ◆
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	39	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	28.4	34
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.1	30
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	53.7	14	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.1	29
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	40.0	31	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	51.7	12
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	48.3	18	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	53 ○
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	85.7	36	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.2	25
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	45.2	10
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	25.4	30	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	51.9	13
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	52.5	36 ○	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	84.0	6 ● ◆
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	24	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	36.8	19
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	22	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.0	47
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	23			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	95.8	2 ● ◆			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	97.0	19			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	4,843.4	1 ● ◆			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Ghana

95

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
88	105	Lower middle	SSA	31.7	193.6	6,190

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	46.9	100	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	23.1	88
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	55.9	79	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	22.6	[86]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	9.6	108
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	46.4	78	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	40.1	33 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	31.1	128 ○ ◇	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	44.9	73 ◆	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	45.1	61 ◆	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	2.9	101
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	49.8	128 ○ ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	25.7	52 ● ◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	53.5	[51]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	44.8	62
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	53.5	53 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	52.8	42 ●
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	79
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	18.5	101	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	20.9	106
<b>2.1 Education</b>	44.5	82	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	60
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	82 ○	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	2.8	127 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.9	59 ○	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.6	109
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	12.0	91	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	4.3	22 ●
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.5	68	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	11.3	103
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	10.7	108	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	5.8	100
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	18.7	99	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	119
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	15.2	96	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	96
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.0	88	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	75 ○
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.2	114	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.3	66
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	89.1	91 ○	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	8.5	83
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	16.0	105
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.8	39 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.9	84 ○
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	121 ○ ◇
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	34.3	96	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	115
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	62.3	91	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	11.0	86 ○
3.1.1 ICT access*	72.4	93	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	12.0	96
3.1.2 ICT use*	50.1	93	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.7	26 ● ◆
3.1.3 Government's online service*	63.5	80	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	21.6	98
3.1.4 E-participation*	63.1	82	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.0	126 ○
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	16.6	116	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	108
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	634.4	104	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	16.3	[77]
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	24.2	97	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	16.4	[87]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	18.6	103	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	24.1	77	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.8	120
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	15.0	25 ● ◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	27.7	124 ○	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.2	22 ●
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	89	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	31.8	[22]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	2.5	7 ● ◆
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	16.2	119	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	1.9	130 ○ ◇	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.6	20 ●
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	11.5	125 ○	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	118
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.1	54	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.4	113
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	6.2	68	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.6	100
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	13.5	69	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	124
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	55	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	101
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	45	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	113 ○
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	61			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	40.6	98			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	10.5	121			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	85.7	58 ○			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	193.6	70			








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Greece

44

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
49	44	High	EUR	10.4	339.7	31,821








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	55.2	69	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	30.7	55
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	66.0	49	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	39.6	48
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	72.7	46	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	31.7	46
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	59.3	44	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	21.6	75
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	70.5	47	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.7	34
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	58.7	44	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	40.2	43
1.2.2 Rule of law*	54.4	49	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	19.7	34
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.9	67	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	25.1	55
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	29.1	112	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	32.0	112
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	41.1	92	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	34.7	118
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	17.1	59	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	19
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	53
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	33
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	45.4	31	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	27.5	78
<b>2.1 Education</b>	59.9	38	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.4	72
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.6	93	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.1	91
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.6	41	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.4	71
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	20.0	3	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.0	74
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	453.5	43	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	27.6	45
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.6	16	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	28.3	46
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	56.6	6	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	22.8	42
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	148.5	1	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	42
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	27.3	30	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	43
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.5	63	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	70
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	19.7	40	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	42.8	18
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	4,010.4	26	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	33.8	29
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.5	27	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	37.7	31
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.1	92
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	22.4	45	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.8	64
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.6	7
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	50.4	46	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.3	10
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	78.4	51	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	18.1	66
3.1.1 ICT access*	89.3	52	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	24.3	62
3.1.2 ICT use*	75.0	42	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	58
3.1.3 Government's online service*	70.6	65	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	44.8	50
3.1.4 E-participation*	78.6	50	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	3.1	48
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	29.6	63	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.7	71
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,316.5	48	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	24.0	54
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	53.5	41	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	31.0	58
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	14.2	120	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	49.7	52
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	43.3	27	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	14.2	30	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	5.7	67
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	56.2	28	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.7	32
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.6	19	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	21.0	55
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.7	39
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	33.1	64	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.8	26
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	32.1	46	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	22.5	27
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	33.8	51	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.1	45
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	82.3	40	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.5	36
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	12.9	40
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	5.0	76	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	13.2	34
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	23.8	57	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	20.5	30
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	43	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	14.1	38
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	90	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.1	61
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	63			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.2	45			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	88.7	48			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	339.7	54			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Guatemala

110

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
96	117	Upper middle	LCN	18.2	163.1	8,895








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	39.2	122	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	22.8	89
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	45.6	110	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	20.4	94
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	9.3	109
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	34.9	108	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	55.7	13
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	46.1	116	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	89
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	40.6	85	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	11.1	74
1.2.2 Rule of law*	18.8	122	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	2.7	102
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.0	108	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	17.6	110
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	25.8	118	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.7	80
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	36.5	101	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	47.6	69
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	15.1	64	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	96
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	127
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	12.9	121	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	30.5	64
<b>2.1 Education</b>	34.1	111	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.4	26
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.3	101	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	11.0	26
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	5.3	107	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.9	41
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	10.6	101	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.3	92
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	3.5	73
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	12.2	50	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	13.7	91
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	4.6	121	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	1.6	125
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	22.1	94	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	121
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	9.8	107	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	94
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.2	107	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	60
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	117	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	127
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	14.4	108	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.6	110
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.0	113	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	15.6	107
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.1	59
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.6	92
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	118
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	25.9	119	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	95
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	47.2	109	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	63.7	110	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	23.9	63
3.1.2 ICT use*	24.1	122	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	60
3.1.3 Government's online service*	51.2	104	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	34.0	77
3.1.4 E-participation*	50.0	103	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.9	66
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	12.3	125	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	4.3	25
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	745.0	102	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	8.5	[99]
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	16.7	112	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	14.7	[89]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	15.3	118	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	18.3	103	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	40.9	61
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	9.9	70	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.0	121	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	117
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	104	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	3.0	[109]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	81
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	21.7	107	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	16.4	96	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	20.9	70	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	35.9	86	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	72
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.6	90
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.0	[105]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.8	58
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.6	98
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	73	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.2	84
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	105
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	46.6	88			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.6	51			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	163.1	73			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Guinea

132

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
128	131	Low	SSA	13.5	40.3	2,818

	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	47.8	95 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	19.4	[109]
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	44.3	117	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	9.7	[121]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	58.2	103	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙	7.4 113
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	30.4	120	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙	16.0 90
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	57.3	89 ●	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	24.1	119	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	13.3	128	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙	2.2 104 ◆
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.1	29 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	29.7	[39]
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	41.7	[84]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	⊙	46.9 54 ◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	⊙	41.7 90 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	⊙	42.2 96 ●
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	6.0	132 ○ ◇	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	18.7	117
<b>2.1 Education</b>	15.8	132 ○ ◇	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙	0.0 112
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.2	121 ○ ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	⊙	2.4 128 ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙	8.2 101 ◇	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙	1.3 76 ●
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙	9.0 111	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.5	87 ●
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙	33.1 121	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	2.1	131 ○ ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	2.2	125 ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	1.3	127 ◇
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	7.0	120	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	112
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙	0.9 89	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙	0.0 78 ○ ◇
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.2	119 ◇
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	1.7	125
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	2.0	[130]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.5	98
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	105
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	19.9	131 ○ ◇	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	127
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	30.1	127	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	51.2	121	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	3.1	127 ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	16.7	127	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.3 Government's online service*	21.8	129 ○ ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	0.5	119 ○ ◇
3.1.4 E-participation*	30.9	122	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	⊙	0.0 129 ○ ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	13.3	124	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙	1.2 81 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	4.2	[119]
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	7.0	120	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	6.8	[111]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	17.0	110	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.1	120	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.1	112
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	n/a	n/a	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	31.6	102	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	54 ●
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	122	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	3.2	[107]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	⊙	0.3 66 ●
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	6.9	131 ○ ◇	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	3.0	128	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	9.0	126 ◇	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙	0.0 126
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.3	46 ●	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.0	132 ○
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1	124
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	131 ○
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.0	128
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	10.8	129 ◇			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	12.2	129 ○ ◇			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	40.3	112			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Honduras

113

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
116	108	Lower middle	LCN	10.1	58.3	5,767

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		37.7	125
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	47.5	102
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	58.2	103
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		41.3	121
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	32.6	100
1.2.2	Rule of law*	21.2	118
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	30.3	120
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		24.2	[120]
1.3.1	Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	24.2	123
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>2.1 Education</b>		20.6	96
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	48.9	69
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	6.4	12
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	20.3	55
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	9.7	105
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.6	70
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		12.7	105
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	25.5	90
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	15.7	91
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.8	92
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		0.1	115
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	34.7	99
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.0	111
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		31.4	101
3.1.1	ICT access*	50.8	102
3.1.2	ICT use*	65.3	104
3.1.3	Government's online service*	42.4	100
3.1.4	E-participation*	46.5	110
3.1.4	E-participation*	48.8	105
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		22.2	94
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,080.0	94
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	25.6	88
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.6	48
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		21.1	89
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	8.9	82
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	36.5	86
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	73

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		23.0	104
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	25.4	[70]
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	69.8	49
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		3.7	90
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	57
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	69
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	78
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		39.8	99
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.3	76
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	58.3	100

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		24.9	78
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	25.5	77
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	12.3	101
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	47.7	22
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.4	75
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		16.0	118
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	4.8	93
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	32.1	111
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	42.4	93
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	91
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	81
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		0.0	101
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	33.2	55
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	1.0	43
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	7.7	79
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.0	38
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	3.6	30

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		10.1	110
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	129
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	131
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	92
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	2.7	120
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		14.3	[109]
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	1.6	127
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	3.1	74
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		14.9	89
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	28.2	88
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	0.1	115
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	1.9	68
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	1.9	68

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		4.0	120
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	7.6	106
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	32.1	70
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	77
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		0.3	[128]
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	116
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.0	99
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	116
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		0.6	108
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.6	102
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.3	102
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.2	98
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	97

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Hong Kong, China

# 14

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
25	5	High	SEAO	7.6	488.7	65,403

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>82.5</b>			<b>10</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		83.7	16
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		81.8	24
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		85.5	9
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		94.2	5
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		89.6	7
1.2.2 Rule of law*		87.3	14
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		8.0	1 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		69.7	[18]
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>		69.7	20
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>57.4</b>			<b>13</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>		64.3	15
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP		4.4	63 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap		24.1	25
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		17.3	17
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		530.7	3 ● ◆
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		10.9	38
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		49.4	12
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		84.4	17
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %		n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		16.2	12
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		58.4	18
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.		4,352.2	24
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		1.0	41 ◇
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		82.9	5

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>63.7</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		90.6	[10]
3.1.1 ICT access*		98.2	2 ● ◆
3.1.2 ICT use*		83.0	12
3.1.3 Government's online service*		n/a	n/a
3.1.4 E-participation*		n/a	n/a
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		44.2	30
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.		4,707.2	45
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		87.0	12
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		18.6	102 ○ ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		56.3	3 ● ◆
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		32.8	2 ● ◆
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.1	46

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>76.3</b>			<b>2 ● ◆</b>
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		100.0	[1]
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		258.4	1 ● ◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		67.5	7
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP		1,391.4	1 ● ◆
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.9	1 ● ◆
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	29
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	17
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		61.5	49
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		0.0	1 ● ◆
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification		73.6	81 ○ ◇
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		488.7	45

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>46.7</b>			<b>27</b> ◇
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		46.1	37 ◇
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %		41.4	28
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %		n/a	n/a
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		0.4	44 ◇
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %		49.2	31 ◇
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %		15.8	47 ◇
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		44.1	24
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>		62.9	18
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>		66.1	12
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP		0.0	54 ○ ◇
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	7
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.8	28 ◇
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		49.8	15
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade		0.3	79 ○ ◇
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade		61.9	1 ● ◆
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade		0.3	123 ○ ◇
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		25.6	6 ◆
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses		35.6	39 ◆

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>23.2</b>			<b>60</b> ◇
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		22.9	[41]
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		1.0	67 ○ ◇
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		1.0	21
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		38.4	24
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		43.1	15
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		1.7	42
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		19.2	2 ● ◆
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		0.3	28
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		5.2	55
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %		23.9	53 ◇
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		3.5	124 ○ ◇
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade		0.1	52 ◇
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		n/a	n/a
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade		0.1	117 ○ ◇
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade		0.5	100 ○







Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>53.2</b>			<b>5</b> ◆
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		54.7	14
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		n/a	n/a
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		66.9	32
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		264.3	1 ● ◆
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.5	41
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		47.4	2 ● ◆
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		0.1	82 ○ ◇
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		8.1	9
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		48.3	18
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing		n/a	n/a
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade		12.7	1 ● ◆
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		56.3	5 ◆
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		75.5	7
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		11.7	39 ◇
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		100.0	1 ● ◆
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		37.9	5 ◆

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Hungary

34

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
34	36	High	EUR	9.6	359.9	36,849

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	62.0	48	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	44.3	30
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	72.0	39	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	48.5	34
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	81.8	24	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	38.9	33
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	62.2	42	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	29.3	57
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	73.7	40	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.2	19
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	57.0	49	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	52.9	25
1.2.2 Rule of law*	59.4	44	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	18.3	38
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.4	48	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	29.0	42
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	40.3	86	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	45.6	58
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	43.0	86	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.9	57
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	37.5	43	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	20
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	71
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	34
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	41.3	37	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	55.3	7
<b>2.1 Education</b>	57.7	49	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.1	33
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.6	56	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	15.8	15
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.6	51	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.6	56
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.1	48	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.8	1
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	479.3	33	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	58.5	14
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.1	10	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	39.7	23
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	29.1	72	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	20.2	47
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	52.4	62	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	45
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	15.5	92	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	38
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	12.6	16	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	31
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	37.1	30	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	26.6	35
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	4,358.1	23	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	29.6	33
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.6	25	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	48.4	9
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	51.7	27	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.1	33
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	20.1	50	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	4.0	35
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	46
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	54.3	35	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.4	9
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	75.5	59	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	59.8	5
3.1.1 ICT access*	88.4	59	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	50.5	17
3.1.2 ICT use*	71.2	55	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.2	19
3.1.3 Government's online service*	74.7	55	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	79.9	10
3.1.4 E-participation*	67.9	75	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	14.9	10
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	40.6	38	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.3	57
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,581.5	58	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	25.9	46
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	63.7	30	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	26.8	65
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	28.2	31	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	52.3	49
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	46.6	20	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	28.8	78
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.3	57	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	9.1	59
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	55.1	31	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.3	45
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.9	11	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	30.8	26
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.6	48
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	32.4	67	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.8	39
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	28.0	63	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	13.8	29
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	43.1	31	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	68
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	38.3	84	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	8.2	7
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	19.1	33
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	5.5	70	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	11.0	40
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	18.7	63	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	35.3	19
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	46	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	25.3	28
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	64	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.0	55
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	67			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	63.8	34			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	93.2	33			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	359.9	53			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## India

40

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
39	42	Lower middle	CSA	1,393.4	10,181.2	7,314

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	60.1	54	<b>Business sophistication</b>	30.9	54
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	59.9	64	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	24.7	80
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	17.0	86
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	58.0	49	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	35.9	42
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	64.1	67	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.2	51
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	41.5	81	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	36.8	51
1.2.2 Rule of law*	45.6	60	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	26.5	103
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.8	63	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	28.7	43
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	56.3	40	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	50.7	42
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	40.6	94	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.4	94
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	72.1	12	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	33
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	44
<b>Human capital and research</b>	38.3	43	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	39.2	38
<b>2.1 Education</b>	41.1	93	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.4	27
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.5	60	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.7	31
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	17.2	70	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.3	32
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	11.9	92	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.9	77
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	34.0	40
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	20.4	95			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	33.3	60	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	33.8	34
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	29.4	86	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	20.3	46
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	33.7	11	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	28
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.1	109	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	52
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	40.6	26	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	252.7	82	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.1	78
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.7	53	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	41.9	21
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	68.5	16	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	30.4	56
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	46.0	24	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.5	12
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	114
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	58
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.8	67
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	34.8	37
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	50.7	15
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	46
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	52.4	43
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	4.4	39
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	14.0	1
			<b>Creative outputs</b>	24.3	52
			<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	38.0	40
			7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	75.1	14
			7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	42.6	56
			7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	75.0	29
			7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	66
			<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	17.2	61
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.9	12
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.5	42
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	1.0	56
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	86
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.9	30
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	4.1	66
			7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.9	95
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.7	96
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.8	66
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.8	38
<b>Infrastructure</b>	40.7	78			
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	71.6	72			
3.1.1 ICT access*	70.4	99			
3.1.2 ICT use*	45.0	97			
3.1.3 Government's online service*	85.3	24			
3.1.4 E-participation*	85.7	29			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	33.9	50			
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,167.4	93			
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	52.6	43			
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	29.7	25			
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.7	115			
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	9.9	73			
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	18.9	130			
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	70			
<b>Market sophistication</b>	50.3	19			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	26.4	68			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	54.2	8			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	54.8	64			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.4	42			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	38.8	17			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	87.2	19			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	35			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	23			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	6			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	85.9	9			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	6.2	97			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	97.7	14			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	10,181.2	1			



NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Indonesia

75

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
74	72	Lower middle	SEAO	276.4	3,530.3	12,967

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	55.1	71	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	22.1	92
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	61.6	58	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	9.7	123
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	10.9	104
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	57.7	51	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	7.7	98
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	21.8	131	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	82
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	46.9	69	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	8.0	79
1.2.2 Rule of law*	37.3	80	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	6.6	88
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	57.8	130	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	27.4	46
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	82.1	5	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	66.4	13
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	65.2	26	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	68.4	9
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	98.9	2	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	94
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	113
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	98
<b>Human capital and research</b>	22.4	90	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	29.1	68
<b>2.1 Education</b>	35.0	110	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.9	49
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.8	112	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.6	32
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	10.5	96	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.0	39
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.6	75	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.0	76
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	381.9	72	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	7.5	63
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	15.2	75	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.0	78
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	18.7	93	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	6.9	92
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	36.3	78	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	80
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.4	73	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	100
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.1	110	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	30
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	13.5	49	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	128
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	395.7	75	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	14.1	57
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	80	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	27.7	66
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.5	48
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	35.9	34	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.3	105
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.4	24
<b>Infrastructure</b>	43.4	68	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	81
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	72.5	66	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	29.9	43
3.1.1 ICT access*	89.2	54	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	22.3	70
3.1.2 ICT use*	57.8	79	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	77
3.1.3 Government's online service*	68.2	72	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	42.0	60
3.1.4 E-participation*	75.0	57	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	3.8	46
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	34.3	48	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.8	92
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,052.9	96	<b>Creative outputs</b>	18.6	72
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	51.2	44	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	30.4	59
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	31.5	18	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	75.3	13
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	23.2	79	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	24.4	87
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	13.4	34	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	36.1	40
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.2	119	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	78
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	75	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	11.3	79
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	96
<b>Market sophistication</b>	41.7	36	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.7	64
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	23.5	76	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	3.3	50
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	57.3	4	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	50
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	38.7	82	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.3	22
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.0	58	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.3	81
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	13.9	42	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.5	89
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	46.8	39	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.8	93
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	69	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.0	72
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	56	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.1	60
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	26			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	87.7	7			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.0	63			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	96.8	20			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	3,530.3	7			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Iran (Islamic Republic of)

53

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
38	73	Lower middle	CSA	85.0	1,189.2	13,993

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	31.1	131	<b>Business sophistication</b>	18.7	115
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	36.9	125	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	19.2	[97]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	45.5	126	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	19.7	78
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	28.4	123	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	43.1	120	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.2	53
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	8.5	131	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	23.6	113	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	7.6	83
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	23.1	99	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	18.1	107
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	13.3	129	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	27.7	121
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	22.0	124	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	44.4	81
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	4.6	70	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	129
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	86
<b>Human capital and research</b>	35.0	54	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	18.7	119
<b>2.1 Education</b>	44.1	84	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	95
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.6	94	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	5.1	117
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	17.2	69	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.5	113
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.6	59	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.7	109
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	19.2	54
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	19.0	91	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	26.7	50
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	46.4	21	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	42.5	20
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	58.2	53	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.2	10
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	39.0	2	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	40
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.8	94	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	14.4	47	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	44.4	15
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,659.5	47	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	22.1	39
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.9	45	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	27.8	65
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.8	67
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	25.8	43	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.6	90
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	30
<b>Infrastructure</b>	41.1	75	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	94
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	65.4	86	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	38.4	29
3.1.1 ICT access*	88.0	63	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	9.8	103
3.1.2 ICT use*	68.2	58	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	90
3.1.3 Government's online service*	58.8	88	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	33.4	78
3.1.4 E-participation*	46.4	107	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	111
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	43.3	31	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.2	127
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,869.7	55	<b>Creative outputs</b>	31.8	33
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	37.2	63	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	60.2	10
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	46.0	2	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	14.7	125	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	469.9	1
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	4.2	125	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.6	76
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	34.5	93	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.3	6
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	84	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	4.0	104
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	74
<b>Market sophistication</b>	56.8	11	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.7	50
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	27.1	65	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	3.0	52
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	30.3	58	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.3	93
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	66.1	52	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	97
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.6	78
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	96.5	[1]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.8	80
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	257.2	3	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	7.1	46
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	102
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	81
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	46.9	87			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	12.1	126			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	92.4	38			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,189.1	22			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Ireland

23

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
19	25	High	EUR	5.0	561.5	111,360

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>79.2</b>			<b>16</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		81.7	19
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		81.8	24
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		81.6	16
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		85.4	19
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		81.7	16
1.2.2 Rule of law*		85.0	17
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		14.3	55
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		70.6	17
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>		74.6	10
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		66.5	16

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>48.9</b>			<b>23</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>		48.7	70
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙	3.4	97
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap		11.7	94
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		18.9	8
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		504.6	10
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		n/a	n/a
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		45.0	25
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		75.2	27
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %		26.4	32
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		10.7	22
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		53.0	21
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.		4,769.1	20
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		1.2	33
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		72.5	12
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		48.0	21

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>60.1</b>			<b>15</b>
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		81.8	41
3.1.1 ICT access*		88.1	62
3.1.2 ICT use*		76.3	33
3.1.3 Government's online service*		77.1	47
3.1.4 E-participation*		85.7	29
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		42.0	34
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.		6,427.7	31
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		67.9	28
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		20.8	87
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		56.6	2
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		32.9	1
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		57.4	24
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.0	48

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>35.8</b>			<b>55</b>
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		28.1	62
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		45.6	24
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		32.4	90
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		22.8	32
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	⊙	37.5	42
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	18
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	16
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	35
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		56.6	67
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		1.5	20
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙	66.8	92
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		561.5	40








Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>55.1</b>			<b>13</b>
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		67.8	11
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %		47.3	16
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %		59.8	9
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		0.9	26
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %		62.8	11
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %		29.0	4
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		45.5	22
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>		69.2	6
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>		58.8	31
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP		0.2	24
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	21
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.1	17
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		52.1	11
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade		20.2	1
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade		6.3	101
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade		1.3	74
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		4.5	21
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses		55.0	19

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>47.0</b>			<b>14</b>
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		23.1	40
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.2	33
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		1.5	21
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	46
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		20.5	46
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		34.9	28
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		44.4	13
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		-0.3	98
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		6.8	22
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		0.6	4
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		5.4	53
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙	58.5	6
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		73.4	1
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade		2.9	9
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		72.9	17
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade		9.0	20
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade		32.1	1

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>35.5</b>			<b>29</b>
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		41.2	34
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		87.2	5
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		44.8	36
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		1.8	50
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		23.0	53
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	⊙	0.4	56
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		7.4	16
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		49.2	15
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing		0.3	92
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade		1.4	38
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		36.8	17
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		58.6	12
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		27.5	25
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		44.0	13
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		17.1	18








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
16	22	High	NAWA	8.8	421.8	44,966

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	65.0	41	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	60.8	6
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	72.1	38	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	67.9	9
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	48.4	11
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	73.3	27	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	18.6	82
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	67.7	58	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	4.9	1
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	75.9	22	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	38.1	46
1.2.2 Rule of law*	71.9	29	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	30.3	1
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.4	115	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	78.4	1
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	55.1	47	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	77.6	2
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	58.4	43	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	56.1	34
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	51.8	32	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	2.6	1
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	3
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.2	7
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	48.1	24	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	36.0	47
<b>2.1 Education</b>	57.8	47	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.8	53
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.1	18	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.7	30
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	19.9	57	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.1	35
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.1	35	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	5.4	18
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	465.2	39	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.2	65	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	55.8	7
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	26.0	78	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	59.9	12
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	60.3	50	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.3	20
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	18.8	78	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.0	1
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.8	72	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	60.5	16	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	42.1	20
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	46.5	16
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	5.4	1	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	40.2	23
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	62.9	21	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.4	26
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	38.3	32	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.1	44
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	60
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	21.7	12
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	34.3	38
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	52.2	42	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	67.3	3
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	78.9	49	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.0	22
3.1.1 ICT access*	89.4	51	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	71.2	20
3.1.2 ICT use*	80.1	21	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	12.5	13
3.1.3 Government's online service*	74.7	55	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	16.5	1
3.1.4 E-participation*	71.4	66	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	30.6	36
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	42.1	33	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	27.3	64
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,900.2	20	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	69.5	24
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	58.6	34	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.3	104
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.0	79	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	18.8	46
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	35.6	40	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	48
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	16.0	18	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	34.7	18
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	48.2	46	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	3.1	5
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	44	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	5.1	23
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	36.0	21
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.1	42
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.7	32
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	60.2	7	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	33.2	22
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	38.1	34	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	21.8	26
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	51.2	11	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	14.2	34
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	68.6	50	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	48.9	10
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	47.8	3
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	80.4	4			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	58.1	33			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	1			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	1			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	1			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.2	44			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.8	59			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	89.4	46			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	421.8	49			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
15	31	High	EUR	60.4	2,697.1	45,267








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	59.0	58	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	39.3	33
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	63.7	52	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	39.5	49
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	35.8	42
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	58.3	48	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	12.6	94
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	77.5	33	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.9	23
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	57.5	48	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	55.9	19
1.2.2 Rule of law*	52.3	53	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	13.8	54
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	39.9	27
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	35.7	93	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	58.2	27
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	46.3	77	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	70.2	4
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	25.0	55	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	29
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	49
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	20
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	46.8	28	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	38.5	42
<b>2.1 Education</b>	59.8	39	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.8	54
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.3	67	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.6	60
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	26.6	16	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.4	29
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.2	31	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.8	106
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	477.0	34	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	48.5	27
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.0	30			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	31.7	64	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	45.2	16
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	66.1	42	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	41.9	21
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	22.7	53	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.0	16
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.8	70	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	24
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	49.1	22	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	24
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,671.8	33	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	33.9	27
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.5	26	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	68.7	8
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	68.9	15	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	52.8	3
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	49.1	20	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.1	93
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.0	46
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.6	8
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	38.6	1
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	39.5	27
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	41.0	27
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.8	24
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	73.7	15
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	6.8	27
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.6	74
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	57.4	26	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	41.3	16
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	81.7	42	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	62.2	9
3.1.1 ICT access*	88.1	60	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	79.7	9
3.1.2 ICT use*	73.4	46	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	51.4	46
3.1.3 Government's online service*	82.9	36	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	91.3	23
3.1.4 E-participation*	82.1	41	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.3	5
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	41.9	36	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	25.3	46
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,656.1	46	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.5	50
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	78.6	19	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	7.4	15
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.5	96	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	28.7	23
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	48.7	15	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.1	44
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	15.4	22	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.1	27
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	57.7	23	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	15.5	35
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.3	13	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	23.2	25
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	23.6	29
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	11.8	40
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.5	64
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	41.9	35			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	35.7	37			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	40.7	39			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	83.5	38			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	6.4	66			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	26.3	51			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	58			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	62			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	62			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	83.5	10			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	99.6	4			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	2,697.1	12			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Jamaica

76

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
60	88	Upper middle	LCN	3.0	29.6	10,815

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	59.5	56		<b>Business sophistication</b>	26.9	67
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	66.6	48	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	22.5	[87]
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	74.5	42 ●	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	21.6	71
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	58.6	47	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	65.8	64	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	47.2	68	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*	39.9	74	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	4.1	96 ◇
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.0	53	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	28.5	44 ● ◆
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	46.1	72	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	44.8	61
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	54.8	49	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	46.5	73
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	37.3	44	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	24 ● ◆
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
				5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	29.9	65
				5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.9	48
				5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	5.9	108
				5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.8	48
				5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.7	28 ●
				5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	26.0	[84]		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	14.1	89
2.1	<b>Education</b>	62.0	[24]	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	5.8	[101]
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.0	22 ●	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	82
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	28.5	13 ● ◆	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.7	102
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.9	74	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	4.3	103
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	15.9	[99]	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	20.4	92
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	27.1	88 ◇	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-1.6	110 ○ ◇
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.0	61
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.4	23 ● ◆
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	99
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	16.0	86
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	59
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	35.9	72
				6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	109 ◇
				6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	2.8	49
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	32.6	99 ◇		<b>Creative outputs</b>	30.9	34 ● ◆
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	52.0	100 ◇	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	60.2	11 ● ◆
3.1.1	ICT access*	80.4	85	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	57.9	44
3.1.2	ICT use*	51.8	89	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	111.2	9 ● ◆
3.1.3	Government's online service*	38.8	115 ○ ◇	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	96.8	21 ● ◆
3.1.4	E-participation*	36.9	114 ○ ◇	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.7	14 ● ◆
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	19.9	110	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	2.0	[115]
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,501.7	91	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	90
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	21.9	104 ◇	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.5	70 ○
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.8	70	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	26.0	63	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	8.7	84	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	76
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	45.6	56	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	1.2	97
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	56	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.9	79
				7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.0	86
				7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.0	86
				7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	101 ○
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	19.9	110 ◇				
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	25.0	72				
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	29.9	60				
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	56.3	61				
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	11.7	50				
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	87.0	20 ●				
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	59				
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	46				
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇				
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	23.0	122 ○ ◇				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	8.4	107 ◇				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	29.6	124 ○				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Japan

13

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
12	11	High	SEAO	126.1	5,633.5	44,935

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>75.8</b>			<b>21</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		86.6	11
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		89.1	7
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		84.1	13
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		91.1	9
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		78.7	19
1.2.2 Rule of law*		85.6	16
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		8.0	1 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		49.8	60 ◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†		65.1	27
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		34.6	46 ○ ◇

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>52.7</b>			<b>21</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>		56.5	54 ◇
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙	3.1	107 ○ ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap		23.6	30
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		n/a	n/a
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		520.0	5
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		10.8	36
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		24.2	83 ○ ◇
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		n/a	n/a
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙	19.7	68 ○
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		5.2	47
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		77.4	4 ●
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.		5,454.7	13
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		3.3	6
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		90.1	5 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		80.7	8

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>61.3</b>			<b>12</b>
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		90.2	14
3.1.1 ICT access*		92.1	29
3.1.2 ICT use*		79.2	24
3.1.3 Government's online service*		90.6	12
3.1.4 E-participation*		98.8	4 ●
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		55.9	14
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.		8,140.8	17
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		92.1	4 ●
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		25.5	51
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		37.8	35
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		12.9	40
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		57.2	25
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		3.4	28

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>59.0</b>			<b>9</b>
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		59.6	7
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		45.4	26
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		192.1	3 ● ◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		24.2	31
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP		119.4	10
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	27
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	25
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	53 ○ ◇
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		93.2	4 ● ◆
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		2.2	64
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙	94.1	28
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		5,633.5	1 ● ◆

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>58.1</b>			<b>8</b>
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		65.9	12
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙	25.9	56 ◇
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %		n/a	n/a
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		2.6	4 ●
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %		78.3	2 ● ◆
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙	22.9	24
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		47.7	20
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†		59.1	25
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†		64.4	17
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP		0.0	66 ○ ◇
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	41 ◇
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		13.6	1 ● ◆
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		60.7	5 ● ◆
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade		2.9	8
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade		15.0	16
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade		2.6	24
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		0.8	104 ○
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses		74.7	3 ● ◆



Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>52.6</b>			<b>11</b>
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		62.1	10
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		42.8	1 ● ◆
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		8.9	1 ● ◆
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.8	27
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		17.6	54 ◇
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		67.7	9
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		30.5	55 ◇
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		-0.8	102 ○ ◇
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		0.4	99 ○ ◇
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		0.2	52
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		6.2	45
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙	55.2	9
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		65.2	5 ●
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade		5.2	3 ● ◆
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		100.0	1 ● ◆
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade		13.1	11
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade		1.2	80 ○

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>38.9</b>			<b>19</b>
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		53.8	15
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		70.8	21
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		64.6	36
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		154.6	8
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		4.2	29
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		36.7	13
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		0.4	59 ○
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		8.2	8
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		73.9	3 ●
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	⊙	1.6	21
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade		1.8	31
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		11.4	41 ◇
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		16.1	31 ◇
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		5.8	50 ◇
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		13.7	39 ◇
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		9.7	42

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
78	71	Upper middle	NAWA	10.3	111.7	10,821

	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	63.5	45	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	25.2	75
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	58.8	67	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	23.7	[83]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	21.0	74
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	52.2	63	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	16.9	88 ○
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	75.5	35 ● ◆	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	50.6	62	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	51.6	55	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	7.8	82 ◇
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ● ◆	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	32.2	35 ● ◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	56.1	41	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	52.8	37 ● ◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	56.7	46	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	61.2	23 ● ◆
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	55.6	27 ○	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	38 ◆
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	69
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	28.3	76	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	19.9	111 ◇
<b>2.1 Education</b>	37.6	106 ◇	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	96
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.0	109 ○	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.3	67
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	16.0	77	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.3	125 ○ ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	10.6	100 ○ ◇	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.8	80
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	416.0	58	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.3	59	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.0	76
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	39.0	39	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	16.8	55
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	33.6	81	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	84
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	28.4	25 ●	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	50
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	12.2	18 ● ◆	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	8.4	62	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	35.4	26 ● ◆
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	596.0	63 ○	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	10.2	71
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.7	51 ○	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	24.0	75
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.7	70
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	15.5	57	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.4	100
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	48
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	31.9	100 ◇	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.4	52
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	48.8	104 ◇	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	22.4	58 ○
3.1.1 ICT access*	68.8	101 ◇	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	16.3	84
3.1.2 ICT use*	57.2	81	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	65
3.1.3 Government's online service*	35.9	118 ○ ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	40.8	63
3.1.4 E-participation*	33.3	118 ○ ◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.3	70
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	20.7	105	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.1	130 ○
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,028.7	80 ○	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	16.0	78
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	29.8	81	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	22.4	73
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	18.5	104	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	44.8	58
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	26.2	62	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	26.1	84
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.1	68	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	7.9	61
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	43.6	60	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	77
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	60	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	14.4	67
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	111 ○
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	36.9	52	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	58
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	28.7	59	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	1.0	57 ○ ◇
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	43.6	30 ○	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.3	10 ● ◆
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	83.1	39	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.6	59
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.8	31	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	5.0	63
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	25.4	28 ● ◆	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	4.8	54
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	47.3	38	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.2	108
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	30	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	4.4	64
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	30 ● ◆	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.8	39
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	15 ● ◆			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	56.6	65			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.0	83			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	93.3	31 ●			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	111.7	85			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Kazakhstan

83

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
97	65	Upper middle	CSA	19.0	536.3	28,043







		Score/Value	Rank			Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>		60.5	52	<b>Business sophistication</b>		26.9	68
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	61.1	61	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	41.2	45
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	36.9	39
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	53.1	59	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	21.8	73
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	70.4	49	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	72
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	48.4	66	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	47.4	34
1.2.2	Rule of law*	35.8	85	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	20.7	31
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.7	18	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	14.3	123
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	50.1	57	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	29.6	117
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	41.0	93	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	33.9	120
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	59.2	23	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	88
<b>Human capital and research</b>		32.7	60	5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	97
2.1	<b>Education</b>	48.2	73	5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	51
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.9	111	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	25.2	89
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.2	46	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	81
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	15.8	41	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	10.1	40
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	402.4	64	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	0.9	88
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.3	12	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.1	70
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	36.7	42	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	70.7	33	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		17.8	81
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	24.1	43	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	12.3	66
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.5	45	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	39
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	13.1	51	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	73
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	629.9	62	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	14
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	101	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.9	117
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	5.3	93
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	34.7	36	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	20.3	93
<b>Infrastructure</b>		46.2	58	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	2.2	31
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	85.7	25	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.5	39
3.1.1	ICT access*	90.2	43	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.0	119
3.1.2	ICT use*	72.2	51	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	109
3.1.3	Government's online service*	92.3	11	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	15.3	75
3.1.4	E-participation*	88.1	26	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	20.8	71
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	33.8	52	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	99
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,774.2	33	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	34.1	76
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	35.3	67	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	5.2	36
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.6	41	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	115
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	19.2	98	<b>Creative outputs</b>		4.3	118
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	6.4	109	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	5.4	115
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	40.9	68	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	88	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	20.9	92
<b>Market sophistication</b>		25.6	90	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	3.7	70
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	18.0	91	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	107
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	37.3	44	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	3.9	106
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	25.6	107	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	89
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.6	35	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.3	73
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	2.3	102	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	23.9	56	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	80
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	93	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	79
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	102	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	2.3	82
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	99	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.3	113
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	56.6	66	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	3.7	60
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.0	61	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.2	82
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	70.6	86	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.0	65
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	536.3	41				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Kenya








88

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
79	103	Lower middle	SSA	55.0	269.3	5,407

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.8	82	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	24.7	80
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	49.2	98	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	22.3	[89]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	13.8	93
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	42.1	89	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	37.4	40
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	58.7	84	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	33.9	99	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	31.6	96	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.7	109
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.8	63	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	25.4	53
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	47.6	[67]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	45.3	60
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	47.6	72	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	49.2	53
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	65
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	96
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	14.0	[119]	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	26.3	84
<b>2.1 Education</b>	38.3	[103]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.0	37
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.8	48	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.3	50
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.4	121
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.3	93
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	30.7	119	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.2	74
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	3.7	123	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	12.7	65
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	10.0	113	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	54
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	83
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.3	86	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	25
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.3	77
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	15.7	52
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	21.6	83
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.8	24
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.5	68
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	76
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	85
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	11.4	83
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	30.3	107	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	23.2	66
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	58.8	94	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.5	28
3.1.1 ICT access*	75.3	91	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	34.5	75
3.1.2 ICT use*	32.6	109	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.6	85
3.1.3 Government's online service*	67.7	75	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	4.1	26
3.1.4 E-participation*	59.5	87	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	15.6	79
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	16.1	117	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	17.7	84
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	204.1	118	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	18.2	71
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	35.3	67	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	21.3	91
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	13.0	124	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	16.7	49
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.1	121	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	71
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	6.9	102	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	25.8	44
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	30.8	103	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	102
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	100	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	2.2	53
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	3.7	3
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	92
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	19.7	111	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.3	96
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	8.3	117	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.9	97
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.8	92
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	32.0	91	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.8	75
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.4	40	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	79
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	13.9	43			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	23.1	58			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	39			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	24			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	43			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	36.9	106			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	9.3	115			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	65.1	93			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	269.3	61			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
66	66	High	NAWA	4.3	211.3	44,609








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.0	86	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	20.7	[101]
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	54.1	84	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	17.2	[108]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	22.7	66
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	46.3	80	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	56.8	90	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	52.1	58	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	1.0	94
1.2.2 Rule of law*	54.7	48	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	28.1	117	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.6	74
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	42.2	81	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	40.9	77
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	51.7	58	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	54.4	39
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	32.7	50	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	97
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	44
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	72
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	34.9	55	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	23.3	[97]
<b>2.1 Education</b>	60.8	35	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	n/a	n/a
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.6	11	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.3	88
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	17.3	68	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.3	122
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.7	57	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-0.1	121
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	7.6	5	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	20.1	68
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	39.5	[37]	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	5.4	105
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	61.1	49	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	118
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	84
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	4.5	73	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.8	96
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	513.9	67	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	8.8	81
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	91	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	21.3	85
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-2.4	113
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	8.9	64	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.3	41
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.4	26
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	54.0	36	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.0	75
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	86.5	21	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	20.9	63
3.1.1 ICT access*	96.0	8	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	33.6	46
3.1.2 ICT use*	75.5	38	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.3 Government's online service*	84.1	31	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	23.6	94
3.1.4 E-participation*	90.5	18	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	97
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	55.4	15	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	9.9	6
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	17,833.7	4	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	20.4	60
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	37.7	62	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	37.1	44
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	28.4	29	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	46.1	56
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	20.0	92	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.4	97
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	4.9	123	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	93.3	22
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	42.4	63	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	62	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	4.8	[102]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	30.7	73	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	36.6	35	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	6.8	40
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	39.5	42	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.4	91
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	90.9	33	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	100
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.6	79
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	11.4	51	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	7.7	44
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	93.4	17	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.3	104
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	42	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.2	100
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	89	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	76
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	69			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	44.0	94			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.0	73			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	47.0	102			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	211.3	66			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Kyrgyzstan

94








Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
108	85	Lower middle	CSA	6.6	34.5	5,187

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	43.2	113	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	19.7	107
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	42.7	123	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	24.9	79
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	47.3	125 ○ ◇	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	19.7	77
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	38.1	100	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	41.4	29 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	55.0	94	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	78
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	34.8	95	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	6.9	80
1.2.2 Rule of law*	22.0	116	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	11.7	64
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.3	71	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	13.7	125 ○ ◇
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	32.1	[104]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	24.4	124 ○ ◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	32.1	116	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	40.6	101
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	81
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	109
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	31.5	63 ● ◆	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	20.5	108
<b>2.1 Education</b>	59.7	[41]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	98
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.4	31 ●	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.1	70
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.6	107
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.2	80	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.4	117
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	12.2	51 ● ◆	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	13.0	92
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	34.5	50 ● ◆	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	8.9	79
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	46.5	68 ◆	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	34 ●
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.2	77	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	15.5	13 ● ◆	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	36 ●
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.3	110	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.7	98
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.2	116
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	106	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	15.1	108
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.7	44 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.3	77
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	88
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	37.1	86	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	121
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	69.5	77 ◆	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	2.1	109 ○ ◇
3.1.1 ICT access*	84.3	72 ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	15.1	88
3.1.2 ICT use*	57.4	80 ◆	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	79
3.1.3 Government's online service*	64.7	79	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	40.7	64
3.1.4 E-participation*	71.4	66 ◆	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.8	79
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	24.0	82	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	102
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,340.6	75	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	3.8	121 ○ ◇
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	23.3	100	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	4.0	117
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.4	43 ●	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	17.8	106	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.2	100
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	7.4	100	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	35.7	88	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	84
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	131 ○	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	6.2	[94]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.4	61
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	37.2	51 ●	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	32.2	45 ●	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.4	87
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	28.5	96	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	88
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	3.8	8 ● ◆	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.0	100
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.2	117
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.8	95
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.0	71
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	96
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	42.1	96			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.3	65 ● ◆			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	40.9	105 ○ ◇			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	34.5	120			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
42	39	High	EUR	1.9	65.1	34,169

	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	68.7	35	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	37.5	36
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	75.3	34	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	51.4	26
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	81.8	24	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	44.5	23
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	68.7	37	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	52.9	18
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	81.4	27	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.2	52
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	74.6	26	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	24.3	63
1.2.2 Rule of law*	70.9	31	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	27.1	12
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.0	41	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	31.9	36
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	49.3	61	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	46.9	53
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	51.4	60	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.4	62
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	47.1	34	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	14
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	47
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	35
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	36.6	48	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	29.4	66
<b>2.1 Education</b>	59.3	42	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	88
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.2	70	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	12.5	20
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	22.2	37	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.6	55
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.2	33	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.4	62
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	487.4	28	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	20.8	52
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.7	17	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	29.4	44
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	41.3	34	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	16.8	56
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	94.9	6	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	35
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.3	74	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	33
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	10.4	24	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	9.3	61	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	21.6	41
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,158.8	40	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	9.2	79
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.7	50	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	33.0	46
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.9	21
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	9.2	63	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	6.9	21
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	85
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	48.1	52	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.5	20
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	72.1	69	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	15.0	76
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.1	44	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	38.5	32
3.1.2 ICT use*	81.6	19	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	69
3.1.3 Government's online service*	58.2	90	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	57.3	37
3.1.4 E-participation*	58.3	88	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	9.2	19
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	29.3	65	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	4.8	20
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,010.5	62	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	27.2	42
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	35.3	67	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	18.5	82
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.8	39	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-18.7	75
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	42.9	28	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	40.6	63
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.4	44	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	61.1	15	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.6	27
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	20	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	52.7	1
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	32.8	65	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	2.1	10
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	28.2	61	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	10.6	3
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	45.0	27	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	34.4	88	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.6	6
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	3.9	14
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	10.7	53	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	19.2	32
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	9.9	41
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	38	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	29.2	23
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	35	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	21.7	30
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	50	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.0	21
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	59.5	54			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	86.9	54			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	65.1	94			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.












## Luxembourg

19

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
18	20	High	EUR	0.6	80.8	126,569

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	84.6	5 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	61.7	4 ●
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	90.1	5 ●	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	68.9	7
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	90.9	4	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	63.6	1 ● ◆
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	89.4	7	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	66.1	5
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	82.4	26	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.6	36 ◇
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	90.9	4 ●	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	51.3	27
1.2.2 Rule of law*	92.6	10	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	26.2	16
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	21.7	94 ○ ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	50.1	15
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	81.4	6 ◆	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	62.1	20
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	89.7	2 ● ◆	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	61.9	22
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	73.1	11	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	50
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	11
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.3	10
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	44.8	32 ◇	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	66.0	2 ● ◆
<b>2.1 Education</b>	53.9	60 ◇	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	4.0	5 ● ◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.6	91 ○ ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	1.6	131 ○ ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.8	48	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	4.7	6 ◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.4	66 ◇	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	27.4	4 ● ◆
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	476.7	35 ◇	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	40.5	35 ◇
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.6	15 ◆	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	34.0	33 ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	47.6	17	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	44.6	19
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	18.4	100 ○ ◇	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.1	14
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.2	75 ○ ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.2	7
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	48.6	1 ● ◆	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	33.0	35 ◇	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	20.7	44 ◇
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	4,920.3	18	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	11.6	64 ◇
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.1	37 ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	33.0	47 ◇
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	60.4	22	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.8	101 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	17.2	6 ◆
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	74 ◇
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	53.4	40 ◇	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	82 ◇
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	81.9	39 ◇	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	98.0	5 ● ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	24.3	61 ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	82.8	14	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.5	15
3.1.3 Government's online service*	76.5	49 ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
3.1.4 E-participation*	70.2	70 ◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.5	88 ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	33.3	55 ◇	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.1	40
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,952.4	81 ◇	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	50.3	9
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	73.5	24	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	51.7	17
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	15.6	117 ○ ◇	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	57.9	43 ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	44.9	22	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	67.5	31
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	19.1	10	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	120.3	15
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	72.3	6	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.2	18
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	67	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	39.8	10
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	5.6	1 ● ◆
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	42.9	31 ◇	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	8.7	6
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	40.0	30	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	40.4	40 ◇	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	66
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	105.8	25	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	93 ○
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	57.9	4 ● ◆
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	42.8	13	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	84.8	5 ● ◆
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	67.6	26	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	68.5	7
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	1 ● ◆	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	62.8	4 ●
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	34	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.3	23
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	25			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	46.0	89 ◇			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	80.8	90 ○			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Madagascar

# 106

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
85	125	Low	SSA	28.4	46.1	1,630

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>40.8</b>			<b>120</b>
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Political environment</b>	44.1	118
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	60.0	97
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	28.1	125
<b>1.2</b>	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	55.8	92
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	26.4	115
1.2.2	Rule of law*	23.2	114
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.7	58 ●
<b>1.3</b>	<b>Business environment</b>	22.5	123
1.3.1	Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	⊙ 29.2	118 ◇
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	⊙ 15.7	63

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>18.0</b>			<b>105</b> ◆
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Education</b>	29.9	120
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.1	106
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 7.2	104 ○ ◇
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	⊙ 10.2	102
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	18.1	87 ◆
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Tertiary education</b>	24.1	84 ◆
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	5.5	123
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	29.1	21 ● ◆
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.9	79
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	118
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 34.0	100
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	114 ○ ◇
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>18.2</b>			<b>132</b> ○ ◇
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	29.3	128 ○
3.1.1	ICT access*	41.7	126
3.1.2	ICT use*	16.8	126
3.1.3	Government's online service*	28.8	125
3.1.4	E-participation*	29.8	126 ○
<b>3.2</b>	<b>General infrastructure</b>	12.3	126
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 77.9	128 ○
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	15.8	113
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	17.6	107
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	13.0	131 ○
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	5.0	121
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	28.0	121 ◇
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	105

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>20.6</b>			<b>109</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Credit</b>	14.1	103
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	⊙ 25.9	66
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	16.4	114
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.8	30 ●
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	27.1	117
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	7.2	103
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	46.1	106

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>17.2</b>			<b>118</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	5.9	[128]
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 3.7	123 ○
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 12.7	93 ◇
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 1.9	107
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	18.7	102
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	⊙ 32.3	110
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	⊙ 39.1	108
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	94
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	26.9	81
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙ 0.4	75 ●
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	5.7	113
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙ 1.8	46 ●
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.5	33 ●
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>9.3</b>			<b>115</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	4.4	113
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	107
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	89 ◆
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.1	94
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	3.8	109
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	11.4	117
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-0.8	103
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙ 0.1	118 ○
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.0	112
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	89 ◆
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	12.1	95 ◆
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙ 0.1	57 ● ◆
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	19.3	105
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	113
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙ 3.0	43 ●





Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>19.3</b>			<b>[68]</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>Intangible assets</b>	37.6	[42]
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	63.0	38 ● ◆
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.8	24 ● ◆
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	1.8	[116]
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	88
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	90 ◆
<b>7.3</b>	<b>Online creativity</b>	0.1	125
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1	122
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	122
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.3	116
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	117 ○ ◇

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Malaysia

# 36

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
37	35	Upper middle	SEAO	32.8	969.0	29,048

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	68.8	34	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	36.3	41
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	77.9	24	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	32.9	62
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	28.2	52
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	72.1	29	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	18.5	83
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	66.1	62	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.5	41
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	64.2	40	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	38.2	45
1.2.2 Rule of law*	63.2	39	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	14.7	52
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	23.9	104	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	31.2	37
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	62.4	29	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	53.6	36
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	62.2	33	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	59.1	29
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	62.6	20	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	43
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	22
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	48
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	41.0	38	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	44.7	27
<b>2.1 Education</b>	47.8	74	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.0	38
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	78	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	29.4	4
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.2	56	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.0	37
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.3	79	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.0	72
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	430.9	48	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	15.8	57
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.1	40			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	48.7	13	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	31.5	39
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	42.6	74	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	12.3	67
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	38.9	3	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	62
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	7.5	33	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	58
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	26.7	38	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	56
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,184.7	38	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.0	52
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.0	40	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	22.0	40
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	36.1	36
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	58.0	16	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.0	62
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.1	58
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	34
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.0	26
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	45.5	18
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	48.6	51	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	46.0	22
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	84.6	30	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	54
3.1.1 ICT access*	93.6	19	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	67.8	24
3.1.2 ICT use*	73.9	44	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	46.9	1
3.1.3 Government's online service*	85.3	24	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.6	75
3.1.4 E-participation*	85.7	29			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	35.3	47	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	27.4	41
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,501.7	37	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	38.0	41
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	54.4	40	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	66.9	28
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.7	94	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	20.4	93
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	25.7	66	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	141.9	10
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	9.9	72	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	81
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	35.0	91	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	29.4	31
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	32	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.3	63
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.1	46
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	11.1	33
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.8	61
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	9.3	1
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	45.3	26	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	4.5	64
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	55.7	10	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	6.8	48
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	60.6	2	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	3.9	59
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	134.0	16	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	4.6	62
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	66
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	16.2	40			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	117.0	11			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	54			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	61			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	46			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	64.0	33			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.6	79			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	93.7	30			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	969.0	29			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
121	128	Low	SSA	20.9	51.1	2,522

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>43.1</b>			<b>114</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		33.3	130 ○
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		41.8	130 ○
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		24.8	127
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		57.4	88
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		29.8	103
1.2.2 Rule of law*		22.1	115
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		13.6	50 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		38.7	[89]
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†		38.7	98
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>10.7</b>			<b>128</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>		29.8	122
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP		3.8	89
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙	25.4	22 ●
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙	7.5	116 ○ ◇
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙	29.7	116
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		1.6	126 ◇
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙	5.5	124
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %		n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙	0.9	90
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		0.5	105
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	58.3	95
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	0.2	94
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		0.0	72 ○ ◇

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>23.8</b>			<b>125</b>
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		38.3	121
3.1.1 ICT access*		61.8	113 ●
3.1.2 ICT use*		24.5	121
3.1.3 Government's online service*		34.7	120
3.1.4 E-participation*		32.1	121
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		16.7	115
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙	200.9	119
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		25.1	91
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		19.4	98
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		16.3	119
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		7.9	95
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		28.5	114 ◇
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.3	101

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>12.8</b>			<b>124</b>
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		14.7	100
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		26.0	106
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		1.5	22 ●
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		3.0	[95]
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP		n/a	n/a
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	67
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	101 ○ ◇
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		20.6	125
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		9.2	114
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification		n/a	n/a
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		51.1	104








Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>19.2</b>			<b>110</b> ◆
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		5.1	130 ○ ◇
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙	3.6	124 ○
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙	17.7	86
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		n/a	n/a
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙	0.8	95
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙	0.5	122
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		23.4	63 ●
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†		39.7	79
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†		44.5	80 ◆
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙	0.1	28 ●
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙	0.0	63
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	101 ○ ◇
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		29.1	67
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙	0.0	122 ○ ◇
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	⊙	7.2	90
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙	2.1	34 ●
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		2.9	47 ●
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙	31.4	41 ● ◆

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>10.8</b>			<b>107</b>
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		3.2	116
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	114
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	90
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		5.7	109 ◇
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		4.5	102
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		13.4	111
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		0.4	81
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		0.3	107
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		0.0	114
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.5	119
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %		n/a	n/a
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		15.8	87 ◆
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙	0.0	112
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		27.5	89 ◆
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	⊙	0.2	107
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙	4.3	24 ● ◆

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>2.3</b>			<b>122</b>
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		0.9	128
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		n/a	n/a
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		4.4	123
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		0.0	77 ○ ◇
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.3	100
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		5.5	[98]
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		0.5	53 ●
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		n/a	n/a
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		n/a	n/a
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing		n/a	n/a
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙	0.0	115
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		2.1	84 ◆
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		0.1	121
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		6.2	49 ● ◆
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		0.0	130 ○
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
13	27	High	EUR	0.4	24.6	47,152








		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	71.8	28		<b>Business sophistication</b>	54.0	16
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	76.0	31	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	53.9	25
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	80.0	30	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	46.2	19
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	72.1	30	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	49.9	21
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	86.3	17	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.4	42
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	75.4	24	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	58.7	15
1.2.2	Rule of law*	69.8	35	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	17.2	42
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	47.0	21
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	53.1	[52]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	43.0	69
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	53.1	56	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	49.0	56
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	48
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	1
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	22
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	38.6	42	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	61.2	4
2.1	<b>Education</b>	62.4	21	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	6.1	1
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 4.7	54	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	6.2	106
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 28.1	14	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.4	70
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	16.8	20	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	29.3	1
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	458.8	42	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	53.3	20
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	7.0	3		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	34.7	32
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	33.8	55	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	26.0	35
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	64.9	46	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.1	26
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	17.2	87				
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	12.4	17	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	18
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	19.6	41	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,296.5	36	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	24.8	37
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.7	52	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	6.9	87
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	39.4	37	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	38.2	29
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-0.0	90
				6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	10.1	12
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	57.2	27	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.3	29
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	86.0	24	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.8	29
3.1.1	ICT access*	95.1	10	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 36.2	35
3.1.2	ICT use*	84.3	10	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	39.8	28
3.1.3	Government's online service*	81.2	40	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	3.9	5
3.1.4	E-participation*	83.3	38	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	28.4	70	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	4.3	41
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,037.7	52	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.5	99
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	35.3	67		<b>Creative outputs</b>	56.1	2
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.6	72	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	69.6	5
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	57.3	1	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	77.5	12
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	27.8	4	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	133.0	1
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	75.2	4	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	75.6	28
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	45	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.1	10
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	42.9	33	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	45.1	3
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	30.9	[53]	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	13.9	1
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	3.2	37
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	83.6	37	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	9.3	36
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	8.0	1
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	39.5	16	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	87
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	34.5	45	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	40.2	15
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	8	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	100.0	1
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	26	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	15.5	32
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	18	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	31.8	22
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	58.4	60	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.4	27
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 84.5	63				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	24.6	128				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Mauritania

129

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
132	121	Lower middle	SSA	4.8	26.7	6,293







	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.7	83 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	19.2	111
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	46.5	106	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	22.3	[90]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	60.0	97	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	n/a	n/a
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	33.1	116	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 52.7	19 ●◆
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	61.5	77 ●	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	24.8	117	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 0.0	100 ○◇
1.2.2 Rule of law*	30.9	98	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 1.0	115
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.5	32 ●◆	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	17.9	108
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	47.0	[68]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	⊙ 50.7	41 ●◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	⊙ 47.0	74 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	⊙ 34.7	117
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	97 ○◇
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	91 ●
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	16.1	112	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	17.3	126 ◇
<b>2.1 Education</b>	18.5	130 ◇	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙ 0.1	107
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	1.9	126 ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	1.7	130 ◇
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	8.4	100	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙ 0.8	90 ●
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	9.4	107 ◇	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.7	29 ●
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	28.8	115 ◇	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	1.6	132 ○◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	29.9	69 ●	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	0.7	131
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	5.9	122 ◇	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	123
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	34.6	10 ●◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.4	83	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	78 ○◇
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	120 ○◇	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	121
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	0.0	132 ○◇
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	115 ○◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	0.9	[132]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙ 0.4	103
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	22.7	127 ◇	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	129
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	27.0	130 ◇	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	51.0	122 ◇	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	3.3	126 ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	37.6	104	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙ 0.0	102
3.1.3 Government's online service*	10.0	131 ○◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	9.5	116 ◇
3.1.4 E-participation*	9.5	131 ○◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.0	127
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	20.1	108	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙ 0.4	107
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 370.9	114	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	0.6	[130]
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	13.0	116 ◇	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	0.4	[131]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	30.8	22 ●	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	20.8	91 ●	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.0	126
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.4	45 ●	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.1	120	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	121 ○◇
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	117	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	1.3	[119]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	85
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	8.8	[129]	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	6.8	[121]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	⊙ 22.7	110	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	130
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.3	115
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.2	119
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.8	94
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.1	126
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	10.8	130 ◇			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	12.2	128 ◇			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	26.7	126			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Mauritius

45

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
54	40	Upper middle	SSA	1.3	28.3	22,311







	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	74.6	22	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	21.6	96
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	78.7	22	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	17.8	105
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	89.1	7	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	24.1	61
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	68.4	38	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	84.9	21	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	79
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	73.0	27	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	4.1	83
1.2.2 Rule of law*	70.0	33	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	9.2	77
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.9	22	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.6	88
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	60.4	[35]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	36.6	97
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	60.4	38	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.8	59
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	84
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	61
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	39
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	30.7	66	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	26.2	85
<b>2.1 Education</b>	60.9	34	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	84
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.6	59	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	6.7	97
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	30.4	11	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.9	20
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.1	52	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.0	45
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	4.4	70
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.7	34	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	15.9	82
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	28.9	73	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	5.7	[103]
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	44.3	71	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	94
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	21.6	58	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	6.7	37	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.3	85	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.9	95
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	563.9	66	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.3	115
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.4	67	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	24.8	74
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.3	54
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	7.1	20
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	72
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.9	39
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	3.8	105
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	17.3	81
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	89
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	37.2	68
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.5	91
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.0	45
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	42.7	70	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	33.2	31
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	69.8	76	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	51.6	18
3.1.1 ICT access*	89.2	55	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	44.6	59
3.1.2 ICT use*	55.6	85	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	94.9	15
3.1.3 Government's online service*	70.0	69	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.1.4 E-participation*	64.3	80	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.2	35
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	23.9	84	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	24.2	[49]
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,267.7	76	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.0	32
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	31.6	77	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.0	80	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	34.5	44	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.7	19
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	18.3	11	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.6	60
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	44.8	58	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	5.3	61
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	68	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	12.9	35
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	3.1	62
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	5.0	58
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	85
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	52.1	16			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	35.7	[38]			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	95.9	31			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	65.9	8			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	62.4	30			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	1			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	13			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	1			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	54.7	71			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.9	10			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	69.7	88			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	28.3	125			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Mexico

58

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
55	70	Upper middle	LCN	130.3	2,685.2	20,820

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	48.2	93	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	25.2	76
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	50.5	96	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	21.6	91
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	54.5	116 ○ ◇	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	20.4	75
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	46.4	79	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	55.0	93	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	66
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	46.9	70	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	17.8	68
1.2.2 Rule of law*	28.7	104	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.2	73
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	22.0	97	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.9	94
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	39.1	88	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.1	84
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	27.7	120 ○ ◇	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	54.8	37
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	50.6	33	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	82
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	104
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	68
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	33.6	58	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	34.3	53
<b>2.1 Education</b>	43.0	86	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	105 ◇
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.3	68	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	19.5	9 ● ◆
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	12.0	90 ○	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.1	131 ○ ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.9	55	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.8	51
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	416.2	57	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	47.2	29 ◆
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	16.3	80	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	24.3	58
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	28.0	74	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	10.1	73
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	42.8	73	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	77
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	25.8	37	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	72
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.7	95 ○ ◇	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	43
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	29.9	37 ◆	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.8	101
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	348.8	78	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	29.3	34 ● ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	78	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	26.1	71
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	42.3	35 ◆	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-1.2	106 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	42.7	29 ● ◆	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.8	86
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	71
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	44.2	63	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.2	73
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	75.7	57	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	50.3	11 ● ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	77.2	89	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	36.7	39 ◆
3.1.2 ICT use*	61.1	70	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	101 ◇
3.1.3 Government's online service*	82.3	38	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	72.4	18 ● ◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	82.1	41	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	16.9	9 ● ◆
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	28.7	66	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.0	132 ○ ◇
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,705.7	67	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	24.7	50
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	46.5	50	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	35.4	48
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	20.7	89	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	72.5	16 ●
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	28.0	58	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	48.5	48
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.5	42	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	49.7	33
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	45.5	57	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	87
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	74	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	25.2	47
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	112 ○
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	36.3	54	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.5	43
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	21.5	84	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	7.3	37
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	37.1	47	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.4	90 ○ ◇
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	38.7	81	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	11.9	1 ● ◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.0	27	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.9	74
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	7.9	60	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.7	70
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	33.8	46	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	4.1	56
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	80 ○	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.5	76
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	79	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	69
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	36			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	79.5	12 ● ◆			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.2	13 ●			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	85.9	57			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	2,685.3	13 ● ◆			








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Mongolia

71

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
64	81	Lower middle	SEAO	3.3	43.2	12,671

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	54.1	76	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	28.7	61
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	57.5	73	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	42.2	44
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	72.7	46	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	26.5	55
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	42.3	88	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	66.2	4
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	69.8	51	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	85
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	42.6	78	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	8.1	78
1.2.2 Rule of law*	39.2	75	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	24.0	23
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.7	18	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	15.4	119
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	34.9	[95]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	31.5	114
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	34.9	105	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	37.3	111
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	83
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	62
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	31.2	64	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	28.5	73
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.0	[32]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	83
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.9	42	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	4.4	120
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.4	73
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.0	54	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	15.0	8
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.3	58	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	14.7	85
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	31.6	65	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	27.2	32
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	68.8	36	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	44
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	23.1	48	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	88
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.4	84	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	1
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.0	96	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.5	72
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	331.0	81	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.0	105
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	100	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	11.1	118
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	5.9	25
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	80
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	35.6	92	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	88
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	63.5	89	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	3.8	104
3.1.1 ICT access*	78.1	88	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	5.9	119
3.1.2 ICT use*	62.3	67	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	88
3.1.3 Government's online service*	52.9	98	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	19.2	107
3.1.4 E-participation*	60.7	85	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.0	125
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	28.7	67	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	105
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,136.2	79	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	27.9	40
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	14.9	114	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	48.5	24
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	39.0	7	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-42.5	78
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	14.5	126	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	338.0	1
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	5.8	114	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	29.6	110	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.6	4
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	99	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	12.9	[73]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	78
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	23.9	97	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	11.2	107	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.4	25
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	45.8	74	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	128
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.5	39	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.6	92
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.6	101
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	2.1	69
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.3	69
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	89
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	36.5	107			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.3	93			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	43.1	104			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	43.2	109			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Montenegro

60

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
72	51	Upper middle	EUR	0.6	13.3	21,387



		Score/Value	Rank			Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>		58.3	59	<b>Business sophistication</b>		29.5	58
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	60.1	63	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	36.1	56
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	36.7	40 ◆
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	49.4	71	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	15.8	91 ○ ◇
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	72.4	41	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.2	54
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	54.8	54	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	37.8	48
1.2.2	Rule of law*	47.6	59	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	18.2	39 ◆
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	11.2	36	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	20.7	87
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	42.4	[80]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	42.8	71
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	42.4	87	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	41.7	97 ○
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	53
<b>Human capital and research</b>		32.2	61	5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	42
2.1	<b>Education</b>	57.7	[48]	5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	n/a	n/a	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	31.9	57
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	92
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	15.1	51	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	8.7	59
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	421.9	55	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	2.9	17 ◆◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.4	67	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	9.1	10 ◆◆
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	36.3	44	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	12.6	59
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	55.5	57	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		19.4	72
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.5	64	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	13.9	59
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	79
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.5	82	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	48
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	746.8	61	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.4	72	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	32.8	28 ◆◆
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	1.8	124 ○
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	32.5	50
<b>Infrastructure</b>		51.1	44 ◆	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	68.5	79	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	7.9	18 ◆◆
3.1.1	ICT access*	92.9	25 ◆◆	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.4	22 ◆◆
3.1.2	ICT use*	72.1	52 ◆	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.3	15 ◆◆
3.1.3	Government's online service*	54.1	96	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	10.3	88 ○
3.1.4	E-participation*	54.8	93	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	11.7	97
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	34.1	49	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	83
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,532.3	36 ◆	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	32.6	75	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.5	92
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	29.3	27 ◆	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	3.4	35
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	50.7	9 ◆◆	<b>Creative outputs</b>		18.8	71
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	10.9	60	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	7.0	109 ○ ◇
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	46.9	49	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-295.8	78 ○ ◇
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.3	4 ◆◆	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	29.5	77
<b>Market sophistication</b>		36.7	53	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	22.3	79	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	114 ○
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	34.6	[19]
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	60.0	57	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.9	33
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.6	19	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	31.8	[24]	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	84.9	21	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	3.0	4 ◆◆
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.3	69
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	26.8	27 ◆◆
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.7	83
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	56.0	69	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	100.0	1 ◆◆
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.6	68	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	5.4	55
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	84.8	62	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	87
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	13.3	131 ○				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Morocco

67

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
56	87	Lower middle	NAWA	37.3	302.8	8,338

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.1	85	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	21.9	94
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	56.3	77	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	24.1	[82]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	63.6	81	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	6.9	116 ○
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	49.1	72 ◆	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	35.7	44
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	58.9	83	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	42.0	80 ◆	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	43.8	67 ◆	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	20.7	89	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.5	90
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	38.1	90	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	36.2	98
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	59.6	40 ◆	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.5	91
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	16.7	61 ○	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	114 ○
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	71
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	26.0	83	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	21.2	105
<b>2.1 Education</b>	53.1	62 ◆	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	78
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.8	9 ◆	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.0	74
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap ○	36.4	5 ◆	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.1	85
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.2	70 ◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.0	75
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	367.9	74 ○	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	7.0	64
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	20.0	94	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	22.0	64
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	22.5	85	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	11.6	68
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	40.6	75	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	68
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.4	65	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	51 ◆
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.1	76	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.4	83	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.4	56
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,073.5	51 ◆	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	11.0	68
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	31.7	53 ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◆	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.0	34 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◆	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.2	56 ◆
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	63
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	36.3	89	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.0	66 ◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	63.7	88	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	42.8	24 ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.7	39 ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	22.6	68
3.1.2 ICT use*	60.6	73 ◆	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	84
3.1.3 Government's online service*	52.3	99	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	29.3	87
3.1.4 E-participation*	51.2	99	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.1	58
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	22.8	91	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	4.4	23 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,073.7	95	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	26.8	44 ◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	22.8	101 ○	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	49.5	23 ◆
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	28.1	32 ●	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	65.1	31
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	22.5	81	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	66.9	33 ◆
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.3	47	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	17.8	48
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.4	115 ○	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.4	9 ● ◆
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	71	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	6.1	95
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.4	58
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	30.6	74	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.9	62
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	25.7	69	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	0.7	60 ○ ◆
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	30.2	59	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	73
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	96.3	30 ● ◆	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	96
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.8	32	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.2	83
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	7.2	64	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.6	87
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	54.5	35	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.2	81
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	83 ○	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.6	91
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	73	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.3	58
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	70			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	58.8	59			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.6	80			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	93.1	34			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	302.8	56			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Mozambique

123

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
119	123	Low	SSA	32.2	43.0	1,338








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	<b>32.3</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>Business sophistication</b>	<b>16.8</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	44.3	116	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	5.1	131 ○ ◇
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	54.5	116	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 3.9	122
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	34.1	112	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 20.7	77
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	32.6	127 ◇	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	90
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	27.7	111	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 0.5	97
1.2.2 Rule of law*	19.6	121	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 0.7	120
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	37.5	127 ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.1	83
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	20.1	125 ◇	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	⊙ 34.0	104
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	⊙ 40.2	95	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	⊙ 35.0	115
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	⊙ 0.0	74 ○ ◇	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.1	32 ●
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	36 ● ◆
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	77 ◆
			<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	24.1	92
			5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	115
			5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	5.7	112
			5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.7	51 ●
			5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	16.1	7 ● ◆
			5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 0.3	84 ○ ◇
<b>Human capital and research</b>	<b>15.8</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>	45.4	79 ◆	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	6.3	96
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.3	16 ● ◆	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	74 ◆
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 40.1	2 ● ◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙ 10.0	104	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	58
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.2	67 ●
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙ 36.5	122 ○ ◇	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.8	97
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	1.1	128 ○ ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	11.8	115
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙ 7.3	119	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.7	99
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 9.6	108 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	109
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙ 0.4	104 ◇	6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	111
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.0	95	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	93 ◆
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 43.0	97	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.3	76	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	3.8	123 ◇
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	12.2	115
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.0	128
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	113 ◇
			<b>Creative outputs</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>107</b>
			<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	12.0	95
			7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
			7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	42.1	57 ●
			7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
			7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 1.0	67 ●
			<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	0.1	[130]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	122
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.1	126
			7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.0	129
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.2	109
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.1	125
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>Infrastructure</b>	<b>35.7</b>	<b>91</b>			
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	42.6	118			
3.1.1 ICT access*	46.4	124			
3.1.2 ICT use*	19.7	125			
3.1.3 Government's online service*	51.8	102			
3.1.4 E-participation*	52.4	96			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	51.5	23 ● ◆			
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 625.0	105 ◆			
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a			
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	59.9	1 ● ◆			
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	13.0	132 ○			
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	3.6	127			
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	31.7	101			
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	94			
<b>Market sophistication</b>	<b>16.2</b>	<b>120</b>			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	7.1	120			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	⊙ 13.7	74 ○ ◇			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	24.8	108			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.0	60			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.5	[84]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	58			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	81			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	37.0	105			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.1	86 ◆			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	43.0	110			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Myanmar

116

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
104	122	Lower middle	SEAO	54.8	237.0	4,426








		Score/Value	Rank			Score/Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	38.1	123		<b>Business sophistication</b>	14.1	130
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	35.9	127	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	7.1	126
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	43.6	129	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	5.2	119
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	28.2	124	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	5.9	99
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	46.2	115	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	29.2	106	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	0.0	100
1.2.2	Rule of law*	15.5	125	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	7.2	86
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	23.1	100	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	11.4	128
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	32.0	[105]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	20.8	126
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	32.0	117	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	31.8	123
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	79
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	103
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	18.4	102	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	23.8	93
2.1	<b>Education</b>	24.1	126	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	80
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.1	124	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	7.8	77
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	11.3	95	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.0	87
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	10.7	99	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.5	58
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	27.2	113		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.0	100
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	30.7	68	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	2.7	[120]
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	18.8	98	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	33.7	12	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.0	111	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.5	106	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	126
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	31.9	101	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	2.7	121
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	95	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	21.8	82
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	1.8	37
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.4	102
				6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	21.4	128	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	114
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	26.0	[132]	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	17.7	67
3.1.1	ICT access*	n/a	n/a	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	11.4	99
3.1.2	ICT use*	n/a	n/a	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	67
3.1.3	Government's online service*	25.9	127	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	18.1	108
3.1.4	E-participation*	26.2	128	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	1.4	69
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	20.7	106	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.4	110
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	448.8	111		<b>Creative outputs</b>	6.6	[106]
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	11.6	117	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	9.1	[105]
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	32.3	15	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	17.6	108	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	24.0	88
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	12.2	48	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	5.7	66
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	19.4	129	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	128	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	7.9	[88]
				7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.3	64
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	25.1	93	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	15.3	99	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.4	89
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	27.4	101	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.7	54
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.5	20	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	0.1	127
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	5.3	73	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1	127
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	127
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	85	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	122
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	66	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	100
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	48				
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	54.7	72				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.8	57				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	70.7	85				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	237.0	62				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Namibia

96

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
113	84	Upper middle	SSA	2.6	25.0	9,616








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	61.7	49 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	19.6	108 ◇
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	60.8	62	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	18.2	104 ◇
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 18.1	82
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	50.8	66	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 25.4	64
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	72.4	42 ●	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	75
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	42.2	79	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 11.1	73
1.2.2 Rule of law*	53.8	50 ● ◆	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 7.4	84 ◇
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	9.7	27 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.6	89
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	52.0	[53]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.5	83
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	52.0	57	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.5	90
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.1	44
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	46 ●
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	29.2	72	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	20.0	110 ◇
<b>2.1 Education</b>	73.7	[2]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	111 ◇
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	9.6	1 ● ◆	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.4	85
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.5	64
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-0.4	122 ○ ◇
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 6.9	65
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙ 25.9	107 ◇	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	9.5	113 ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	12.6	106 ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	7.7	86
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙ 24.1	91 ◇	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.4	83
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 12.9	101 ○ ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	35 ●
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙ 4.8	52	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	50
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.3	92	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.8	71
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 149.5	86 ◇	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.2	104
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.3	73	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	7.5	120 ○ ◇
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-3.7	114 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.6	93
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	82
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	30.3	106 ◇	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	87
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	51.4	101 ◇	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 4.7	99 ○ ◇
3.1.1 ICT access*	64.7	106 ◇	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	13.3	93
3.1.2 ICT use*	38.4	103 ◇	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	80
3.1.3 Government's online service*	52.3	99 ◇	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	36.4	70
3.1.4 E-participation*	50.0	103 ◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.7	83
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	10.7	129 ○ ◇	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	118 ○
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 420.0	113 ◇	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	5.1	113 ◇
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	5.8	114 ◇
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	17.0	111 ◇	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	28.9	55	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.3	99 ◇
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.8	52	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	50.9	37 ● ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	55
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	76	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	1.6	[118]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	95
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	28.2	[81]	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	26.5	[67]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	72.5	46 ●	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙ 0.2	75
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	7.2	52
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	7.0	[65]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	8.6	43 ●
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	18.8	61	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.8	91
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.2	32 ●
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	51.0	79			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.3	14 ●			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 60.9	96			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	25.0	127 ○			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Nepal

111

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
111	106	Lower middle	CSA	29.7	123.2	4,215








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	41.3	117	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	22.3	[91]
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	43.8	119	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	20.9	[93]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	58.2	103	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	13.2	97
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	29.4	121 ○ ◇	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	31.9	52 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	46.2	114	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	27.6	112	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	33.3	91	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	2.9	100
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.2	109	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	18.6	103
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	34.0	[100]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	31.5	113
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	34.0	109	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	37.3	112
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	84
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	82
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	11.5	123 ○ ◇	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	27.4	[79]
<b>2.1 Education</b>	31.3	116	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	n/a	n/a
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.4	64 ●	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	11.1	24 ●
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	9.2	98	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.2	127 ○ ◇
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	12.9	84	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.4	116
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	30.4	118 ○ ◇	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	7.6	[119]
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	3.2	124 ○ ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	9.9	[74]
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	13.5	107	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	99
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.0	112 ○ ◇	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.0	64 ●
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	7.5	85
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	4.1	125 ○ ◇
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.4	74
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	116 ○ ◇
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	28.9	108	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	112
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	42.8	117	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	6.8	96
3.1.1 ICT access*	63.0	112	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	8.7	[107]
3.1.2 ICT use*	31.2	111	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.3 Government's online service*	40.0	114	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
3.1.4 E-participation*	36.9	114	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	124 ○
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	29.6	64 ●	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.3	58 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	216.0	117	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	7.7	101
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	21.4	105	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	10.0	100
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	41.9	4 ● ◆	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	14.3	127 ○ ◇	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	40.7	62 ●
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	6.3	110	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.3	117	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	110
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	116	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	7.7	[89]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	34.2	59 ●	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.6	41 ◆
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	65.0	4 ● ◆	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.4	88
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	87.9	35 ● ◆	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.3	71
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	6.7	4 ● ◆	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	3.1	71
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	1.2	[108]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.5	106
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.2	80
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.3	81
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	88	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.4	44 ●
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	94			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	36.5	108			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	11.6	125 ○ ◇			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	82.0	65			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	123.2	81			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Netherlands

# 5

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
6	10	High	EUR	17.2	1,079.2	61,816

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	86.9	4 ●◆		<b>Business sophistication</b>	56.8	10
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	86.6	10	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	64.7	14
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	52.4	5 ●
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	89.6	6 ●	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	54.1	15
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	87.3	15	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.5	16
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	88.7	8	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	57.6	16
1.2.2	Rule of law*	91.7	11	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	22.2	26
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	15.9	65 ○	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	53.7	11
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	86.8	3 ●◆	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	70.1	4 ●
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	76.3	9	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	68.5	8
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	97.3	4 ◆	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	16
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	23
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.4	9
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	57.4	14	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	51.9	12
2.1	<b>Education</b>	63.8	17	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	7.9	1 ●◆
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 5.4	32	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	13.6	18
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	22.9	33	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.8	44
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	⊙ 18.6	10	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	-24.2	132 ○◇
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	502.5	15	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	70.5	6 ◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.2	64 ○◇		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	57.9	5 ●
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	40.1	35	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	68.6	6 ●
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙ 87.1	13	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.5	12
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 18.8	79 ○◇	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.8	9
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙ 11.7	19	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	68.3	10	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	44.2	16
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	5,911.7	8	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	69.8	6 ●
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.3	15	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	39.7	26
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	81.7	9	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	0.0	89 ○
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	68.1	13	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.1	43 ○
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	60.1	14	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.5	12
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	90.7	9	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.0	31
3.1.1	ICT access*	93.8	17	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	49.8	12
3.1.2	ICT use*	82.0	17	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	65.4	4 ●
3.1.3	Government's online service*	90.6	12	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	7.7	1 ●◆
3.1.4	E-participation*	96.4	9	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	67.7	25
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	52.0	22	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	13.0	12
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,022.9	27	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙ 3.6	30
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	91.6	6		<b>Creative outputs</b>	49.4	10
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.5	74 ○	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	50.8	21
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	37.8	36	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	92.1	2 ●◆
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	13.0	38	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	50.2	47 ○
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	62.6	11	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	111.4	17
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	35	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.2	30
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	50.7	18	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	35.7	17
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	49.0	22	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	⊙ 2.3	8
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	60.3	3 ●◆	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.8	40 ○
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	100.9	28	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	48.5	17
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	56 ○
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	33.9	23	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	3.8	15
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	⊙ 109.9	12	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	60.5	3 ●◆
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	16	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	80.9	6 ●◆
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	27	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	100.0	1 ●◆
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	21	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	49.5	9
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	69.2	20	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.4	35
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	92.9	36				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,079.2	26				








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## New Zealand

24

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
28	23	High	SEAO	4.9	235.0	45,880

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	83.3	7 ●	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	43.8	31 ◇
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	90.1	4 ●	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	50.0	[31]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	96.4	2 ● ◆	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	n/a	n/a
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	83.9	14	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	96.7	2 ● ◆	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙	0.8
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	91.8	2 ●	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙	49.9
1.2.2 Rule of law*	94.9	3 ●	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙	21.5
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	37.8	28 ◇
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	63.2	[27]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	55.5	31
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	63.2	32	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.7	60 ◇
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	31
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	20
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	24 ◇
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	54.9	18	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	43.8	28
<b>2.1 Education</b>	65.5	11	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.6	18
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙	6.0	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.4	36
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.2	63 ○ ◇	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	3.2	15
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	20.3	2 ● ◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.5	85 ○
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	502.9	13	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙	35.7
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.6	69 ○ ◇	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	36.0	29 ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	51.3	9	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	37.7	25
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	80.3	21	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	47 ◇
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙	23.0	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	20
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	20.8	6 ● ◆	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	48.0	24	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	50.5	10
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	5,854.1	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	35.2	27
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	1.4	29		
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	47.6	31	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	36.8	34
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	49.8	19	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.8	64 ○
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	17.9	5 ● ◆
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	57.9	21	6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	51
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	90.2	12	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.9	58
3.1.1 ICT access*	93.2	23	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	16.6	71 ○ ◇
3.1.2 ICT use*	76.0	36 ◇	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	33.4	47
3.1.3 Government's online service*	92.9	10	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.5	16
3.1.4 E-participation*	98.8	4 ●	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	47.1	49 ◇
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	52.8	20	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.5	55
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	8,839.5	16	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.0	63
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	85.1	15	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	38.4	22
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.5	73 ○	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	44.9	27
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	30.8	52	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	64.4	33
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	9.9	71 ○	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	104.8	10 ◆
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	56.7	26	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	32.9	43 ◇
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.7	57	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.6	52
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	45.7	24	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	28.9	32
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	61.6	[6]	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.5	52
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	9.3	4 ●
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	161.3	9	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	49.4	14
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.4	27
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	17.8	36 ◇	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.4	63 ○
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	51.3	37	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	34.9	19
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	28 ◇	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	32.6	19
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	20	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	63.2	9 ●
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	55 ○ ◇	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	36.9	18
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	57.7	63	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.0	51 ◇
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.8	9 ●			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	73.5	82 ○ ◇			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	235.0	63			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Nicaragua

108

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
112	99	Lower middle	LCN	6.7	40.1	6,133

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
37.9		124	◇
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	45.3	114	
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108	
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	34.3	111	
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	53.9	97	
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	28.5	109	
1.2.2 Rule of law*	14.5	127	◇
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.9	60	●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	14.5	[128]	
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	14.5	127	◇
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
16.9		[110]	
<b>2.1 Education</b>	39.7	[97]	
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.6	55	●
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a	
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	10.7	[109]	
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	19.6	97	
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.4	109	
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	104	◇
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	◇

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
28.2		111	
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	50.6	103	
3.1.1 ICT access*	60.8	114	
3.1.2 ICT use*	34.4	107	
3.1.3 Government's online service*	54.7	95	
3.1.4 E-participation*	52.4	96	
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	14.3	123	◇
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	697.7	103	◇
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a	
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	20.0	92	
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	19.8	94	
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.3	88	
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	37.7	80	
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	107	

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
37.2		50	●
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	24.9	73	
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	30.0	93	
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	2.8	12	●
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	49.5	82	
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.8	58	● ◆
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	59.6	97	
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	40.1	113	

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
23.7		82	
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	36.2	[55]	
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	13.8	94	◇
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	57.3	12	● ◆
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a	
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	6.1	90	◇
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	14.9	122	◇
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	22.4	125	◇
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	30.8	125	◇
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	69	◇
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101	◇
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	19.9	112	
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	113	
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.5	81	
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.4	120	
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	4.0	25	●
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a	

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
7.3		121	
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	1.5	126	
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	124	◇
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101	◇
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	123	
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.0	118	
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	8.1	[119]	
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a	
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a	
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	97	
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	98	
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	12.8	80	
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	12.1	94	
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113	◇
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	21.0	99	
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	110	
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.3	37	●

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
7.5		103	
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	9.7	103	
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a	
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	41.0	60	●
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77	◇
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	121	◇
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	9.6	[84]	
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a	
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a	
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a	
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a	
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.8	50	●
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.0	101	
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.7	69	● ◆
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.3	105	
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	103	
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	112	

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
126	119	Low	SSA	25.1	34.0	1,355

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		45.5	111
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	54.5	116
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	36.4	106
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		58.6	86
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	26.4	114
1.2.2	Rule of law*	31.9	93
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.0	54 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		n/a	[n/a]
1.3.1	Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	n/a	n/a
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>2.1 Education</b>		20.5	128
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.8	87
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙ 11.7	93
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	⊙ 6.4	117 ○ ◇
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙ 29.7	117
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		8.1	111
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	4.4	127 ◇
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙ 12.3	102
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.4	46 ●
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		0.0	119
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 26.5	104
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		26.7	131 ◇
3.1.1	ICT access*	34.6	131 ○ ◇
3.1.2	ICT use*	13.0	130 ◇
3.1.3	Government's online service*	29.4	124
3.1.4	E-participation*	29.8	126
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		16.0	118
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 26.6	130 ○ ◇
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	0.9	123 ◇
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	31.7	17 ●
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		19.7	95
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	8.5	87
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	37.7	80 ◆
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	123

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		4.5	125
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	11.7	124
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.5	38
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		13.3	[45]
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	28 ● ◆
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	76
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		35.7	109
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	8.1	105
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 58.6	98
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	34.0	121

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		17.5	[106]
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 15.3	89 ◆
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 27.5	59 ●
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 0.7	121
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		1.4	[131]
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	n/a	n/a
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	n/a	n/a
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	110
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		40.2	36 ● ◆
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙ 0.0	121
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	20.9	8 ● ◆
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙ 2.4	28 ● ◆
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.9	26 ●
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		2.3	121
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	91
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	78 ○ ◇
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.4	112 ◇
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	2.8	120
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		16.7	101
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	1.8	41 ●
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙ 0.1	120
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.0	113
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	125
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 15.8	73 ◆
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		11.5	98
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙ 0.0	109
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.4	94 ◆
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙ 3.7	29 ● ◆

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		0.1	[132]
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.0	128 ○ ◇
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	119
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		0.8	[125]
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	91
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	109
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		0.3	117
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.9	98 ◆
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	130
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.0	131 ○ ◇
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Nigeria

114

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
107	113	Lower middle	SSA	211.4	1,136.8	5,377








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	43.5	112	<b>Business sophistication</b>	26.3	69
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	36.5	126	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	37.1	[54]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	45.5	126	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	38.1	35
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	27.5	126	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	30.7	54
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	61.5	76	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	20.9	125	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	25.0	111	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	5.8	91
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	18.2	106
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	32.5	[103]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	26.2	123
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	32.5	113	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.5	92
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	87
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
<b>Human capital and research</b>	17.3	[109]	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	23.6	95
<b>2.1 Education</b>	46.5	[75]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.4	76
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	n/a	n/a	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.8	45
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	102
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	8.7	113	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.4	115
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.7	71	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	6.8	123
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	5.5	[119]	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	5.9	99
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	12.1	109	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	81
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	99
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.1	107
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	12.7	61
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	12.1	113
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-1.5	108
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.9	83
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	83
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	126
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	2.3	128
<b>Infrastructure</b>	28.0	112	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	47.1	110	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	0.0	120
3.1.1 ICT access*	56.6	116	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	96
3.1.2 ICT use*	31.3	110	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	116
3.1.3 Government's online service*	51.8	102	<b>Creative outputs</b>	10.1	97
3.1.4 E-participation*	48.8	105	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	19.4	81
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	22.5	93	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	44.4	60
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	156.3	120	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.5	109
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	22.3	102	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	4.7	68
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	30.4	23	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	69
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	14.3	128	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	1.0	[122]
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	6.4	107	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.3	117	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	130	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	1.9	54
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	131
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.7	107
<b>Market sophistication</b>	11.4	126	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.5	107
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	3.7	127	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.4	99
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.2	99
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	12.1	123	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	82
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.3	44			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	7.8	62			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	10.3	74			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	60			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	42			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	42			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	22.7	123			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	12.4	130			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,136.8	25			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# North Macedonia

# 66








Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
77	60	Upper middle	EUR	2.1	37.4	18,069

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	50.3	88	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	29.1	59
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	63.6	53	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	35.1	57
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	74.5	42	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	31.2	49
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	52.7	62	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	39.0	35
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	69.1	53	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	62
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	57.6	47	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	23.6	64
1.2.2 Rule of law*	44.4	64	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	15.8	46
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.4	57	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	17.1	113 ○
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	18.3	126 ○ ◇	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	33.7	106 ○
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	32.6	112 ○	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	41.7	98
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	4.0	71 ○ ◇	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	61
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP ○	0.0	111 ○
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	52
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	28.4	75	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	35.0	50
<b>2.1 Education</b>	56.6	[53]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	2.1	13 ● ◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	n/a	n/a	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	6.6	98
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.2	78
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.5	77	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.2	41
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	400.1	67 ○	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	27.9	43
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	8.3	13 ● ◆	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	24.5	57
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	26.1	77	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	9.8	76
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	43.1	72	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	55
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.6	62	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	56
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.2	49	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.6	81	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.9	70
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	786.8	58	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	6.2	90
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.4	70	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	36.8	33 ●
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.1	86
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.5	40
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	78
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	49.2	49	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.2	16 ● ◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	75.4	61	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	47.0	16 ● ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	81.5	80	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	27.0	54
3.1.2 ICT use*	62.5	65	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	48
3.1.3 Government's online service*	74.1	58	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	42.6	57
3.1.4 E-participation*	83.3	38 ●	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.9	49
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	21.4	100	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.5	33 ●
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,572.1	70	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	10.9	93
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	30.2	79	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	7.2	108 ○
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-22.8	76 ○ ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	50.9	8 ● ◆	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.4	56	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	54.3	32 ● ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	64
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.0	5 ● ◆	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	23.1	52
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.0	31 ●
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	42.6	34 ● ◆	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	3.8	32 ● ◆
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	25.3	71	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	30.6	56	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.0	13 ●
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	56.2	62	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	86
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	6.3	56
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	6.9	46
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	5.5	53
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	6.2	51
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.6	53
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	59.9	52			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.7	55			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	90.3	44			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	37.4	116 ○			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
87	62	High	NAWA	5.2	147.8	32,327

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	59.0	57	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	21.6	97
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	62.7	55	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	18.6	103
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	72.7	46	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	21.4	73
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	52.8	61	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	59.3	80	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	65
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	56.4	50	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	31.8	56
1.2.2 Rule of law*	62.2	40	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	0.9	118
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	n/a	n/a	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	26.2	50
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	55.1	46	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	51.5	39
1.3.1 Policies for doing business‡	70.3	19	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	62.5	21
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	39.9	39	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	86
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	31
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	95
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	38.9	40	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	19.8	113
<b>2.1 Education</b>	66.2	10	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	n/a	n/a
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.4	29	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	5.5	115
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	37.6	3	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.6	104
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.6	60	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	5.1	19
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	0.3	83
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.3	42	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.7	94
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	45.4	23	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	7.1	91
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	45.5	70	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	96
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	39.0	1	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	68
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.9	69	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	5.1	71	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.6	75
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	334.9	80	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	7.6	84
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.4	71	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	14.3	110
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-2.3	112
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	10.1	62	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.5	70
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	99
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	46.9	56	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.7	59
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	83.1	33	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	17.0	70
3.1.1 ICT access*	94.2	15	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	16.9	83
3.1.2 ICT use*	69.5	57	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.3 Government's online service*	85.3	24	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	31.2	82
3.1.4 E-participation*	83.3	38	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.8	80
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	39.1	40	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.6	95
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,698.8	23	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	15.4	80
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	53.5	41	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	25.2	67
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	20.5	90	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	32.2	66
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	18.5	100	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	56.9	42
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	6.1	111	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	8.5	60
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	30.7	104	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	111
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	51	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	6.0	[96]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	31.2	71	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	30.4	55	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	3.4	49
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	37.2	45	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	85
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	65.1	53	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.4	66
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	5.2	62
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	3.9	88	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.6	86
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	20.7	59	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.3	106
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	45	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.8	107
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	91	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.1	16
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	86			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	59.2	57			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.7	54			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	85.5	60			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	147.8	76			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.












## Panama

81

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
80	83	Upper middle	LCN	4.4	134.0	30,889








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	55.2	70	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	20.0	105
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	61.1	60	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	18.9	100
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	23.9	64
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	51.2	64	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	63.4	69	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙	0.0
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	52.9	56	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙	1.5
1.2.2 Rule of law*	40.7	73	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	11.7	65
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	18.1	77	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.7	95
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	41.1	85	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	35.7	99
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	47.6	71	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	46.5	71
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	34.6	45	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙	0.1
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	96
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	54
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	20.8	94	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	21.4	103
<b>2.1 Education</b>	35.4	109	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	94
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	80	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	⊙	7.7
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙	9.2	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.5	111
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙	12.9	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.6	31
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	364.8	75	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	⊙	13.6			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	25.2	81	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	14.6	86
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	⊙	47.8	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	4.6	108
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙	15.4	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	100
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	66
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.8	89	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	69
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	39.1	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	111
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	0.1	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	11.6	65
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	12.0	114
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	3.9	71	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	4.2	34
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	69
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	84
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙	7.5
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	48.6	50	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	27.0	53
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	64.7	87	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	68
3.1.1 ICT access*	83.1	77	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	47.2	48
3.1.2 ICT use*	55.2	86	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	⊙	5.1
3.1.3 Government's online service*	62.4	83	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.7	72
3.1.4 E-participation*	58.3	88			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	39.8	39	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	20.1	62
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙	2,741.2	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	17.1	86
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	57.2	37	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-2.0	74
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	32.5	13	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	46.8	52
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	41.3	29	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	12.5	55
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	24.3	6	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	121
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	50.5	40	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	36.2	[16]
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	106	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.4	62
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	25.7	89	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	33.1	42	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	⊙	2.5
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	26.5	64	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙	2.9
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	105.9	24	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	9.9	44
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	32.1	20
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	5.0	78	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.2	82
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	25.2	54	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.8	88
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	88	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.4	57
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	83			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	56			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	39.1	102			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.8	95			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙	51.9			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	134.0	78			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Paraguay

91

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
84	94	Upper middle	LCN	7.2	100.9	13,724








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	42.7	115	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	23.1	86
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	51.7	95	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	22.5	88
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	63.6	81	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	17.9	84
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	39.7	96	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	46.4	23
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	47.6	112	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	93
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	39.9	87	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	0.2	98
1.2.2 Rule of law*	35.3	88	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	9.5	76
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	29.4	118	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.1	100
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	28.8	114	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	26.8	122
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	46.2	78	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	40.5	102
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	11.4	67	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	63
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	47
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	19.2	[100]	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	27.9	76
<b>2.1 Education</b>	37.8	[105]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	97
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.3	100	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	19.4	10
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	15.0	82	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.0	132
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.4	88
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	18.4	89	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	11.0	105
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	n/a	[n/a]	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	2.1	122
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	n/a	n/a	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	104
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	63
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.7	101	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	125
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	155.6	85	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.2	116
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	99	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	16.9	100
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.4	75
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.0	121
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	102
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	41.1	76	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.2	54
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	67.1	84	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	73.0	92	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	14.0	92
3.1.2 ICT use*	49.8	94	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.3 Government's online service*	70.6	65	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	31.1	83
3.1.4 E-participation*	75.0	57	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.5	89
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	31.0	62	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.1	128
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	6,617.1	29	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	18.1	74
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	34.0	73	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	35.3	49
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	20.8	88	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	25.2	73	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	131.5	5
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.1	50	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	13.1	54
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	40.9	68	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	95
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	92	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	0.6	[127]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	110
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	27.7	82	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	17.5	93	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	17.6	73	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	49.7	72	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	101
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.1	98
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.6	84
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.5	75
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.3	94
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	98
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	37.9	104			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.0	84			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	100.9	87			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Peru

65

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
81	52	Upper middle	LCN	33.4	453.7	13,410



	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	58.0	61	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	32.1	49
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	53.2	87	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	46.1	[38]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	14.1	91 ◊
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	44.6	85	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	65.9	6 ●◆
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	70.4	48	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	58.1	45	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	37.2	81	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	11.3	67
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	11.4	37 ●	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.4	97
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	50.4	56	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	32.7	109 ◊
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	46.5	75	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.8	88
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	54.4	29 ◊	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	125 ◊
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	80
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	36.8	47	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	30.8	62
<b>2.1 Education</b>	45.3	80	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.7	59
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.2	69	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.0	43
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	16.6	74	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.6	58
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.0	53 ◊	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.3	65
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	401.5	66 ◊	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.8	63	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	13.7	90
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	57.2	5 ●◆	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	9.7	77
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	70.7	32 ●	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	88
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	29.6	18 ●◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	67
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	22 ●
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	7.8	64	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.8	108 ◊
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	14.4	56
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	93	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	22.5	80
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.4	77
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	18.1	53	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	3.8	36
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	57
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.1	64
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	12.6	81
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	40.5	79	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	8.9	106 ◊
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	68.1	81	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	71
3.1.1 ICT access*	70.4	98 ◊	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	23.0	96 ◊
3.1.2 ICT use*	50.5	92	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.4	93
3.1.3 Government's online service*	75.3	52	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	112 ◊
3.1.4 E-participation*	76.2	55	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	19.5	65
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	21.7	98	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	31.3	57
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	1,605.4	90	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	55.9	46
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	29.8	81	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	66.0	35 ●
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	21.2	83	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	7.2	63
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	31.6	51	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	102 ◊
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	15.4	23 ●	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	12.6	74
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	39.8	72	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	84
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	50	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	76 ◊
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	7.1	38
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.0	14 ●
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	74
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	40.2	40	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.8	75
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	51.5	14 ●◆	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	5.1	52
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	34.9	49 ◊	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.7	73
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	55.1	63	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.7	67
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	6.9	1 ●◆	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	78
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.7	82			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	42.8	41			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	90 ◊			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ◊			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	71			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	64.4	31 ●			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.7	6 ●◆			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	87.5	52			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	453.7	47			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ◊ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◊ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ◊ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Philippines

# 59

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
51	76	Lower middle	SEAO	111.0	983.1	8,900








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	48.7	90	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	36.9	39
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	56.4	76	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	38.2	51
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	18.5	80
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	51.0	65	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	59.8	9
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	50.1	107	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	68
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	45.7	72	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	38.0	47
1.2.2 Rule of law*	31.8	95	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	13.1	58
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.4	115	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.5	91
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	39.4	[87]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	44.4	64
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	39.4	96	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	46.6	70
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	89
<b>Human capital and research</b>	25.0	86	5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	52
<b>2.1 Education</b>	33.4	112	5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	87
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	83	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	51.9	13
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.7	58
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.1	81	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	30.6	3
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	349.7	77	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.7	50
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	24.6	104	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.3	66
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	33.4	59	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	51.8	22
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	35.5	79	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	30.8	41
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	22.8	52	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	11.4	69
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	75
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	8.0	63	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	76
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	173.6	84	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	15
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	75	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	122
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	14.8	55
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	20.3	48	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	29.6	59
<b>Infrastructure</b>	38.7	81	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.2	32
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	66.2	85	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	111
3.1.1 ICT access*	69.4	100	6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	61
3.1.2 ICT use*	47.6	95	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.5	60
3.1.3 Government's online service*	72.9	60	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	38.7	28
3.1.4 E-participation*	75.0	57	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	51.5	14
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	23.1	88	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	82
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	980.8	97	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	63.9	28
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	39.5	59	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	39.7	2
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.8	93	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	6.3	14
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	26.8	59	<b>Creative outputs</b>	20.5	58
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	15.4	24	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	30.0	61
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	28.9	113	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	60.4	41
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	64	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	33.7	69
<b>Market sophistication</b>	29.2	78	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	41.8	39
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	9.3	115	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	75
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	20.3	56
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	52.1	68	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	83
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.0	56	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	60
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	10.6	55	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	4.5	47
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	74.3	24	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	71
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	70	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	6.3	10
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	75	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.9	87
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	52	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.3	90
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	67.8	22	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.4	101
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.7	52	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.1	85
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	92.2	39	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.7	62
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	983.1	28			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Poland

38

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
36	41	High	EUR	37.8	1,412.3	37,323








	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	56.3	65	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	37.2	38
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	67.1	45	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	47.9	35
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	76.4	37	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	41.4	29
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	57.8	50	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	21.7	74
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	71.1	46	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.9	27
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	67.1	36	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	50.7	28
1.2.2 Rule of law*	60.1	43	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	22.3	25
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	18.8	79	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	23.0	66
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	30.6	109	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	37.1	94
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	27.1	122	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	45.9	74
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	34.1	48	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	38
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	77
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	36
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	42.5	36	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	40.8	33
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.1	31	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.2	32
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.6	58	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.3	38
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.8	49	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.9	42
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.0	36	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.9	46
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	512.8	9	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	50.8	25
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.1	20	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	31.8	38
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	29.7	70	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	24.4	38
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	69.2	35	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.5	23
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	19.4	72	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	42
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.9	58	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	33
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	36.8	32	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	28.0	31
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	3,292.2	29	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	36.8	26
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.4	31	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	33.5	43
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	48.2	30	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	20.9	20
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	30.5	41	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.6	67
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	47
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.3	34
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	34.1	39
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	51.9	43	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	37.4	35
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	86.4	22	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	38
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.3	42	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	67.0	27
3.1.2 ICT use*	73.0	48	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	6.4	31
3.1.3 Government's online service*	85.9	22	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.0	44
3.1.4 E-participation*	96.4	9	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	29.8	38
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	37.1	43	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	38.6	39
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,097.0	51	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	70.0	23
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	69.3	27	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	33.9	67
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	18.3	106	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	42.6	38
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	32.2	48	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.2	23
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	12.1	49	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	25.7	45
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	50.6	39	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.1	25
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	40	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.5	52
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	11.2	32
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.2	35
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	4.8	13
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	33.5	61	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	16.4	34
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	22.2	81	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	7.2	45
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	45.9	23	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	26.7	26
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	50.0	70	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	20.3	32
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.2	50	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.2	37
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.6	83			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	27.5	50			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	67			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	80			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	74			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	73.7	15			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	99.0	6			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,412.3	19			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Portugal







32

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
31	32	High	EUR	10.2	376.1	36,543

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>		62.5	47	 <b>Business sophistication</b>		38.6	34
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	77.7	25	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	50.1	30
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	42.7	26
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	71.7	31	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	29.0	58 ○
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	76.7	34	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.9	24
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	65.7	38	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	52.2	26
1.2.2	Rule of law*	76.8	23	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	21.1	28
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.0	69 ○	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	30.6	38
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	33.0	102 ○ ◇	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	55.5	30
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	49.5	64 ○	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	53.1	41
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	16.5	62 ○ ◇	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	34
 <b>Human capital and research</b>		49.4	22	5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	57
2.1	<b>Education</b>	63.7	18 ●	5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	30
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.7	53 ○	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	35.2	49
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	27.4	15 ● ◆	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.9	46
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	16.9	19 ●	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	9.4	48
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	492.0	26	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.5	65
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.2	21	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	3.2	40
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	44.0	27	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	41.3	33
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	67.9	39	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		33.3	35
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	27.8	28	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	29.4	31
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	9.7	27	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	27
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	40.3	27	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	30
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	5,214.8	15 ●	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	54 ○
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.6	24	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	53.4	9 ● ◆
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	45.4	34	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	33.1	30
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	30.9	40	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	39.5	27
 <b>Infrastructure</b>		53.4	39	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-0.3	96 ○
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	82.4	36	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	5.5	27
3.1.1	ICT access*	90.7	40	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.6	6 ● ◆
3.1.2	ICT use*	73.2	47 ○	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.6	22
3.1.3	Government's online service*	83.5	35	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	30.5	42
3.1.4	E-participation*	82.1	41	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	30.9	49
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	41.1	37	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	49
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,070.9	42	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	56.5	38
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	74.0	23	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	3.9	44
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.6	95 ○	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	3.0	46
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	36.8	38	 <b>Creative outputs</b>		38.1	25
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	15.7	20 ●	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	51.2	19 ●
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	50.4	41	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	55.2	47 ○
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	34	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	97.7	14 ● ◆
 <b>Market sophistication</b>		38.8	42	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	44.7	37
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	40.1	29	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.1	15 ●
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	42.4	34 ○	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	23.6	51
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	101.2	26	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.7	41
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	5.1	22
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	10.1	57 ○	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	32.4	22
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	29.1	48 ○	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.1	41
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	33	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.6	35
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	38	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	26.5	28
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	64 ○	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	19.6	29
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	66.2	27	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	59.6	14 ●
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	19.2	34
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	100.0	1 ●	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.4	50
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	376.1	51				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.






Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
67	38	High	NAWA	2.9	273.9	100,037

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	74.1	25		<b>Business sophistication</b>	25.4	73
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	72.8	36	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	15.5	110
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	76.4	37	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	21.9	70
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	69.3	34	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	69.5	52	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	67
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	66.2	37	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	9.3	76
1.2.2	Rule of law*	72.0	28	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	5.3	92
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	23.2	101	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	28.0	45
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	80.1	7	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	66.8	12
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	74.5	12	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	54.8	38
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	85.7	5	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	90
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	30
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	34.5	56	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	32.9	56
2.1	<b>Education</b>	45.9	76	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	122
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.2	103	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	7.4	83
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	4.5	8
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	12.6	86	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	-1.5	125
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	413.5	60	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	16.1	56
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.5	45				
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	50.3	10		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	19.7	69
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	20.8	96	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	8.6	83
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	21.1	60	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	85
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	37.5	1	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	64
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	7.2	65	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	577.3	64	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.4	73
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	60	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	11.3	66
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	32.1	51
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	13.6	58	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	0.1	87
				6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	6.3	23
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	57.1	29	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.3	33
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	75.4	60	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.5	69
3.1.1	ICT access*	95.1	11	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	37.7	31
3.1.2	ICT use*	75.2	41	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	18.4	78
3.1.3	Government's online service*	65.9	76	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113
3.1.4	E-participation*	65.5	77	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	31.8	79
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	76.2	1	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	1.0	73
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	17,621.9	5	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	3.4	34
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	66.0	29				
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	n/a	n/a		<b>Creative outputs</b>	20.4	59
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	19.6	97	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	34.8	50
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	6.1	112	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	42.2	61
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	33.0	97	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.9	118
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	47	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	106.5	19
				7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	37.7	47	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	10.1	82
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	49.5	19	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.4	60
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	46.3	21	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	138.9	15	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	11.7	31
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.8	59
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	10.6	54	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	112
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	98.1	16	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	2.0	86
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	48	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.5	59
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	98	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	2.5	65
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	96	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.7	90
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	53.0	76	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	84
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.5	78				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	76.1	74				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	273.9	60				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Republic of Korea

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
4	16	High	SEAO	51.3	2,503.4	48,309

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	70.5	31		<b>Business sophistication</b>	58.0	9
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	81.9	18	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	75.2	3 ●◆
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	39.2	32 ◇
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	80.2	18	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	67.7	59 ◇	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	3.8	2 ●◆
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	70.7	32 ◇	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	76.6	4 ●◆
1.2.2	Rule of law*	76.8	24	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	20.7	30
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.4	111 ○◇	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	47.9	18
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	61.9	31	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	65.7	14
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	54.0	52 ◇	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	62.8	20
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	69.8	14	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	72 ○◇
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	34 ◇
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.4	2 ●◆
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	66.4	1 ●◆	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	50.9	14
2.1	<b>Education</b>	65.1	13	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.6	20
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.5	61	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	18.4	12
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	31.0	9 ●◆	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	97 ○◇
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	16.5	25	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.6	112 ○◇
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	519.7	6	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	81.8	1 ●◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.9	49		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	54.7	10
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	47.3	18	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	67.0	8
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	98.4	4 ●◆	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	77.9	1 ●◆
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	29.6	19 ●◆	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.3	1 ●◆
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.3	66 ○◇	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	10
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	86.8	1 ●◆	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	31.6	29
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	8,713.6	1 ●◆	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	45.9	17
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	4.8	2 ●◆	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	42.1	18
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	90.4	4 ●◆	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	1.6	45
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	75.7	9	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
				6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.2	66 ◇
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	60.3	13	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.6	51
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	95.6	1 ●◆	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	56.3	8
3.1.1	ICT access*	95.0	12	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	55.1	11
3.1.2	ICT use*	87.4	4 ●◆	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.2	18
3.1.3	Government's online service*	100.0	1 ●◆	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	89.7	4 ●◆
3.1.4	E-participation*	100.0	1 ●◆	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	28.8	6 ●◆
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	58.7	9	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	1.2	84 ○
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	11,243.0	13		<b>Creative outputs</b>	55.1	4 ●◆
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	72.6	25	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	85.7	1 ●◆
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	32.4	14 ●◆	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	63.8	36
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	26.7	60 ◇	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	116.2	7 ●◆
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	7.7	97 ○	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	203.4	5 ●◆
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	46.9	49 ◇	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	27.6	1 ●◆
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	37	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	33.9	20
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	48.0	21	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.8	37
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	54.8	12	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	8.1	11
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	46.7	20	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	50.7	13
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	164.8	7	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.3	95 ○◇
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	5.0	12 ●◆
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	16.6	39 ◇	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	15.1	37 ◇
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	101.6	15	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	8.7	42 ◇
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	34 ◇	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	8.0	43 ◇
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	65 ○◇	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	25.5	27
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	45 ◇	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.3	14
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	72.7	17				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.5	94 ○◇				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	97.3	16				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	2,503.4	14				



NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



# Republic of Moldova

# 56

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
46	78	Upper middle	EUR	4.0	36.9	14,258








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	47.3	98	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	24.8	79
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	52.7	92	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	32.4	63
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	31.3	48
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	39.9	95	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	38.1	37
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	54.8	95	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	74 ○
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	46.0	71	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 15.5	71
1.2.2 Rule of law*	35.4	87	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	15.4	48
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	23.7	102	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	16.3	116 ○
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	34.4	[97]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	35.4	101 ○
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	34.4	107 ○	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	35.5	114 ○ ◇
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	71
<b>Human capital and research</b>	31.8	62	5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78
<b>2.1 Education</b>	57.1	51	5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	58
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.4	13 ● ◆	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	25.6	88
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	24.8	23 ●	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	66
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.4	64	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.8	57
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	424.4	51	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.8	47
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.4	33	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.7	53
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	36.3	45	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 6.2	67 ○
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	58.0	54	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	26.8	49
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	25.4	38	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	27.1	33 ◆
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	6.6	39	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.5	30
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.2	86	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	75
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	788.6	57	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.5	1 ● ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.2	85	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.3	103
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	5.1	95
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	28.0	64
<b>Infrastructure</b>	38.3	84	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.9	19 ●
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	72.2	68	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	79.2	87	6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	87
3.1.2 ICT use*	58.2	78	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	78
3.1.3 Government's online service*	75.3	52	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	19.9	64
3.1.4 E-participation*	76.2	55	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	25.4	57
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	21.3	102	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	74
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,358.8	74	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	38.0	66
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	19.1	106 ○ ◇	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.8	81
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.3	58	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	6.7	13 ● ◆
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	21.3	88	<b>Creative outputs</b>	27.0	43
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	7.7	98	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	44.8	29
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	42.7	62	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	87	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	114.8	8 ● ◆
<b>Market sophistication</b>	34.3	58	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	38.6	33 ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.0	13 ● ◆
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	12.1	[76]
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	27.8	100	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.9	34
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	4.7	5 ● ◆	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	8.1	[58]	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	74
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	98
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 0.0	52	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	6.6	54
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	54	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.0	76
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	56.2	68	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	2.9	64
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.3	14 ●	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	5.5	53
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	76.1	75	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.8	22 ● ◆
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	36.9	118 ○			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Romania

49

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
43	56	Upper middle	EUR	19.1	653.9	33,833

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	54.1	75	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	31.4	51
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	57.9	71	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	35.1	58
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	27.2	53
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	45.0	84	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	20.5	78 ○
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	77.5	32 ◆	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.3	49
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	54.5	55	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	54.6	21 ◆
1.2.2 Rule of law*	55.6	47 ◆	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	12.7	61
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ●◆	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.1	92
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	26.8	116 ○◇	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	39.5	82
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	32.2	114 ○	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	46.5	72
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	21.4	58 ○	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	47
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	93
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	63
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	29.0	74	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	38.9	40
<b>2.1 Education</b>	45.8	77	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.9	45
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.3	99 ○	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.9	27
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	17.6	66	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	3.2	14 ●◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.2	68	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.5	59
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	427.8	49	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	27.2	47
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.8	47	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	34.8	31 ◆
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	38.0	41	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	11.0	72
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	51.4	63	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	50
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	29.1	22 ◆	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	79
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.7	44	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	57
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	3.2	78	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.3	63
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	952.9	52	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	18.9	43
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	64	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	48.6	8 ●◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	5.5	4 ●◆
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	6.2	24
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	49
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	54.8	33 ◆	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.1	17 ●
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	78.9	50	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	43.5	23 ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.0	47	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	44.8	24 ●◆
3.1.2 ICT use*	72.3	50 ◆	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	53
3.1.3 Government's online service*	72.4	61	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	69.8	22 ●◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	81.0	46	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	7.1	26
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	33.3	54	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	7.1	11 ●◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,863.1	65	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	20.7	57
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	49.8	47	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	28.5	63
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.9	45	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	49.8	51
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	52.1	6 ●◆	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	41.1	59
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	15.9	19 ●◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	18.4	47
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	56.0	29 ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	53
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.0	10 ●◆	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	16.9	63
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.8	15 ●◆
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	33.2	63	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.6	51
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	30.5	54	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	6.8	39
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	34.6	50	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	51
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	26.1	105 ○	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.9	47
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	3.4	9 ●	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	8.9	46
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.3	101 ○	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	4.8	53
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	9.8	75 ○	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	13.4	36
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	75 ○	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	9.9	45 ◆
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	81 ○	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.5	49
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	95 ○			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	66.8	25			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	95.6	23			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	653.9	35			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ◎ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Russian Federation

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
50	46	Upper middle	EUR	145.9	4,447.5	30,431

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	48.7	89
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	57.0	74
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	63.6	81
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	50.4	68
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	55.9	91
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	34.0	98 ◊
1.2.2 Rule of law*	26.4	108 ◊
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.3	73
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	33.3	101 ◊
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	42.1	88
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	24.6	56

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>	47.0	27 ◆
<b>2.1 Education</b>	54.8	[58]
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.7	52
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	15.8	40
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	481.3	31 ◆
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	48.1	16 ◆◆
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	86.4	16 ◆◆
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	31.4	14 ◆◆
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	5.0	50
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	38.1	29 ◆
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,721.7	32 ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.1	38 ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	40.9	36 ◆
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	47.9	22 ◆◆

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>	44.3	62
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	83.1	34 ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	86.6	65
3.1.2 ICT use*	76.9	31 ◆
3.1.3 Government's online service*	81.8	39
3.1.4 E-participation*	86.9	27
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	33.9	51
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,519.9	25 ◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	33.0	74
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	23.5	63
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.1	122 ◊
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	4.9	122 ◊
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	37.5	82
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	103 ◊

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>	37.4	48
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	18.6	90
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	29.6	61 ◊
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	60.0	58
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.3	47 ◊
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	5.0	77
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	42.8	40
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	72
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	100 ◊
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	75
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	88.6	5 ◆◆
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.1	85
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	92.1	42
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	4,447.5	1 ◆◆

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>	35.4	44
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	43.1	43
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	45.9	20 ◆◆
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	11.8	95 ◊
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.6	35
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	29.2	61
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	26.5	13 ◆◆
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	22.1	70
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	46.5	56
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	49.1	54
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	64
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	73
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	45
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	40.9	32 ◆
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.7	17 ◆◆
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.8	44
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.7	49
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.0	101 ◊
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	46.5	30 ◆

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	26.6	51
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	30.2	30 ◆
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.9	17 ◆◆
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	46
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.2	9
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.3	85
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	37.7	25 ◆
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	26.1	70
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.0	35
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.3	55
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	39
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	105 ◊
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	22.8	56
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	23.6	65
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.3	37 ◆
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	44.5	52
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.9	60
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.7	70

	Score/Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>	25.3	48
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	40.0	35
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	56.7	45
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	83.3	18 ◆
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	47.0	35
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	63
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	10.8	80
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.1	27
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.2	55
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	6.5	42
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.6	76 ◊
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.4	67
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	10.4	43
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.4	60
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	13.8	35
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	10.9	42 ◆
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.5	26

NOTES: ◆ indicates a strength; ◊ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◊ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Rwanda

105

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
123	91	Low	SSA	13.3	31.2	2,410

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>68.8</b>		<b>33</b>	<b>◆</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	66.7	47	◆◆
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	76.4	37	◆◆
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	57.1	53	◆◆
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	65.2	65	
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	48.8	65	◆
1.2.2 Rule of law*	48.9	58	◆
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.3	70	
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	74.6	[12]	
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	74.6	11	◆◆
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>17.6</b>		<b>106</b>	
<b>2.1 Education</b>	42.9	87	◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.8	88	
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.4	44	
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	11.2	95	◆
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	16.6	81	◆
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	7.6	114	
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	6.2	121	○
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	13.0	100	○
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.6	61	
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.4	84	◆
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	58.8	94	○
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.8	48	◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	○◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	○◇

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>34.6</b>		<b>95</b>	<b>◆</b>
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	54.2	96	◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	63.2	111	◆
3.1.2 ICT use*	28.8	115	◆
3.1.3 Government's online service*	61.8	85	◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	63.1	82	◆
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	25.4	77	
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	75.2	129	○
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	42.8	56	◆
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.1	60	
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	24.3	76	
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	14.1	31	●
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	32.8	98	
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	114	

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>17.9</b>		<b>115</b>	
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	8.9	116	
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	24.7	109	
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.7	34	
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	18.4	35	◆◆
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	31.0	47	○
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	17	◆◆
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	41	◆◆
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	26.5	119	
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	10.2	119	
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	45.0	103	
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	31.2	122	

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>19.0</b>		<b>113</b>	<b>◆</b>
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	12.2	115	
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	7.1	114	○
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	35.9	42	◆
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	73	◆
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	0.6	96	○
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	3.3	99	◆
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	26.9	47	◆◆
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	34.6	102	
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	44.7	78	◆
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	17	◆◆
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	29	◆◆
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101	○◇
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	18.0	123	○
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	118	○
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.4	66	○
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.6	105	○
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.4	60	
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	5.6	68	

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>9.8</b>		<b>111</b>	
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	7.5	87	
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	95	○
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101	○◇
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	51	
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	19.6	47	◆◆
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.4	111	
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	16.7	102	
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.8	38	●
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.2	57	◆
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	103	
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	124	○
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	7.3	93	
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	5.2	121	
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	93	
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	n/a	n/a	
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.5	90	◆
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.7	94	








Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>1.9</b>		<b>126</b>	<b>○</b>
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	3.2	119	
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a	
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.8	102	
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77	○◇
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	104	
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	0.8	[126]	
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	100	
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a	
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a	
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a	
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	99	○
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.5	110	
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.2	118	
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.2	111	
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.2	97	◆
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Saudi Arabia

51

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
65	37	High	NAWA	35.3	1,734.2	48,908








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	60.6	50	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	31.0	[53]
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	52.8	90	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	n/a	[n/a]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	52.7	120	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	n/a	n/a
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	52.8	60	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	60.4	79	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	51.5	59	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	52.3	54	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	23.7	103	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	33.9	33
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	68.8	22	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	53.8	33
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	72.0	15	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	65.7	13
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	65.5	17	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	64
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	40
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	45.6	30	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	28.1	[75]
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.9	25	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	n/a	n/a
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	7.8	3	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.7	58
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.8	94
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.1	34	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.6	110
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	386.2	71	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.0	56			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	34.8	49	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	21.0	65
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	70.6	34	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	18.7	50
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	23.3	45	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	58
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	4.3	54	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	34
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	40.2	28	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	453.2	71	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	21.1	42
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	62	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	25.8	37
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	65.9	18	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	19.0	97
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	47.4	23	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-3.8	115
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.6	89
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	36
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	96
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	36.0	36
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	48.0	53	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	25.3	59
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	80.1	47	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	97.0	6	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	56.2	39
3.1.2 ICT use*	82.9	13	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.7	82
3.1.3 Government's online service*	68.8	71	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.8	90
3.1.4 E-participation*	71.4	66			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	44.7	28	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	19.5	66
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	11,250.1	12	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	32.2	56
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	44.7	54	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	64.9	32
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.9	55	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.5	103
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	19.2	99	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	105.4	20
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	7.5	99	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	93
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	37.9	79	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	12.1	75
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	95	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	98
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	16.5	28
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.2	38
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.2	73
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	47.0	22	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	1.7	89
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	32.4	44	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.7	68
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	45.6	25	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.9	90
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	54.0	66	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	1.3	95
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	74
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	42.4	14			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	237.9	4			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	49			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	74			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	7			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	66.3	26			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.2	87			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	87.3	53			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,734.2	17			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Senegal








99

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
105	93	Lower middle	SSA	17.2	63.6	3,699

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	58.1	60	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	16.6	124
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	61.3	59	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	6.2	127
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	72.7	46	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	4.6	120
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	49.9	70	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	17.4	87
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	62.9	72	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	39.7	88	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	2.1	88
1.2.2 Rule of law*	38.9	77	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.0	116
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.8	59	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	18.8	101
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	50.1	[58]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	43.0	70
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	50.1	62	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	39.8	106
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	51
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	106
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	81
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	18.2	103	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	24.6	90
<b>2.1 Education</b>	39.1	99	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.1	100
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.5	26	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	6.3	105
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.5	52	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.4	67
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	9.0	112	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	4.7	20
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	23.3	102	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.4	97
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	12.8	104	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	4.5	112
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	14.0	105	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	93
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	77
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	7.0	36	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	68
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	2.8	80	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.5	92
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	564.3	65	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	5.8	92
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.6	55	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	22.0	81
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.5	49
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.5	97
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	68
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	30.4	105	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	100
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	48.6	105	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	22.1	59
3.1.1 ICT access*	63.8	109	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	10.9	100
3.1.2 ICT use*	36.9	106	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	63
3.1.3 Government's online service*	49.4	107	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	22.8	97
3.1.4 E-participation*	44.0	110	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	118
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	20.8	104	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.1	62
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	319.6	116	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	5.3	112
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	9.3	119	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	4.6	116
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	34.1	10	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	21.9	83	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.2	111
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.5	54	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	14.5	52
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	33.9	96	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	83
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	111	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	11.8	[78]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.8	36
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	31.2	69	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	28.8	57	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	67
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	29.2	95	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	110
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	3.3	10	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.4	111
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	25.4	29	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.0	94
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.2	110
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.5	111
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	33	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	110
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	12			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	39.5	101			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	9.1	112			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	76.0	76			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	63.6	95			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
58	55	Upper middle	EUR	8.7	146.6	21,243

	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	60.3	53	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	27.5	65
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	59.7	65	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	29.2	69
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	28.3	51
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	50.4	69	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	38.3	36
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	72.3	43	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.3	47
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	47.9	67	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	2.1	87 ○ ◇
1.2.2 Rule of law*	41.3	72	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	15.2	49
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ● ◆	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	22.0	73
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	49.0	[62]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	41.5	74
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	49.0	65	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	43.3	85
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	39
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	82
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	49
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	35.5	52	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	31.4	59
<b>2.1 Education</b>	56.9	52	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.1	34
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.6	92 ○	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.1	92
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	32.4	8 ● ◆	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	2.2	33
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.4	65	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	7.6	13 ● ◆
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	442.5	44	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	9.9	62 ○
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	7.6	6 ● ◆			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	42.6	29 ◆	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	30.3	42
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	68.1	38	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	20.6	44
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	30.5	16 ◆	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	61
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	4.7	53	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	57
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	6.9	66	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	32
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	2,167.1	39 ◆	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	43.7	17 ● ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.9	44	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	15.5	53
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	34.5	41
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	2.4	29
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.0	60
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	106 ○ ◇
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	24.4	4 ● ◆
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	24.4	51
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	53.6	38 ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	35.8	41 ◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	81.1	43	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	40 ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	91.3	35	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	59.2	34
3.1.2 ICT use*	71.7	53	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.5	54
3.1.3 Government's online service*	79.4	42	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	5.9	17 ● ◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	82.1	41			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	32.2	57	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	17.1	76
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,405.2	40 ◆	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	21.6	74
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	36.7	64	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	35.4	64 ○
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.4	57	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	30.9	76
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	47.5	16 ● ◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	7.9	93	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	61
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	43.9	59	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	18.2	59
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.8	2 ● ◆	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.8	13 ● ◆
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.6	65 ○
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.0	48
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.6	61
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	27.5	[83]	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	6.8	53
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	15.8	[97]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	1.5	88
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	5.7	51
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	45.5	75	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	7.6	49
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.3	30
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	3.0	[94]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	8.2	76 ○			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	63.7	35			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.4	19 ●			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	97.2	18			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	146.6	77			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Singapore

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
14	1	High	SEAO	5.9	615.3	107,677

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
Institutions		95.9	1 ●◆
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	100.0	1 ●◆
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	100.0	1 ●◆
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	100.0	1 ●◆
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	98.7	1 ●◆
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	100.0	1 ●◆
1.2.2	Rule of law*	94.9	4
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	8.0	1 ●
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	89.0	[2]
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	89.0	3 ●◆
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
Human capital and research		61.5	7
2.1	<b>Education</b>	59.0	43
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	2.5	117 ○◇
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.5	53 ○
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	16.5	24
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	556.5	2 ●◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.3	43
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	66.3	2 ●◆
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	91.1	10
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	○ 35.4	9 ●◆
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	○ 19.2	7
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	59.2	17
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	○ 7,287.3	5 ●◆
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	○ 1.9	19
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	49.2	28
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	69.4	12

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
Infrastructure		61.4	11
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	92.5	6
3.1.1	ICT access*	99.6	1 ●◆
3.1.2	ICT use*	76.4	32 ○◇
3.1.3	Government's online service*	96.5	5
3.1.4	E-participation*	97.6	6
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	56.0	12
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	9,388.4	15
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	90.7	7
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	23.2	66 ○
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	35.5	41
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	15.7	21
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	50.9	37
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	52

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
Market sophistication		68.4	4 ●◆
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	50.3	[17]
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	132.7	17
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	92.5	3 ●◆
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	187.0	6 ●◆
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	1 ●◆
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	1 ●◆
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	1 ●◆
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.4	43
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	0.0	3 ●◆
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	73.8	80 ○◇
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	615.3	37

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
Business sophistication		65.7	2 ●◆
5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	69.6	6
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	○ 59.9	2 ●◆
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	○ 1.2	21
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	55.3	20
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	○ 28.1	6
5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	54.3	10
5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	68.8	7
5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	67.6	10
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	37
5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	6
5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	14
5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	73.3	1 ●◆
5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	2.9	9
5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	24.9	6 ●◆
5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	3.2	13
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	26.7	5 ●◆
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	○ 52.2	21








Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
Knowledge and technology outputs		49.3	13
6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	38.8	24
6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.2	25
6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	12
6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	27.8	32
6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	39.0	22
6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	50.0	7 ●◆
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	2.9	18 ●◆
6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	10.0	14
6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.3	50 ○
6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.8	47
6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	74.7	1 ●◆
6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	59.1	8
6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	1.4	17
6.3.2	Production and export complexity	88.5	5
6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	29.4	5 ●◆
6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	2.8	47

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
Creative outputs		38.5	21
7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	34.1	53 ○◇
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	46.2	55 ○◇
7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.9	89 ○◇
7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	134.9	11
7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	76 ○
7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	40.4	8
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	4.6	1 ●◆
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.7	49 ○◇
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	40.2	20
7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	84 ○◇
7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	3.8	16
7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	45.6	9
7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	28.3	23
7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	12.0	37 ○◇
7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	100.0	1 ●◆
7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	42.0	4 ●◆

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
45	54	High	EUR	5.5	194.1	35,547








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	55.5	68	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	33.3	45
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	72.5	37	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	46.7	36
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	83.6	16	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	37.6	37
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	61.4	43	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	43.3	27
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	71.4	45	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.5	40
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	64.5	39	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	43.7	36
1.2.2 Rule of law*	63.7	38	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	18.4	37
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	18.8	81	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	22.3	69
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	22.5	122	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	37.5	92
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	36.4	102	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	44.6	79
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	8.6	69	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	30
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	112
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	50
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	33.1	59	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	31.0	61
<b>2.1 Education</b>	52.8	64	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.7	57
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.0	77	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	12.3	21
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	20.5	54	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.3	75
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	14.5	61	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.3	90
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	469.4	38	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	24.6	50
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.0	39	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	36.1	28
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	32.1	63	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	22.6	43
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	46.4	69	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	52
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	22.2	55	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	53
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	9.0	29	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.9	12
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	14.4	48	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	26.9	34
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	3,164.3	30	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	17.0	50
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.9	43	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	48.2	10
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.0	61
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	16.8	55	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	5.1	29
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	41
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	52.5	41	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.2	11
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	75.9	56	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	61.5	3
3.1.1 ICT access*	90.0	45	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	37.6	34
3.1.2 ICT use*	71.5	54	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	72
3.1.3 Government's online service*	71.8	63	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	75.6	14
3.1.4 E-participation*	70.2	70	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	8.8	21
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	31.2	60	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.9	66
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,183.2	41	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	18.8	70
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	45.6	51	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	14.6	90
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	18.6	101	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-114.2	78
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	50.3	11	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	56.6	43
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	10.8	63	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	2.7	74
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	60.0	18	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	39
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.1	7	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	31.5	23
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.3	65
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	31.2	70	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	5.7	19
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	33.6	41	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	42.9	32	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	82
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	67.2	51	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	6.9	9
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	14.7	38
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.0	104	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	3.3	61
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	5.6	77	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	31.8	22
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	66	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	14.9	36
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	86	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.9	43
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	83			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	58.0	62			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	79.4	70			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	194.1	69			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Slovenia

33

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
35	30	High	EUR	2.1	90.9	43,206








		Score/Value	Rank			Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>		67.4	37	 <b>Business sophistication</b>		46.2	29
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	77.4	26	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	63.7	15 ●
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	80.0	30	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	47.5	14 ●
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	74.8	25	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	44.0	25
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	82.8	25	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.6	14
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	68.0	34	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	61.5	13
1.2.2	Rule of law*	73.7	26	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	25.7	17
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.7	35	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	36.8	31
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	42.0	83 ○	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	50.3	46
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	46.0	80 ○ ◇	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	47.7	68
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	38.0	42	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.3	10 ●
 <b>Human capital and research</b>		47.7	25	5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	40
2.1	<b>Education</b>	61.6	29	5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	25
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.9	43 ○	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	38.0	43
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	23.5	32	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.6	64
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	17.7	15	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	7.0	93 ○
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	503.7	11	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	1.8	45
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	14.8	73 ◇	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.6	57
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	44.5	26	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	60.7	11
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	77.9	23	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		38.5	26
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	28.6	23	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	39.6	23
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	6.7	38	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.4	19 ○
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	37.1	31	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.1	27
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	4,932.3	16	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.1	17	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	59.7	3 ● ◆
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	52.0	26	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	18.8	44
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	11.3	61 ◇	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	37.6	32
 <b>Infrastructure</b>		57.6	24	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	1.4	53
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	85.4	27	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.4	52
3.1.1	ICT access*	94.6	13 ●	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.1	89 ○ ◇
3.1.2	ICT use*	76.1	35	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	22.4	7 ● ◆
3.1.3	Government's online service*	85.3	24	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	41.4	26
3.1.4	E-participation*	85.7	29	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	38.2	33
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	42.6	32	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	44
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	8,047.6	18	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	80.0	9 ●
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	58.6	34	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	6.5	30
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	22.5	75 ○	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	1.9	67
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	44.9	23	 <b>Creative outputs</b>		23.3	56
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	11.2	59	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	20.2	80 ○ ◇
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	67.3	7 ●	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	-27.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.1	17	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	68.1	29 ○
 <b>Market sophistication</b>		32.1	68	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	7.7	62 ◇
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	28.7	58	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.7	38
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	42.5	33	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	27.5	38
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	43.4	78 ○ ◇	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.1	28
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	8.1	10 ●
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	3.8	89 ○ ◇	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	14.6	66 ○ ◇	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.5	23
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	82 ○	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.9	45
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	60	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	25.5	29
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	80 ○	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	21.1	28
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	63.6	36	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	28.7	24
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	28.6	24
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	98.6	8 ●	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	23.6	11 ●
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	90.9	88 ○				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# South Africa

# 61

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
61	69	Upper middle	SSA	60.0	861.9	14,239








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	51.9	81	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	27.6	63
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	59.0	66	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	25.0	78
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	21.4	72
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	56.2	55	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	7.9	96 ◊
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	72.0	44	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙	0.3 48
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	50.0	63	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙	41.5 41
1.2.2 Rule of law*	43.1	68	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.0	74
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	9.3	24 ●◆	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	26.1	51
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	24.8	119 ◊	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	49.3	49
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	32.6	111 ◊	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	45.6	76
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	17.1	60 ◊	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙	0.1 40
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	35 ◆
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	42
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	26.9	81	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	31.8	58
<b>2.1 Education</b>	50.0	68	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.6	19 ●◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	6.2	17 ●◆	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.6	46
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	24.0	26	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.2	77
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	13.6	74	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.2	94
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙	18.6 55
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	25.1	106 ◊			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	17.6	96 ◊	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	24.7	56
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	23.9	92 ◊	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	17.7	52
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙	18.3 84 ◊	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	72
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.5	65	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	44
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	13.2	50	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	484.3 70	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	20.6	45
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	0.6 54	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	31.2	31 ●◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	36.3	35
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	31.4	39	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.7	43
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	12.5	9 ●◆
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	40.7	77	6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	27 ●◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	72.5	67	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.4	62
3.1.1 ICT access*	83.5	74	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	20.9	62
3.1.2 ICT use*	56.9	83	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	20.1	73
3.1.3 Government's online service*	74.7	55	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	55
3.1.4 E-participation*	75.0	57	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	42.3	59
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	31.4	59	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	2.2	57
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,956.5	54	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.6	97 ◊
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	61.9	32 ◆			
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	13.5	122 ◊	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	19.5	64
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	18.3	104 ◊	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	34.3	52
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	5.1	120 ◊	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	63.6	37
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	37.2	84	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	27.9	79
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	65	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	84.8	26 ●◆
			7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	62
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	40.4	39	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	5.5	99 ◊
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	31.4	48	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	75
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	35.6	48	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.6	66 ◊
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	107.9	23 ●◆	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	6.6	41
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.3	23	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	31.7	25 ●◆	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.8	51
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	266.5	1 ●◆	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	4.1	65
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	36	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.9	65
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	40	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	9.3	41
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	59	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.8	74
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	58.1	61	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	75
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.4	88			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	83.6	64			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	861.9	31 ●			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ◊ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◊ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Spain







29

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
26	28	High	EUR	46.7	1,984.0	42,075

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	66.8	38		<b>Business sophistication</b>	41.4	32
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	71.8	41	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	55.8	24
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	74.5	42	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	35.5	43
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	69.0	35	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	55.2	14
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	74.1	39	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.8	32
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	64.1	41	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	49.1	33
1.2.2	Rule of law*	69.5	36	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	24.6	21
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.4	75 ○	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	29.6	40
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	54.4	49	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	43.6	66 ○
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	48.3	69 ○	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	59.0	30
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	60.5	22	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.1	35
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	45
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	31
				5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	38.9	39
				5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.4	28
				5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	8.0	72 ○
				5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	2.3	30
				5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.8	50
				5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	38.0	36
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	47.7	26		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	38.1	27
2.1	<b>Education</b>	58.1	46	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	37.4	26
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.2	71 ○	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	40
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.7	60 ○	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	29
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	17.9	13 ●	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	13 ◆
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	482.3	29	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	38.8	24
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	11.3	41	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	61.7	12 ●
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	36.5	43	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	39.8	25
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	92.9	9 ●	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-0.9	104 ○ ◇
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	20.8	61 ○	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.5	50
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	3.7	60 ○	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.6	5 ◆
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	48.5	23	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.7	18
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	3,109.2	31	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	38.0	30
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.4	30	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	37.1	38
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	70.6	13 ●	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.7	25
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	44.3	26	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	60.6	32
				6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	4.4	40
				6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	3.0	42
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	59.8	16 ●		<b>Creative outputs</b>	36.8	28
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	86.2	23	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	50.6	22
3.1.1	ICT access*	91.5	34	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	65.5	29
3.1.2	ICT use*	80.0	22	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	52.3	44
3.1.3	Government's online service*	88.8	17 ●	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	86.2	25
3.1.4	E-participation*	84.5	36	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.6	12 ● ◆
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	45.6	27	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	26.1	43
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	5,465.9	39	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.2	24
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	82.8	17 ●	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	7.7	12
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	21.1	85 ○	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	28.4	24
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	47.5	17 ●	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.2	36
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	14.7	26	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.9	44
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	56.6	27	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	19.9	31
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.2	14 ● ◆	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	28.8	22
				7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	17.2	31
				7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	21.5	31
				7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.1	33
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	43.4	30				
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	41.1	26				
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	41.5	36				
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	108.5	22				
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	12.8	47				
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	55.8	34				
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	37				
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	47				
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	38				
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	76.2	14 ●				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	93.8	29				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,984.0	16 ●				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
68	102	Lower middle	CSA	21.5	311.2	14,123








	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	40.8	119 ○	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	25.8	71
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	57.7	72 ◆	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	23.0	85
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	67.3	71	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙ 24.1	60 ◆
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	48.2	74 ◆	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	⊙ 18.4	84
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	21.3	132 ○ ◇	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.1	71
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	40.5	86	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 40.3	42 ◆◆
1.2.2 Rule of law*	44.9	62 ◆	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙ 3.5	98
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	58.5	131 ○ ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	23.4	64
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	43.3	[79]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	49.0	51 ●
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	43.3	85	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	49.6	52 ●
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	75
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	32 ●◆
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	89
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	13.4	120 ○	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	31.1	60
<b>2.1 Education</b>	33.2	113	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	n/a	n/a
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 1.9	125 ○ ◇	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.4	82
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	6.8	105 ○ ◇	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.8	43 ●◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	⊙ 14.1	71 ◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.1	99
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 20.0	53
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	17.7	86			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	6.5	116 ○	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	21.0	66
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	21.6	95	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	7.4	88
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	60
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.4	101 ○	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	59
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.5	104	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 105.6	88	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.5	110
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 0.1	102 ○	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	10.6	69
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	22.6	79
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.4	52
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙ 0.7	88
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.4	25 ●◆
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.3	63 ◆
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 7.5	92
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	41.8	73 ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	32.9	48 ●◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	67.9	82 ◆	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	81.2	82	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	35.9	73
3.1.2 ICT use*	47.2	96	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.0	72
3.1.3 Government's online service*	71.8	63 ◆	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	6.3	15 ●◆
3.1.4 E-participation*	71.4	66 ◆			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	20.2	107	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	18.9	69
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 746.3	101	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	20.5	77
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	25.6	88	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	35.0	65
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	23.4	64	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙ 22.5	90
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	37.1	37 ●◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	12.3	56
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	22.9	7 ●◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.9	72
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	34.7	92	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	31.2	[24]
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	59 ◆	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	⊙ 2.2	11 ●◆
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.4	64
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	21.0	108	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	3.2	69
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	13.1	105	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.8	99
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.9	87
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	⊙ 49.8	71	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	10.5	43 ●◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	⊙ 0.6	36	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.8	77
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.2	103 ○			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	18.7	62			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	92 ○ ◇			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	94 ○			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	97 ○			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	47.6	86			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	6.3	100			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 76.7	72			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	311.2	55			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Sweden

3

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
2	4	High	EUR	10.2	609.5	57,425





	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	76.6	19	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	69.8	1 ●◆
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	86.1	12	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	77.1	2 ●◆
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	85.5	10	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	56.7	3 ●◆
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	86.7	8	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	61.9	8
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	88.6	13	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	2.6	5
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	86.9	10	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	62.4	12
1.2.2 Rule of law*	92.9	8	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	27.7	9
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	14.4	56 ○	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	68.3	2 ●◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	55.0	48 ◇	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	67.4	10
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	63.7	29	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	64.9	15
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	46.2	36 ○ ◇	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.3	9
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	4 ●◆
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.8	4 ●◆
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	62.6	3 ●	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	64.0	3 ●◆
<b>2.1 Education</b>	72.1	4 ●◆	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	2.9	7
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	7.6	5 ◆	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	8.5	62 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	24.4	24	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	4.7	5 ●◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	19.4	5 ◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.8	52 ○
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	502.5	14	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	71.8	5 ◆
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	12.3	53 ○			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	43.0	28	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	62.9	2 ●◆
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	77.3	25	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	81.6	2 ●◆
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	27.0	31	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.9	8
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	7.2	35	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.3	1 ●◆
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	72.7	7	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	7,930.4	2 ●◆	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	57.7	5 ●◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.5	3 ●	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	59.5	13
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	79.3	10	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	43.7	14
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	61.0	14	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.7	69 ○
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	9.0	16
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.5	13
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.8	48
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	48.8	13
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	67.0	1 ●◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	63.4	6
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	88.1	19	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	3.4	7
3.1.1 ICT access*	93.6	18	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	82.7	8
3.1.2 ICT use*	86.5	6	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	7.8	24
3.1.3 Government's online service*	90.0	15	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	6.7	12
3.1.4 E-participation*	82.1	41			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	68.3	3 ●◆	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	50.7	8
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	15,707.2	7 ◆	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	57.4	13
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	93.0	2 ●	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	86.1	6
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	25.2	53 ○	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	47.2	50 ○
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	44.6	24	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	205.5	4 ●◆
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	11.2	58 ○	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.7	31
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	72.7	5 ◆	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	42.2	6 ◆
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	5.1	21	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	3.5	4 ●◆
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	7.5	14
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	57.5	8
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.8	60 ○
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	1.9	28
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	55.6	13	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	45.8	8
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	50.1	18	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	42.8	16
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	50.3	12	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	63.0	11
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	131.9	18	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	51.2	8
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	26.3	10
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	49.3	11			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	14			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	12			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	8			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	67.4	23			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.5	20			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	98.1	13			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	609.5	38			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Switzerland

1

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
1	3	High	EUR	8.7	677.3	78,112








	Score/ Value	Rank		Score/ Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	89.2	2 ●◆	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	60.7	7
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	89.3	6	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	67.9	10
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	85.5	10	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	50.9	7
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	93.2	2 ●◆	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	92.4	7	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 2.1	8
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	84.6	12	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	64.7	7
1.2.2 Rule of law*	93.5	6	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	20.9	29
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	10.1	30	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	64.3	5 ◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	85.8	4 ◆	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	77.6	3 ●◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	91.5	1 ●◆	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	71.9	3 ●◆
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	80.0	7	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	21
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	8
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.9	3 ●◆
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	62.4	4	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	49.7	16
<b>2.1 Education</b>	61.7	27	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	4.1	1 ●◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙ 4.9	47 ○	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	5.9	109 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	22.6	35	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	3.5	12
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.5	26	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-18.3	131 ○◇
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	498.2	21	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 48.3	28
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	9.7	27			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	47.2	19	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	67.1	1 ●◆
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	63.3	47 ○	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	86.7	1 ●◆
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	25.2	39	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.1	1 ●◆
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	17.8	9	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.0	1 ●◆
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	78.3	3 ●	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙ 5,552.2	12	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	56.9	6 ◆
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙ 3.1	8	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	65.9	10
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	89.9	6	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	51.3	5 ◆
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	84.3	4	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.9	63 ○
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙ 4.6	31
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.7	2 ●◆
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	12.0	25
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙ 67.3	2 ●◆
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	65.7	4 ◆	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	63.4	7
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	88.7	17	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	6.4	2 ●◆
3.1.1 ICT access*	93.2	21	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	91.7	2 ●◆
3.1.2 ICT use*	88.2	3 ●◆	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	6.7	29
3.1.3 Government's online service*	82.9	36	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	2.4	55 ○
3.1.4 E-participation*	90.5	18			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	54.3	18	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	56.3	1 ●◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	7,915.5	19	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	63.6	8
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	86.0	13	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	81.6	8
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.9	37	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	71.4	25
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	54.0	4 ◆	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	216.2	3 ●◆
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	24.8	5 ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.6	25
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	65.9	9	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	37.1	12
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.6	27	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.6	45 ○
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	3.3	36 ○
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	99.5	2 ●◆
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	⊙ 1.1	39 ○
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.4	21
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	59.8	8	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	61.0	2 ●◆
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	57.9	8	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	59.6	11
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	51.3	10	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	100.0	1 ●◆
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	⊙ 168.5	5	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	69.5	3 ●◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.8	25
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	59.0	10			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	237.6	5 ◆			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	9			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	8			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	27			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	62.4	42			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.4	18			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	⊙ 80.9	69 ○			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	677.3	34			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Tajikistan

104

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
101	104	Lower middle	CSA	9.7	37.9	3,923








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	48.6	91	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	15.6	128
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	45.4	113	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	13.6	[113]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	n/a	n/a
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	34.4	110	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	24.3	66
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	45.0	117	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	19.5	126	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	1.6	91
1.2.2 Rule of law*	14.5	126	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	21.7	95	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	16.1	117
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	55.6	[45]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	38.3	89
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	55.6	48	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	36.4	113
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	97
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	74
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	25.2	85	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	17.0	128
<b>2.1 Education</b>	54.1	[59]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	119
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	5.7	24	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	5.8	111
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.3	126
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	11.4	93	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.2	68
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	15.4	76	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	14.9	84
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	21.1	88	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	17.2	53
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	31.3	84	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	110
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	22.0	56	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.8	91	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.6	5
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.3	111	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.0	116
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	0.5	129
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	107	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	20.6	89
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	5.7	2
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	115
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	94
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	24.8	121	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	132
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	37.2	123	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	2.6	108
3.1.1 ICT access*	66.7	103	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	6.9	115
3.1.2 ICT use*	15.6	129	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	107
3.1.3 Government's online service*	31.8	123	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	24.3	93
3.1.4 E-participation*	34.5	117	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	122
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	17.5	114	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	121
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,218.9	77	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	4.5	116
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	13.5	115	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	2.8	120
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	21.6	82	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	19.7	96	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	14.0	101
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	8.7	85	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	37.1	85	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	121
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	126	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	12.0	[77]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	105
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	25.1	94	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	19.2	86	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.4	24
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	12.9	121	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	119
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	2.4	14	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.2	121
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	5.4	[71]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.0	128
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.3	103
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	118
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	53	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	90
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	66			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	50.6	81			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.9	82			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	76.6	73			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	37.9	115			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.










## Thailand

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
44	48	Upper middle	SEAO	70.0	1,331.0	19,028

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	52.5	78		<b>Business sophistication</b>	35.5	43
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	62.6	56	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	37.6	53
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	69.1	63	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	15.1	90 ◊
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	56.2	54	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	18.0	85 ◊
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	47.0	113 ◊	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.8	30 ◆
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	49.5	64	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	80.8	1 ◆
1.2.2	Rule of law*	49.2	57	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.5	71
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	36.0	125 ◊	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	23.3	65
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	48.0	65	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	52.7	38 ◆
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	44.0	82	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	50.5	47
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	52.0	31	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	80
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	55
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	59
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	29.8	71	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	45.5	24 ◆
2.1	<b>Education</b>	39.2	98	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.8	16 ◆
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.0	110 ◊	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	18.4	13 ◆
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.0	65	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	0.4	119 ◊
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	15.4	46	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.8	105 ◊
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	412.4	61	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	60.8	10 ◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	23.6	103 ◊		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	30.0	43
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	32.3	62	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	20.4	45
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	49.3	65	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	73
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	27.9	27	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	62
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	1.3	85	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.6	8 ◆
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	17.9	44	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.1	79
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,790.1	41 ◆	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	20.9	41
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.1	36 ◆	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	32.1	52
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	1.2	58
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	33.2	37	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.3	76
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	47.7	54	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.2	54
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	80.4	46	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	7.3	37
3.1.1	ICT access*	91.8	30 ◆	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	44.0	22 ◆
3.1.2	ICT use*	72.9	49 ◆	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	37.4	36 ◆
3.1.3	Government's online service*	79.4	42	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	64
3.1.4	E-participation*	77.4	51	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	68.5	23 ◆
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	36.9	44 ◆	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	18.6	8 ◆
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2,667.3	68	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.2	126 ◊
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	63.3	31 ◆		<b>Creative outputs</b>	25.2	49
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	24.9	54	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	35.6	47
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	25.9	64	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	62.0	38
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	9.0	80	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	26.1	83
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	38.1	78	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	71.9	30 ◆
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.8	30	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.3	34
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	45.3	27 ◆	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	26.3	42 ◆
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	55.3	11 ◆	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	103 ◊
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	49.7	14 ◆	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	1.0	59
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	159.8	10 ◆	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	9.7	35 ◆
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.8	65
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	12.5	49	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	8.4	1 ◆
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	103.9	14 ◆	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	3.1	70
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	71	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	5.5	51
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	87 ◊	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.4	100
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	57	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.3	80
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	67.9	21 ◆	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.2	59
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	3.5	77				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	96.8	21				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,331.1	21 ◆				

NOTES: ◆ indicates a strength; ◊ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◊ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
125	115	Low	SSA	8.5	20.0	2,353








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	45.0	108	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	14.4	[129]
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	49.2	97	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	19.9	[95]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	63.6	81	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	14.1	92
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	34.8	109	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	33.7	48
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	59.0	82	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	30.2	102	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	28.9	102	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	0.9	117
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	13.9	52	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	2.8	[130]
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	26.7	[117]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	n/a	n/a
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	n/a	n/a	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	n/a	n/a
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	26.7	54	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	69
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	75
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	14.9	117	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	20.6	107
<b>2.1 Education</b>	36.1	107	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	6.9	96
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.0	76	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	0.7	103
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	15.3	81	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	3.6	32
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	12.7	85	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	26.2	109	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	5.4	126
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	7.8	[112]	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	3.1	117
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	15.4	102	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	90
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	78
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.8	99	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.3	93
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	45.6	96	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	0.8	128
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	83	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	4.8	[122]
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	n/a	n/a
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.9	82
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.1	91
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	26.5	117	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	97
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	46.5	114	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access*	60.1	115	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	8.2	109
3.1.2 ICT use*	24.7	120	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113
3.1.3 Government's online service*	50.0	106	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	19.2	106
3.1.4 E-participation*	51.2	99	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	119
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	18.7	113	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	1.6	76
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	89.1	126	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	5.4	111
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	18.6	107	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	2.8	121
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	26.3	44	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	14.3	129	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.3	107
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	3.8	126	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	34.0	95	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	94
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	81	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	15.7	[65]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.4	19
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	22.9	105	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	31.2	52	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	25.3	68	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	26.6	103	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	117
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	4.1	7	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.2	120
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.6	104
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	118
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	123
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	116
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	14.6	128			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	11.0	122			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	20.0	130			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Trinidad and Tobago

101

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
103	95	High	LCN	1.4	35.9	25,526








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	56.2	66	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	20.5	102
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	62.2	57	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	26.0	75
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	70.9	53	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	29.8	50
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	53.6	58	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	58.7	85	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.0	84
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	41.5	82	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	13.6	72
1.2.2 Rule of law*	42.8	69	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	12.8	60
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	20.5	88	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	18.6	104
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	47.7	[66]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	33.6	107
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	47.7	70	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	42.3	95
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	62
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	39
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	75
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	23.1	88	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	16.9	129
<b>2.1 Education</b>	45.2	81	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.5	67
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.1	73	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	6.4	100
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	18.5	61	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.6	106
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	-0.9	123
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	423.0	54	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	1.4	77
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.3	57			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	n/a	[n/a]	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	14.5	87
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	n/a	n/a	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	3.4	115
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	125
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	74
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.1	94	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	71
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	491.8	69	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.2	99
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	109	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	4.0	105
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	20.4	[91]
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-1.1	105
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	4.5	32
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	80
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	n/a	n/a
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	36.5	87	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	19.6	75
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	67.9	83	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	51
3.1.1 ICT access*	89.1	56	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	44.7	51
3.1.2 ICT use*	59.3	74	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.9	62
3.1.3 Government's online service*	61.2	86	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.2	125
3.1.4 E-participation*	61.9	84			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	24.7	80	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	4.5	117
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	6,564.3	30	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	6.7	112
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	17.2	110	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	25.6	85
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	17.1	112	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	2.0	130	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	88
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	47.8	47	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	1.7	[117]
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	80	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	84
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	14.2	[123]	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	2.8	76
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	15.6	[98]	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	4.3	56
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.1	84
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	45.0	77	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	2.9	73
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	4.8	[81]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	50			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	22.4	124			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	8.6	109			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	n/a	n/a			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	35.9	119			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Tunisia








73

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
59	89	Lower middle	NAWA	11.9	128.8	10,720

		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	48.4	92		<b>Business sophistication</b>	17.9	116 ○
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	52.7	93	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	19.0	99
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	60.0	97	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	⊙	15.9 87
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	45.3	82	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %		19.1 81
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	57.9	87	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙	0.1 59
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	35.8	93	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	⊙	18.9 67
1.2.2	Rule of law*	49.6	56 ◆	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	⊙	8.8 78
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	21.6	93	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	16.5	115 ○
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	34.6	[96]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†		33.8 105
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	34.6	106	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†		39.5 107
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙	0.0 58
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0 88
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0 85
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	37.4	45 ◆	5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	18.1	122 ○
2.1	<b>Education</b>	65.0	14 ◆◆	5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	⊙	0.1 103
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙	7.3 7 ◆◆	5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	⊙	9.3 49
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	⊙	49.4 1 ◆◆	5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	⊙	0.4 115 ○
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	⊙	15.1 49 ◆◆	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		1.9 78
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	⊙	371.4 73 ○	5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	⊙	5.2 69
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		14.4 66				
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	41.8	32 ◆◆		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	25.3	53 ◆
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross		32.8 82	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	23.2	39 ◆◆
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %		38.3 5 ◆◆	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙	1.4 53
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %		3.1 67	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1 61
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	5.4	70	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a n/a
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.		1,659.9 46 ◆◆	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		48.2 12 ◆◆
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	⊙	0.7 49 ◆◆	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index		11.2 67
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		0.0 38 ○◆	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	26.6	68
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*		0.0 72 ○◆	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %		-0.2 94
				6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	⊙	2.0 59
				6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP		0.3 42 ◆
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	37.2	85	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		9.0 32 ◆◆
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	68.4	80 ◆◆	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	⊙	24.3 52
3.1.1	ICT access*		83.5 73 ◆◆	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	26.1	55
3.1.2	ICT use*		58.6 76 ◆◆	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	⊙	0.1 56
3.1.3	Government's online service*		62.4 83	6.3.2	Production and export complexity		50.0 45 ◆◆
3.1.4	E-participation*		69.0 73	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	⊙	4.0 43 ◆◆
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	15.2	121 ○◆	6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	⊙	1.3 79
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙	1,887.2 85				
3.2.2	Logistics performance*		24.2 97		<b>Creative outputs</b>	20.3	61
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP		13.1 123 ○◆	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	37.5	[43]
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	28.1	56 ◆◆	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		44.9 57
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use		10.8 62	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a n/a
3.3.2	Environmental performance*		40.7 71 ◆◆	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		n/a n/a
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.5 36 ◆◆	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	⊙	1.7 51
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	23.9	98	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	4.0	103
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	23.7	75	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		0.0 104 ○
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*		n/a n/a	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		0.2 75 ○
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	⊙	81.7 41 ◆◆	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		0.7 59 ○
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		1.2 24	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing		n/a n/a
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	5.2	74	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	⊙	1.2 39 ◆
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP		20.2 60	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	2.4	80
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0 52	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		2.7 67 ◆◆
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0 54	7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		1.7 72
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0 87 ○	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		4.6 61
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	42.7	95	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.4 86
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	⊙	9.3 116 ○				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	⊙	86.0 56				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		128.8 79				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
33	49	Upper middle	NAWA	85.0	2,873.8	33,963

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	46.8	101 ○	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	32.5	47
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	55.3	81	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	38.1	52
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	61.8	87 ○	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	24.0	63
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	48.8	73	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	30.7	54
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	48.8	109 ○ ◇	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.7	33 ◆
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	44.7	74	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	57.2	17 ◆
1.2.2 Rule of law*	36.8	83	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	11.0	68
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	29.8	119 ○ ◇	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	21.5	75
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	36.4	92	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	43.1	68
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	38.4	99 ○	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	48.9	58
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	34.3	47	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	60
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	116 ○
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	38 ◆
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	38.9	41 ◆	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	37.9	44
<b>2.1 Education</b>	52.5	66	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.9	44
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.3	65	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.2	51
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	13.2	87 ○	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.8	92
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	18.3	11 ◆	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.3	91
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	462.5	41	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	64.9	7 ◆
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	15.5	77			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	33.8	56	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	27.4	47
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	115.0	2 ◆	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	24.6	37
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	15.2	97 ○	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.3	24
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.0	78	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	31
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	30.3	36 ◆	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.4	17
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	1,775.3	42 ◆	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.8	60
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.1	39 ◆	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	29.3	35 ◆
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	48.5	29 ◆	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	34.9	39
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	22.4	46	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.5	10 ◆
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.8	63
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.5	20 ◆
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.4	70
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	31.5	40
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	49.2	48	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	22.8	67
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	82.2	38	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.1	66
3.1.1 ICT access*	87.5	64	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	56.0	40
3.1.2 ICT use*	66.3	60	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	1.9	63
3.1.3 Government's online service*	85.9	22 ◆	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.7	93
3.1.4 E-participation*	89.3	23 ◆			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	39.0	41 ◆	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	41.5	15 ◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,662.7	57	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	72.2	4 ◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	51.2	44	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	72.8	15
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	32.1	16 ◆	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	130.2	6 ◆
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	26.5	61	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	14.8	51
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	16.1	17 ◆	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	16.5	1 ◆
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	26.3	125 ○ ◇	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	13.2	72
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.2	66	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.2	77
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	2.4	44
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	4.3	48 ○
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.7	70
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.6	19
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	41.6	37	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	8.6	48
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	34.9	39	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	11.3	37
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	42.4	35	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	2.2	67
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	75.1	44	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	3.6	68
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.2	17 ◆
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	7.9	61			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	25.5	53			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	76 ○			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	76 ○			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	33			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	81.9	11 ◆			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.8	71			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	99.7	3 ◆			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	2,873.8	11 ◆			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Ukraine

57

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
48	75	Lower middle	EUR	43.5	584.1	14,146

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>		47.4	97
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		46.5	107
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		50.9	121 ○ ◇
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		42.0	90
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		61.6	75
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		37.5	91
1.2.2 Rule of law*		28.7	103
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		13.0	41
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		34.1	[99]
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>		34.1	108
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		n/a	n/a

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>		36.6	49 ◆
<b>2.1 Education</b>		61.9	26 ● ◆
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP		5.4	27 ◆
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap		29.4	12 ● ◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		14.9	56 ◆
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		462.7	40 ◆
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		8.1	11 ● ◆
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		38.3	40 ◆
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		82.7	19 ● ◆
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %		24.3	41
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		4.0	57
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		9.6	59
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.		846.2	54
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		0.4	68
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		0.0	38 ○ ◇
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		20.3	48 ◆

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>		38.7	82 ◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		74.9	63 ◆
3.1.1 ICT access*		86.6	66 ◆
3.1.2 ICT use*		63.8	62 ◆
3.1.3 Government's online service*		68.2	72
3.1.4 E-participation*		81.0	46 ◆
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		19.6	111
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.		3,193.1	60 ◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		36.3	65
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		10.3	125 ○ ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		21.5	86
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		5.4	116 ○ ◇
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		49.6	43 ◆
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.6	78

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>		23.4	102
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		5.3	124 ○
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		n/a	n/a
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		28.4	97
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		0.1	53 ○
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		1.4	107 ○
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP		4.3	78 ○
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	62
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	99 ○ ◇
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	88 ○
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		63.6	37 ◆
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		1.7	52 ◆
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification		88.7	47
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		584.1	39

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>		32.3	48 ◆
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		45.2	42 ◆
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %		37.6	38 ◆
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %		24.3	66
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		0.3	50 ◆
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %		30.5	60
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %		30.2	2 ● ◆
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		21.3	78
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>		41.5	73
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>		39.9	104
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP		0.1	36 ◆
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	122 ○
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	46 ◆
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		30.6	63
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade		0.9	47 ◆
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade		9.4	47
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade		1.2	79
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		2.6	56
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses		27.3	46

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		32.9	36 ◆
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		30.4	29 ◆
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.5	29
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	49 ◆
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		9.3	1 ● ◆
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		8.7	97
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		16.5	51
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		32.8	48 ◆
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		2.7	25
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		1.7	65
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		0.6	9 ● ◆
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		3.0	76
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %		21.0	61
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		35.4	42 ◆
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade		0.1	50
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		48.6	47 ◆
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade		1.9	64
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade		8.5	7 ● ◆

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>		19.8	63
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		29.7	62
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		n/a	n/a
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		70.6	26 ● ◆
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		3.1	71
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		5.9	19 ●
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		8.9	87
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		0.7	43
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		0.5	69 ○
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		n/a	n/a
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing		0.8	64
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade		0.2	82
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		11.0	42 ◆
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		4.5	55 ◆
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		5.1	55 ◆
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		14.3	37 ◆
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		20.1	13 ● ◆

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# United Arab Emirates

# 31

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
52	18	High	NAWA	10.0	699.4	74,245

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>		83.5	6 ●◆
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		75.5	33
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		72.7	46
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		78.3	22
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		85.5	18
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		72.0	30
1.2.2 Rule of law*		69.9	34
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		8.0	1 ●◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		89.4	1 ●◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†		78.8	6 ●◆
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		100.0	1 ●◆

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Human capital and research</b>		55.8	17
<b>2.1 Education</b>		54.8	57
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP		3.9	84 ○
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap		26.6	17
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		15.7	42
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		433.5	47 ◇
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		9.6	25
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		71.5	1 ●◆
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		53.7	60
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %		33.1	13 ●◆
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		73.0	1 ●◆
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		41.2	25
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.		2,442.5	34
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		1.4	28
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		59.6	23
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		36.8	33

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Infrastructure</b>		63.2	7 ●◆
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		90.2	13
3.1.1 ICT access*		98.2	3 ●◆
3.1.2 ICT use*		78.7	25
3.1.3 Government's online service*		90.0	15
3.1.4 E-participation*		94.0	16
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		64.6	4 ●◆
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.		14,170.9	8 ●◆
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		88.8	11 ●◆
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		25.4	52
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		34.8	42
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		12.4	43
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		52.4	34
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		3.0	29

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Market sophistication</b>		46.4	23
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		40.8	27
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		48.9	16
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		88.4	34
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		35.8	20
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP		65.4	28
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	19
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	18
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	11
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		62.5	41
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		3.3	75
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification		93.2	32
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		699.4	33

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Business sophistication</b>		48.2	26
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		50.2	29
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %		41.7	27
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %		n/a	n/a
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		0.8	31
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %		74.3	5 ●◆
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %		8.6	80 ○◇
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		47.8	19
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†		63.1	17
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†		69.9	5 ●◆
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP		n/a	n/a
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	14
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	57 ◇
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		46.6	22
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade		0.7	56
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade		13.9	17
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade		1.4	66
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		4.1	24
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses		77.9	2 ●◆

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>		23.3	59 ◇
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		6.2	97 ○◇
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	113 ○
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	55
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.0	76 ○◇
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		10.0	88 ○◇
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		13.2	59
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		27.1	67
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		0.7	71 ○
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		2.3	53
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		0.3	44
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		5.8	49
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %		26.4	47
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		36.4	40
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade		1.0	21
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		31.4	80 ○◇
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade		10.9	16
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade		2.6	51

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Creative outputs</b>		26.4	45
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		33.5	54
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		63.9	34
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		9.7	110 ○◇
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		131.5	12
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.1	115 ○◇
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		30.3	30
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		n/a	n/a
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		0.8	63 ○◇
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		23.3	26
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing		1.3	31
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade		5.2	11 ●◆
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		8.4	50 ◇
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		11.2	38
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		7.5	44
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		5.1	57 ◇
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		9.8	41

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



# United Kingdom

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
3	7	High	EUR	68.2	3,276.1	48,693

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
		74.5	24

<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	76.9	28	◇
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	74.5	42	◇
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	79.4	19	
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	90.4	11	
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	81.9	15	
1.2.2 Rule of law*	84.9	18	
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	9.3	24	
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	56.0	43	◇
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	59.3	41	◇
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	52.7	30	○

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
		61.5	6 ●

<b>2.1 Education</b>	59.7	40	
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	○ 5.2	36	
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	21.7	40	○
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	17.3	16	
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	503.5	12	
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	16.9	82	○ ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	49.7	11	
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	65.8	43	
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	○ 26.2	33	
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	18.7	8	
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	75.1	6 ●	
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	○ 4,683.8	21	
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	○ 1.7	22	
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	84.3	8	
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	98.5	2 ● ◆	

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
		62.9	8

<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	94.2	2 ● ◆	
3.1.1 ICT access*	95.9	9	
3.1.2 ICT use*	87.3	5 ● ◆	
3.1.3 Government's online service*	95.9	6 ●	
3.1.4 E-participation*	97.6	6	
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	44.2	29	
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	4,633.0	47	○
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	90.2	8	
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	17.1	109	○ ◇
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	50.3	12	
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	17.0	14	
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	77.7	2 ● ◆	
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.0	24	

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
		67.6	5 ● ◆

<b>4.1 Credit</b>	51.4	16	
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	48.3	19	
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	143.7	12	
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	63.2	9	
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	○ 125.8	9	
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	10	
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	6 ● ◆	
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	9	
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	88.1	6 ● ◆	
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.3	16	
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	○ 98.9	7	
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	3,276.1	10	◆

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
		51.7	22

<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	62.6	18	
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	○ 50.6	8	
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	n/a	n/a	
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	1.3	17	
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	53.6	23	
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	○ 24.1	22	
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	52.0	14	
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	61.4	22	
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	59.4	28	
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.2	13	
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	13	
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	18	
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	40.6	34	◇
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.9	15	
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	10.9	28	
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	1.7	54	○
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.1	119	○
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	○ 41.8	32	○ ◇

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
		55.7	8

<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	61.9	11	
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.0	15	
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.8	19	
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a	
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	46.4	14	
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	100.0	1 ● ◆	
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	50.8	6 ● ◆	
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	0.3	83	○
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	18.1	3 ● ◆	
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.6	11	
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	8.9	33	
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	○ 42.6	25	
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	54.5	12	
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	2.6	10	
6.3.2 Production and export complexity	78.0	12	
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	8.0	23	
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	3.5	32	

Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
		55.9	3 ● ◆

<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	68.3	6 ● ◆	
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	90.9	4 ● ◆	
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	73.9	23	
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	169.1	7 ●	
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.2	11	
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	42.3	5 ● ◆	
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	2.6	6 ●	
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.0	31	○
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	62.2	6	
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	○ 1.9	15	
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.2	26	
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	44.8	11	
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	61.6	9	
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	68.3	8	
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	34.4	20	
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.1	24	

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# United Republic of Tanzania

# 103

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
99	100	Lower middle	SSA	61.5	182.9	3,062

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
<b>Institutions</b>	<b>54.2</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>Business sophistication</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	44.7	115	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	12.2	[116]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	56.4	108	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	3.4	125 ○ ◇
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	33.1	117	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	30.7	54
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	63.4	68 ● ◆	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	28.5	108	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	30.4	100	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	0.4	123 ○
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	9.3	24 ● ◆	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	26.5	49 ● ◆
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	54.4	[50]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	50.6	43 ● ◆
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	54.4	51 ●	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	52.0	44 ●
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	100
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
<b>Human capital and research</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>126</b> ◇	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>	29.8	123	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.0	101 108
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.3	102	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.4	84
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap ○	14.9	83	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.3	124
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	9.2	108 ◇	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.6	83
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	23.3	101	<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	1.3	127 ○ ◇	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	4.6	109
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	7.8	118	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	130 ○
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	9.5	109 ○ ◇	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	77
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.6	90	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.8	89
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	19.2	106 ○	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	9.4	78
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	63 ●	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	17.1	99
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	3.5	9 ●
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	0.2	113
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.0	123 ○ ◇
<b>Infrastructure</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>104</b>	6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.5	118
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	46.8	113	6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	6.9	95
3.1.1 ICT access*	49.2	123 ◇	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	6.4	116
3.1.2 ICT use*	26.9	117 ◇	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	110
3.1.3 Government's online service*	55.3	94	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	18.1	109
3.1.4 E-participation*	56.0	92	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	102
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	28.4	69 ●	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	117
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	135.7	121	<b>Creative outputs</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>[94]</b>
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	n/a	n/a	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	6.5	[113]
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	36.5	8 ● ◆	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.9	114	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	11.5	106
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	6.7	105	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	34.2	94	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	110	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	30.4	[27]
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
<b>Market sophistication</b>	<b>28.9</b>	<b>79</b>	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	51.5	15 ● ◆	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	2.3	9 ● ◆
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	13.2	120	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	107
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	14.5	1 ● ◆	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.1	124
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	2.5	100	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1	120
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	10.4	73	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.2	113
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	91 ◇	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	121
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	70	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	108
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	91			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	32.7	112			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	8.9	111			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	52.1	100			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	182.9	71 ●			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ○ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# United States of America

2

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
5	2	High	NAC	332.9	22,939.6	69,375

Institutions		Score/Value	Rank
<b>80.9</b>			<b>13</b>
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>		78.1	23
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*		78.2	35
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*		78.1	23
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>		89.4	12
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*		76.0	21
1.2.2 Rule of law*		81.6	21
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal		8.0	1 ●
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>		75.3	11
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†		78.6	7
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*		72.0	13

Human capital and research		Score/Value	Rank
<b>59.9</b>			<b>9</b>
<b>2.1 Education</b>		58.6	44
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	⊙	4.9	46
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap		22.6	36
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		16.3	30
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science		495.3	24
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		14.7	72 ○ ◇
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>		34.9	48
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		87.9	12
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	⊙	19.2	76 ○
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		5.2	48
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>		86.1	2 ● ◆
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	⊙	4,829.1	19
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		3.5	5
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD		100.0	1 ● ◆
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*		98.9	1 ● ◆

Infrastructure		Score/Value	Rank
<b>58.7</b>			<b>19</b>
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>		92.1	7
3.1.1 ICT access*		89.5	50
3.1.2 ICT use*		84.0	11
3.1.3 Government's online service*		94.7	7
3.1.4 E-participation*		100.0	1 ●
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>		58.6	10
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.		12,816.4	9
3.2.2 Logistics performance*		85.6	14
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP		21.1	84 ○
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>		25.4	67 ◇
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use		9.5	75 ○
3.3.2 Environmental performance*		51.1	36
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	113 ○ ◇

Market sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>80.8</b>			<b>1 ● ◆</b>
<b>4.1 Credit</b>		69.8	3 ● ◆
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*		56.3	5 ◆
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		215.9	2 ● ◆
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP		n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>		76.3	5 ◆
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP		166.7	7
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.4	12
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.3	1 ● ◆
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP		0.0	1 ● ◆
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>		96.2	1 ● ◆
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %		1.5	49
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification		98.5	11
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$		22,939.6	1 ● ◆

Business sophistication		Score/Value	Rank
<b>64.5</b>			<b>3 ● ◆</b>
<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>		75.0	4 ● ◆
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %		46.8	18
5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %		n/a	n/a
5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP		2.6	3 ●
5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %		66.3	6
5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %		28.0	8
<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>		66.1	4 ● ◆
5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†		79.6	1 ● ◆
5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†		78.5	1 ● ◆
5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP		0.2	12
5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP		0.2	5
5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP		3.3	12
<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>		52.5	10
5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade		1.6	21
5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade		19.2	11 ◆
5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade		1.6	57
5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		1.2	96 ○
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙	72.3	4 ● ◆

Knowledge and technology outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>60.8</b>			<b>3 ● ◆</b>
<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>		69.6	3 ●
6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		12.9	1 ● ◆
6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		2.6	13
6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		n/a	n/a
6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP		19.3	50 ◇
6.1.5 Citable documents H-index		100.0	1 ● ◆
<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>		55.0	2 ● ◆
6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %		1.5	46
6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64		n/a	n/a
6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP		1.1	1 ● ◆
6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP		1.0	106 ○ ◇
6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %		44.3	20
<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>		57.9	9
6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade		4.3	4 ● ◆
6.3.2 Production and export complexity		78.4	11
6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade		9.4	18
6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade		2.3	56








Creative outputs		Score/Value	Rank
<b>48.4</b>			<b>12</b>
<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>		52.8	16
7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %		92.7	1 ● ◆
7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		26.3	81 ○ ◇
7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP		229.9	2 ● ◆
7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP		1.0	65 ○
<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>		44.8	4 ● ◆
7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade		1.8	14
7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69		3.5	34
7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69		100.0	1 ● ◆
7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing		1.4	26
7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade		2.8	18
<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>		43.0	14
7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69		100.0	1 ● ◆
7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69		2.1	68 ○ ◇
7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69		53.8	7
7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP		16.2	20

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Uruguay

64

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
76	57	High	LCN	3.5	84.6	23,869








	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	69.4	32	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	28.6	62
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	76.0	32	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	28.4	71
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	85.5	10 ●	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	24.1	62
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	66.5	40	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	53.3	17 ●
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	68.1	56 ◇	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.1	61
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	59.5	43 ◇	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	4.6	82 ○
1.2.2 Rule of law*	63.7	37	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.4	72
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	20.8	90	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	20.7	85
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	64.2	26 ●	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	42.7	72
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	81.9	5 ● ◆	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	45.6	75
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	46.5	35	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	57
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	68
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	55
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	29.1	73	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	36.7	45
<b>2.1 Education</b>	42.9	88	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.0	42
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.7	51	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	7.3	87
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	15.5	80	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	5.0	4 ● ◆
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	16.8	21 ●	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.9	79
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	423.5	52 ◇	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	0.7	80 ○
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/a			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	34.2	53	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	22.4	62
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	65.2	45	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	11.2	70
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	17.2	88 ○ ◇	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	89
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	10.3	56	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	40
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	767.2	59	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	18.0	53
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	61	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	10.4	70
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	28.4	62
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	21.8	47	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	1.1	60
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.3	54
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	65
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	15.2	19 ●
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	15.0	77
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	46.0	60	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	27.7	52
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	83.3	32	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.2	43
3.1.1 ICT access*	86.0	68	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	41.9	61
3.1.2 ICT use*	77.2	29 ●	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.9	76
3.1.3 Government's online service*	84.1	31	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	6.2	16 ●
3.1.4 E-participation*	85.7	29			
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	22.0	96	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	13.5	85
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	3,775.2	56	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	13.9	92
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	29.8	81	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	16.0	115 ○ ◇	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	51.8	45
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	32.8	46	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	13.8	33	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.7	80
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	37.4	83	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	17.6	60
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	3.7	26 ●	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	1.1	29
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	4.2	28
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	1.1	40
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.1	105 ○
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	29.2	77	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	8.6	49
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	19.7	85	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	6.5	50
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	30.6	55	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	11.5	40
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	27.8	99 ○ ◇	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	9.9	44
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	6.3	54
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	22.5	33			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	13 ●			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	82 ○			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	29			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	45.4	91			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.3	92			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	68.8	90 ○			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	84.6	89			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Uzbekistan

82

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
91	68	Lower middle	CSA	33.9	291.2	8,452







	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	57.3	63	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	25.3	74
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	52.1	94	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	28.4	70
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	65.5	74	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	n/a	n/a
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	38.8	98	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	16.9	88
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	50.7	104	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	⊙ 0.1	69
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	21.3	123	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	⊙ 42.4	39
1.2.2 Rule of law*	18.5	123	⊙ 13.7	56	◆
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	17.3	73	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	24.7	59
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	69.2	[21]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	56.0	29
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	69.2	22	◆	59.9	27
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	⊙ 0.0	93
			5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	⊙ 0.0	93
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	66
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	91
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	30.8	65	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	22.8	100
<b>2.1 Education</b>	57.4	[50]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.4	74
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.9	45	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.1	54
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.7	98
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	12.5	88	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.6	54
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	⊙ 12.9	58
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	10.9	37			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	33.9	54	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	17.9	80
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	15.9	101	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	9.1	78
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	36.9	6	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	56
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	⊙ 0.2	106	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	95
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	1.2	93	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.3	18
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	423.9	73	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.4	124
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.1	98	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	3.4	113
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38			
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	33.9	42
			6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	4.7	7
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.7	49
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	92
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	27.3	46
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	10.7	101
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	106
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	30.3	85
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.3	98
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.9	87
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	41.7	74	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	7.7	[102]
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	76.1	55	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	12.5	[94]
3.1.1 ICT access*	82.7	78	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.2 ICT use*	62.3	66	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	30.9	75
3.1.3 Government's online service*	78.2	46	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.1.4 E-participation*	81.0	46	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.6	82
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	32.6	56	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	5.3	[100]
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	⊙ 1,891.9	84	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.1	92
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	24.7	92	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	40.6	6	7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	16.4	117	7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	79
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	5.2	118	7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.3	68
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	38.2	77	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.5	109
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	125	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.0	132
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.2	79
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.9	104
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	107
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	33.9	60			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	7.1	119			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	35.7	87			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.2	51			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	60.7	51			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	2.6	69			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	92.9	37			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	291.2	59			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Viet Nam








48

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
41	59	Lower middle	SEAO	98.2	1,141.3	11,608

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	60.6	51	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	31.6	50
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	65.2	50	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	29.4	68
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	76.4	37	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	10.7	106
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	54.0	57	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	22.2	69
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	54.6	96	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	0.4	45
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	41.2	83	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	64.1	10
1.2.2 Rule of law*	42.6	70	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	7.3	85
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	24.6	105	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	26.8	48
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	62.0	30	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration†	58.2	26
1.3.1 Policies for doing business†	63.3	31	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth†	65.1	14
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	60.7	21	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	0.0	59
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	80
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	73
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	27.2	80	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	38.7	41
<b>2.1 Education</b>	55.1	[56]	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	87
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	4.1	75	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	31.4	1
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.1	130
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	6.1	15
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	502.0	16	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	24.1	51
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	19.7	93			
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	20.8	90	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	26.0	52
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	28.6	87	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	8.6	84
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	22.7	54	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	66
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.4	103	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	85
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	5.7	68	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	37
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	756.7	60	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	9.8	90
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.5	59	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	13.2	58
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	34.8	40
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	8.1	66	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	5.6	3
			6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.7	66
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.3	45
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.1	65
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	29.9	44
			<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	34.7	44
			6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	100
			6.3.2 Production and export complexity	42.8	56
			6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	37.3	3
			6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	120
			 <b>Creative outputs</b>	30.8	35
			<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	44.9	28
			7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	65.3	30
			7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	72.4	24
			7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	88.2	24
			7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.1	46
			<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	24.0	50
			7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	94
			7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.5	68
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	3.0	51
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.9	55
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	7.8	8
			<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	9.6	45
			7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	2.5	71
			7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	2.1	70
			7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	4.5	63
			7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	29.2	8
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	38.4	43			
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	31.9	47			
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	37.9	43			
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	147.7	11			
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.1	52			
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	11.4	52			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	60.0	31			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	77			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	48			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	40			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	71.8	19			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	1.3	17			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	98.6	9			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	1,141.3	24			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊙ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
109	132	Low	NAWA	30.5	60.8	1,827








		Score/ Value	Rank			Score/ Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	17.5	132 ◊		<b>Business sophistication</b>	15.7	127
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	0.0	132 ◊	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	10.3	[118]
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	0.0	132 ◊	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	12.4	99 ◆
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	0.0	132 ◊	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	14.3	92 ◊
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	30.8	129 ◊	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	0.0	132 ◊	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*	0.0	132 ◊	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	1.1	114
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	27.4	111 ◊	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	14.3	124
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	21.6	[124]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	20.7	127 ◊
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	21.6	126 ◊	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	33.1	121 ◊
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP ◊	0.0	98
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ◊
				5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	22.6	101
				5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	1.6	23 ●◆
				5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	2.3	129 ◊
				5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	0.4	116 ◊
				5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	-1.4	124 ◊
				5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	11.3	[124]		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	6.2	124
2.1	<b>Education</b>	26.1	[125]	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	8.7	82 ●
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	n/a	n/a	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	63 ●◆
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	11.8	92	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	9.1	110	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	73
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	17.1	58 ●◆
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	26.8	111	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	2.9	119
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	7.7	113	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	1.0	131 ◊
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	10.2	112	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-5.5	118 ◊
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	n/a	n/a
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	4.3	56 ●	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.0	107
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	128
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	1.2	110 ◊
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	9.1	104
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ◊	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	86
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ◊	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	15.2	113
				6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.1	121
				6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	2.6	52 ●
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	25.3	120		<b>Creative outputs</b>	10.4	95 ◆
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	28.7	129 ◊	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	20.7	76 ●◆
3.1.1	ICT access*	39.9	128	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.2	ICT use*	11.5	131 ◊	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	76.2	22 ●◆
3.1.3	Government's online service*	32.4	122	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ◊
3.1.4	E-participation*	30.9	122	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.0	68 ●
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	3.6	131 ◊	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	0.0	[132]
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	123.5	123	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	10.2	118	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	6.5	126 ◊	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	0.0	62 ◊
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	43.7	26 ●◆	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	28.7	3 ●◆	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	125
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	n/a	n/a	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	0.2	123
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	109	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.3	112
				7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.0	129
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	26.2	87 ●◆	7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.1	124
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	6.5	122	7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	93 ◆
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a				
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	5.6	129 ◊				
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.9	28 ●				
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]				
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	45.9	90 ●◆				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.0	91 ●◆				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	69.0	89				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	60.8	99				

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

## Zambia

118

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
115	118	Lower middle	SSA	18.9	66.4	3,410

		Score/Value	Rank			Score/Value	Rank
	<b>Institutions</b>	37.5	126		<b>Business sophistication</b>	21.3	100
1.1	<b>Political environment</b>	45.7	109	5.1	<b>Knowledge workers</b>	23.1	[84]
1.1.1	Political and operational stability*	58.2	103	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	10.2	107
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	33.2	115	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	36.6	41 ●
1.2	<b>Regulatory environment</b>	22.7	130 ○ ◇	5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	29.5	105	5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*	29.9	101	5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	4.5	94
1.2.3	Cost of redundancy dismissal	50.6	129 ○ ◇	5.2	<b>Innovation linkages</b>	22.1	71 ●
1.3	<b>Business environment</b>	44.2	[76]	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration†	38.3	90
1.3.1	Policies for doing business†	44.2	81 ●	5.2.2	State of cluster development and depth†	44.2	82 ●
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
				5.2.4	Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	72 ●
				5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
				5.3	<b>Knowledge absorption</b>	18.6	120
				5.3.1	Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.3	85
				5.3.2	High-tech imports, % total trade	5.6	114
				5.3.3	ICT services imports, % total trade	0.4	114
				5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	1.0	102
				5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
	<b>Human capital and research</b>	14.8	[118]		<b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	8.6	116
2.1	<b>Education</b>	44.4	[83]	6.1	<b>Knowledge creation</b>	5.6	104
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.7	90	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.3	92
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101 ○ ◇
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	n/a	n/a	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	10.5	84
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	21.1	98	6.1.5	Citable documents H-index	6.1	91
2.2	<b>Tertiary education</b>	0.0	[129]	6.2	<b>Knowledge impact</b>	12.6	112
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	4.1	128 ○ ◇	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	-0.3	97 ○
2.2.2	Graduates in science and engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.2	New businesses/th pop. 15-64	1.1	79
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.3	Software spending, % GDP	0.0	108 ○
2.3	<b>Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.0	[120]	6.2.4	ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.4	122
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	n/a	6.2.5	High-tech manufacturing, %	10.1	89
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	6.3	<b>Knowledge diffusion</b>	7.5	113
2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38 ○ ◇	6.3.1	Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	113 ○ ◇
2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72 ○ ◇	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	24.8	92
				6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	114
				6.3.4	ICT services exports, % total trade	0.3	119
	<b>Infrastructure</b>	26.7	116		<b>Creative outputs</b>	5.7	110
3.1	<b>Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	37.5	122 ○ ◇	7.1	<b>Intangible assets</b>	11.2	97
3.1.1	ICT access*	67.9	102	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.2	ICT use*	25.4	119 ○ ◇	7.1.2	Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	31.4	72 ●
3.1.3	Government's online service*	25.9	127 ○ ◇	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77 ○ ◇
3.1.4	E-participation*	30.9	122 ○ ◇	7.1.4	Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	2.0	49 ●
3.2	<b>General infrastructure</b>	25.3	79 ●	7.2	<b>Creative goods and services</b>	0.2	[129]
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	824.8	100	7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	0.0	113 ○ ◇
3.2.2	Logistics performance*	22.3	102	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.2.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP	33.0	12 ●	7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
3.3	<b>Ecological sustainability</b>	17.3	109	7.2.4	Printing and other media, % manufacturing	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	GDP/unit of energy use	5.9	113	7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % total trade	0.0	113
3.3.2	Environmental performance*	38.4	76 ●	7.3	<b>Online creativity</b>	0.2	122
3.3.3	ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	119	7.3.1	Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.1	123
				7.3.2	Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	0.1	115
				7.3.3	GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.3	115
				7.3.4	Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
	<b>Market sophistication</b>	22.0	106				
4.1	<b>Credit</b>	12.8	106				
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a				
4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	15.2	117				
4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	1.5	21 ●				
4.2	<b>Investment</b>	5.2	75				
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	13.6	68				
4.2.2	Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a				
4.2.3	Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	55 ●				
4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP	0.0	68				
4.3	<b>Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	48.1	85				
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	4.8	89				
4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification	74.1	79				
4.3.3	Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	66.4	93				








NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.



## Zimbabwe

107

Output rank	Input rank	Income	Region	Population (mn)	GDP, PPP\$ (bn)	GDP per capita, PPP\$
93	120	Lower middle	SSA	15.1	37.3	2,408

	Score/Value	Rank		Score/Value	Rank
 <b>Institutions</b>	33.3	128	 <b>Business sophistication</b>	22.5	90
<b>1.1 Political environment</b>	34.1	129	<b>5.1 Knowledge workers</b>	24.1	[81]
1.1.1 Political and operational stability*	45.5	126	5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %	7.5	112
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	22.8	129	5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, %	26.4	62
<b>1.2 Regulatory environment</b>	38.5	124	5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP	n/a	n/a
1.2.1 Regulatory quality*	9.4	130	5.1.4 GERD financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2 Rule of law*	13.0	129	5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	10.9	69
1.2.3 Cost of redundancy dismissal	25.3	106	<b>5.2 Innovation linkages</b>	19.6	96
<b>1.3 Business environment</b>	27.2	[115]	5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration <sup>†</sup>	29.0	120
1.3.1 Policies for doing business <sup>†</sup>	27.2	121	5.2.2 State of cluster development and depth <sup>†</sup>	31.4	124
1.3.2 Entrepreneurship policies and culture*	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	n/a	n/a
			5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.1	28
			5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	101
 <b>Human capital and research</b>	21.6	92	<b>5.3 Knowledge absorption</b>	23.8	94
<b>2.1 Education</b>	39.9	96	5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade	0.2	90
2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP	3.9	85	5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade	9.1	53
2.1.2 Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	22.2	38	5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade	0.9	89
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	11.4	94	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	2.0	73
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	n/a	5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	22.5	99	 <b>Knowledge and technology outputs</b>	12.3	99
<b>2.2 Tertiary education</b>	24.9	82	<b>6.1 Knowledge creation</b>	8.7	81
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	8.9	116	6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	98
2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %	30.2	17	6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.0	86
2.2.3 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.5	99	6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	0.2	48
<b>2.3 Research and development (R&amp;D)</b>	0.2	113	6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP	21.0	43
2.3.1 Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	99.5	89	6.1.5 Citable documents H-index	6.9	88
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a	<b>6.2 Knowledge impact</b>	21.0	88
2.3.3 Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD	0.0	38	6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, %	-0.8	100
2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3*	0.0	72	6.2.2 New businesses/th pop. 15-64	2.5	51
			6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP	0.2	56
			6.2.4 ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.5	61
			6.2.5 High-tech manufacturing, %	17.5	68
 <b>Infrastructure</b>	23.6	126	<b>6.3 Knowledge diffusion</b>	7.0	114
<b>3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICTs)</b>	48.1	107	6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade	0.0	81
3.1.1 ICT access*	64.4	107	6.3.2 Production and export complexity	20.2	102
3.1.2 ICT use*	30.4	112	6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade	0.2	105
3.1.3 Government's online service*	52.3	99	6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade	0.2	123
3.1.4 E-participation*	45.2	108	 <b>Creative outputs</b>	12.3	89
<b>3.2 General infrastructure</b>	2.9	132	<b>7.1 Intangible assets</b>	17.7	85
3.2.1 Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	531.7	107	7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	28.0	69
3.2.2 Logistics performance*	3.3	122	7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.1	125
3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP	n/a	n/a	7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP	0.0	77
<b>3.3 Ecological sustainability</b>	19.9	93	7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
3.3.1 GDP/unit of energy use	3.4	128	<b>7.2 Creative goods and services</b>	13.2	[71]
3.3.2 Environmental performance*	46.2	54	7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	n/a
3.3.3 ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP	1.5	58	7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	0.2	74
			7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	n/a
			7.2.4 Printing and other media, % manufacturing	0.5	78
			7.2.5 Creative goods exports, % total trade	2.3	23
 <b>Market sophistication</b>	18.5	114	<b>7.3 Online creativity</b>	0.8	105
<b>4.1 Credit</b>	2.1	129	7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69	0.5	109
4.1.1 Finance for startups and scaleups*	n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69	1.5	74
4.1.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	6.5	128	7.3.3 GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15-69	0.4	113
4.1.3 Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	0.3	49	7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a
<b>4.2 Investment</b>	n/a	[n/a]			
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.2 Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.3 Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	n/a			
4.2.4 Venture capital received, value, % GDP	n/a	n/a			
<b>4.3 Trade, diversification, and market scale</b>	34.9	110			
4.3.1 Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %	5.0	90			
4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification	36.3	106			
4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$	37.3	117			

NOTES: ● indicates a strength; ○ a weakness; ◆ an income group strength; ◇ an income group weakness; \* an index; † a survey question. ⊕ indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022). Square brackets [ ] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

# Appendices

## Appendix I

### The Global Innovation Index's rationale and origins, its conceptual framework and data limitations

#### Rationale and origins

The Global Innovation Index (GII) was launched in 2007 (see Appendix Box 1) with the aim of identifying and determining metrics and methods that could capture a picture of innovation in society that is as complete as possible.

There were several motivations for setting this goal. First, innovation is important for driving economic progress and competitiveness – for both developed and developing economies. Many governments are putting innovation at the center of their growth strategies. Second, the definition of innovation has broadened – it is no longer restricted to research and development (R&D) laboratories and published scientific papers. The concept of innovation has become more general and horizontal in nature, and now includes social, business model and technical aspects. Last, but not least, recognizing and celebrating innovation in emerging markets is critical for inspiring people – especially the next generation of entrepreneurs and innovators.

---

#### Appendix Box 1 History of the GII (2007–2022)

The GII project was launched by Soumitra Dutta, Dean of Saïd Business School at Oxford University, in 2007 during his tenure at INSEAD. WIPO's association with the GII started in 2011 and it began co-publishing the GII in 2012. In 2013, Cornell University joined as co-publisher, with Professor Dutta representing the GII at Cornell University and Bruno Lanvin at INSEAD. The GII continued to be co-published by Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO until 2020. Since 2021, the GII has been published by WIPO in partnership with the Portulans Institute, various corporate and academic network partners and the GII Advisory Board.

---

Now in its 15<sup>th</sup> edition, the GII helps to create an environment in which innovation factors are subject to continual evaluation. It provides a key tool for decision-makers and a rich database of detailed metrics, offering a convenient source of information for refining innovation policies.

Measuring innovation outputs and their impact is a challenging task, hence great emphasis is placed on measuring the climate and infrastructure for innovation and assessing related outcomes.

Although the final results are presented as a series of rankings, the primary aim of the GII is to improve the “journey” to more accurate methods of measurement, understanding innovation and identifying targeted policies, good practices and other levers that foster innovation. The rich data metrics, at index, sub-index or indicator level, can be used to monitor performance over time and to benchmark developments against economies within the same region or income group classification.

#### Defining innovation in the GII

The GII adopts a broad definition of innovation, originally elaborated in the *Oslo Manual* developed by the European Communities and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). In its fourth edition, in 2018, the *Oslo Manual* introduced a more general definition of innovation:<sup>1</sup>

“An innovation is a new or improved product or process (or combination thereof) that differs significantly from the unit's previous products or processes and that has been made available to potential users (product) or brought into use by the unit (process).”

This update of the *Oslo Manual* also introduced a series of definitions associated with innovation in business activities and for different types of innovation firms. In this context, innovation translates as improvements made to outcomes in the form of either new goods or new services, or any combination of these. While the GII focuses on a more general definition of innovation, it is important to highlight how these specific definitions capture the evolution of the way in which innovation has been perceived and understood over the past two decades.

Economists and policymakers previously focused on R&D-based technological product innovation, largely produced in-house and mainly in manufacturing industries. Innovation of this nature was executed by a highly educated labor force in R&D-intensive companies. The process leading to such innovation was conceptualized as closed, internal and localized. Technological breakthroughs were necessarily “radical” and took place at the “global knowledge frontier.” This characterization implied the existence of leading and lagging economies, with low- or middle-income economies only able to play “catch-up.”

Today, innovation capability is increasingly seen as the ability to exploit new technological combinations; it embraces the concept of incremental innovation and “innovation without research.” Non-R&D innovative expenditure is an important component of reaping the rewards of technological innovation. Interest in understanding how innovation evolves in low- and middle-income economies is increasing, along with an awareness that incremental forms of innovation can impact development. Furthermore, the process of innovation itself has changed significantly. Investment in innovation-related activity and intangible assets has intensified consistently at the firm, economy and global levels, adding both new innovation actors from outside high-income economies and non-profit actors. The structure of knowledge production activity is more complex and geographically dispersed than ever.<sup>2</sup>

A key challenge is to find metrics that capture innovation as it actually happens in the world today. Direct official measures that quantify innovation outputs remain extremely scarce. For example, there are no official statistics on the amount of innovative activity – defined as the number of new products, processes or other innovations – for any given innovation actor, let alone for any given country (see the GII 2013, Chapter 1, Annex 1, Box 1 (Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO, 2013)). Most measurements also struggle to appropriately capture the innovation outputs of a wider spectrum of innovation actors, such as the services sector or public sector entities. This includes innovation surveys, which have contributed greatly to the measurement of innovation activities but fail to provide a good and reliable sense of cross-economy innovation output performance and are often not applicable to developing economies, where innovation is often informal.<sup>3</sup>

The GII aims to improve the measurement of innovation in order to provide a more complete picture of innovation ecosystems across the globe.

### **The GII conceptual framework**

The overall GII ranking is based on two sub-indices that are both equally important in presenting a complete picture of innovation; the Innovation Input Sub-Index and the Innovation Output Sub-Index. Hence, three indices are calculated:

- Innovation Input Sub-Index: Five input pillars capture elements of the economy that enable and facilitate innovative activities.
- Innovation Output Sub-Index: Innovation outputs are the result of innovative activities within the economy. Although the Output Sub-Index includes only two pillars, it carries the same weight as the Input Sub-Index in calculating the overall GII scores.
- The overall GII score is the average of the Input and Output Sub-Indices, on which the GII economy rankings are produced.

Each of the five input and two output pillars is divided into three sub-pillars, each of which is composed of individual indicators – a total of 81 this year (see the Economy profiles section for the Framework of the Global Innovation Index 2022). A detailed elaboration of the conceptual framework and pillars can be found in the 2020 edition of the GII.<sup>4</sup> Each sub-pillar is calculated by taking the weighted average of its individual indicators’ scores, which are normalized to again produce *scores* between 0 and 100. Pillar scores are calculated using the weighted average of each pillar’s sub-pillar scores.

### **Adjustments to the GII model in 2022**

Appendix Table 1 summarizes the adjustments made to the GII 2022 framework. The methodology of three indicators has changed, seven are new indicators (four of which replaced other indicators from the 2021 framework), one indicator was dropped completely and one indicator has a new data source.

**Appendix Table 1 Changes to the GII 2022 framework**

GII 2021		Adjustment	GII 2022	
1.3.1	Ease of starting a business*	Removed		
		New indicator	1.3.1	Policies for doing business†
1.3.2	Ease of resolving insolvency*	Removed		
		New indicator	1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture*
3.1.1	ICT access*	Methodology changed	3.1.1	ICT access*
3.1.2	ICT use*	Methodology changed	3.1.2	ICT use*
4.1.1	Ease of getting credit*	Removed		
		New indicator	4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups*
4.1.3	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	Removed		
		New indicator	4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP
4.2.1	Ease of protecting minority investors*	Removed		
		New indicator	4.2.4	Venture capital received, value, % GDP
6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %	Methodology changed	6.2.1	Labor productivity growth, %
		New indicator	7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %
7.1.4	ICTs and organizational model creation†	Removed		
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15–69	New data source	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15–69
7.3.3	Wikipedia edits/mn pop. 15–69	Removed		
		New indicator	7.3.3	GitHub commits/mn pop. 15–69

Source: Global Innovation Index 2022, WIPO.

Notes: Refer to Appendix III: Sources and definitions for a detailed explanation of terminology and acronyms.

## Data limitations and treatment

This year, the GII model includes 132 economies, which represent 94.1 percent of the world’s population and 98.5 percent of the world’s GDP in purchasing power parity current international dollars.

The timeliest possible indicators are used for the GII 2022: from the non-missing data, 1.3 percent are from 2022, 28.6 percent are from 2021, 44.4 percent are from 2020, 10.2 percent are from 2019, 8.1 percent are from 2018, 2.4 percent are from 2017 and the small remainder of 5.3 percent are from earlier years.<sup>5</sup>

The GII 2022 model includes 81 indicators, which fall into three categories:

- quantitative/objective/hard data (65 indicators);
- composite indicators/index data (13 indicators); and
- survey/qualitative/subjective/soft data (3 indicators).

This year, for an economy to feature in the GII 2022, the minimum symmetric data coverage requirement is at least 36 indicators in the Innovation Input Sub-Index (66 percent) and 18 indicators in the Innovation Output Sub-Index (66 percent), with scores for at least two sub-pillars per pillar. In the GII 2022, 132 economies had sufficient data available to be included in the Index. For each economy, only the most recent yearly data were considered. As a rule, the GII indicators consider data from as far back as 2012, with a few noted exceptions (see Appendix I).

## Missing values

For the sake of transparency and replicability of results, missing values are not estimated; they are indicated with “n/a” and are not considered in the sub-pillar score. The audit undertaken by the European Commission’s Competence Centre on Composite Indicators and Scoreboards at the Joint Research Centre (JRC-COIN) (see Appendix II) assesses the robustness of the GII modeling choices (no imputation of missing data, fixed predefined weights and arithmetic averages) by imputing missing data, applying random weights and using geometric averages. Since 2012, based on this assessment, a confidence interval has been provided for each ranking in the GII as well as for the Input and Output Sub-Indices (Appendix II).

## Treatment of series with outliers

Potentially problematic indicators with outliers that could polarize results and unduly bias the rankings were treated according to the rules listed below, as per the recommendations of the JRC-COIN. Only hard data indicators were treated (34 out of 65).

### First rule: selection

Indicators were classified as problematic if they had:

- an absolute value of skewness greater than 2.25; and
- kurtosis greater than 3.5.<sup>6</sup>

### Second rule: treatment

Indicators with between one and five outliers (29 cases) were winsorized; the values distorting the indicator distribution were assigned the next highest value, up to the level where skewness and/or kurtosis had the values specified above.<sup>7</sup>

Indicators with five or more outliers, and for which skewness or kurtosis did not fall within the ranges specified above, were transformed using natural logarithms after multiplication by a given factor  $f$ .<sup>8</sup> Since only “goods” were affected (i.e., indicators for which higher values indicate better outcomes, as opposed to “bads”), the following formula was used:

$$\ln \left[ \frac{(\max \times f - 1) (\text{economy value} - \min)}{\max - \min} + 1 \right]$$

where “min” and “max” are the minimum and maximum indicator sample values, respectively.<sup>9</sup>

## Normalization

The 81 indicators were then normalized into the [0, 100] range, with higher scores representing better outcomes. Normalization was undertaken according to the min-max method, where the “min” and “max” values were the minimum and maximum indicator sample values, respectively. Index and survey data were exceptions; the original series range of values was kept as min and max values ([0, 1] for UNPAN/DPADM<sup>10</sup> indices; [1, 7] for the World Economic Forum’s Executive Opinion Survey questions; [0, 100] for the World Bank’s World Governance Indicators; [0, 10] for WIPO’s ICT Access and ICT Use Indices; and [1, 10] for the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor’s National Expert Survey indices). The following formulas were applied:

$$\text{Goods: } \frac{\text{economy value} - \min}{\max - \min} \times 100$$

$$\text{Bads: } \frac{\max - \text{economy value}}{\max - \min} \times 100$$

## Caveats on the year-to-year comparison of rankings

The GII compares the performance of national innovation systems across economies and presents the changes in economy rankings over time.

It is important to note that scores and rankings are not directly comparable between one year and another. Each ranking reflects the relative position of a particular economy based on the conceptual framework, the data coverage and the sample of economies of that specific GII edition, and also reflects changes in the underlying indicators at source and in data availability.

A number of factors influence the year-on-year rankings of an economy:

- the actual performance of the economy in question;
- adjustments made to the GII framework (changes in indicator composition and measurement revisions);
- data updates, the treatment of outliers and missing values; and
- the inclusion or exclusion of economies in the sample.

Additionally, the following characteristics complicate the time-series analysis based on simple GII rankings or scores:

- **Missing values:** The GII produces relative index scores, which means that a missing value for one economy affects the index score of other economies. Because the number of missing values decreases every year, this problem reduces over time.
- **Reference year:** The data underlying the GII do not refer to a single year but to several years, depending on the latest available year for any given variable. In addition, the reference years for different variables are not the same for each economy, due to measures to limit the number of missing data points.
- **Normalization factor:** Most GII variables are normalized using either GDP or population, with the intention of enabling cross-economy comparability. However, this implies that year-on-year changes in individual indicators may be driven either by the variable (numerator) or by its normalization factor (denominator).
- **Consistent data collection:** Measuring the change in year-on-year performance relies on the consistent collection of data over time. Changes in the definition of variables or in the data collection process could create movements in the rankings that are unrelated to performance.

A detailed economy study based on the GII database and the economy profile over time, coupled with analytical work on the ground, including that of innovation actors and decision-makers, yields the best results in terms of monitoring an economy's innovation performance, as well as identifying possible avenues for improvement.

## Notes

- 1 OECD and Eurostat, 2018.
- 2 See WIPO (2011–2021) for bi-annual elaborations on the changing nature and geographic dispersion of innovation. See Arundel *et al.* (2021) for an elaboration on the role and measurement of knowledge and technology transfer between innovation actors.
- 3 On innovation in the informal economy, see Kraemer-Mbula and Wunsch-Vincent (2017).
- 4 Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO (2020), Appendix 1: [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2020-appendix1.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2020-appendix1.pdf).
- 5 The GII is calculated based on 9,482 data points out of a possible 10,692 (132 economies multiplied by 81 indicators), implying that 11.3 percent of data points are missing. The GII 2022 Database includes the data year used for each indicator and economy, downloadable at [https://www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index/en/2022/](https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2022/). If an indicator for an economy is missing, it is marked as “n/a” in the economy profiles.
- 6 Based on Groeneveld and Meeden (1984), which sets the criteria of absolute skewness above 1 and kurtosis above 3.5. The skewness criterion was relaxed to accommodate the small sample under consideration (132 economies).
- 7 This distributional issue affects the following variables: 4.2.1, 5.2.4, 5.3.2, 6.1.5, 7.2.4, 7.3.1 and 7.3.3 (one outlier); 2.2.3, 3.2.1, 4.1.3, 4.3.2, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, 5.3.4, 7.1.1, 7.1.4 and 7.2.1 (two outliers); 5.3.1, 6.1.3, 6.3.4, 7.1.2 and 7.3.2 (three outliers); 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 (four outliers); and 4.2.2, 4.3.3, 6.1.1, 6.1.2 and 7.2.5 (five outliers).
- 8 Indicators 2.3.3, 5.2.5, 6.3.1, 6.3.3 and 7.3.4 were treated using log-transformation (factor  $f$  of 1).
- 9 This formula achieves two things: it converts all series into “goods” and scales the series within the range [1, max] so that natural logs are positive, starting at 0, where “min” and “max” are the minimum and maximum indicator sample values. The corresponding formula for “bads” is:  
$$\ln \left[ \frac{(\max \times f - 1)(\max - \text{economy value})}{\max - \min + 1} \right]$$
- 10 The UNPAN/DPADM indices are generated by the United Nations Public Administration Network (UNPAN) and the Division for Public Institutions and Digital Government (DPIDG) (formerly the Division for Public Administration and Development Management (DPADM)).

## References

- Arundel, A., S. Athreye and S. Wunsch-Vincent (2021). *Harnessing Public Research for Innovation in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: An International Assessment of Knowledge Transfer Policies*. Intellectual Property, Innovation and Economic Development series. Geneva and Cambridge: World Intellectual Property Organization and Cambridge University Press.
- Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO (2013). *The Global Innovation Index 2013: The Local Dynamics of Innovation*, S. Dutta and B. Lanvin (eds). Ithaca, NY, Fontainebleau and Geneva: Cornell, INSEAD and World Intellectual Property Organization.
- Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO (2020). *The Global Innovation Index 2020: Who Will Finance Innovation?*, S. Dutta, B. Lanvin and S. Wunsch-Vincent (eds). Ithaca, NY, Fontainebleau and Geneva: Cornell, INSEAD and World Intellectual Property Organization.
- Groeneveld, R.A. and G. Meeden (1984). Measuring skewness and kurtosis. *The Statistician*, 33, 391–399.
- Kraemer-Mbula E. and S. Wunsch-Vincent (2017). *The Informal Economy in Developing Nations: Hidden Engine of Innovation?* Intellectual Property, Innovation and Economic Development series. Geneva and Cambridge: World Intellectual Property Organization and Cambridge University Press.
- OECD and Eurostat (2018). *Oslo Manual 2018: Guidelines for Collecting, Reporting and Using Data on Innovation* (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Paris and Luxembourg: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and Eurostat. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264304604-en>.
- WIPO (2011–2021). *World Intellectual Property Report* (various editions). Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization.

## Appendix II

### Joint Research Centre (JRC) statistical audit of the 2022 Global Innovation Index

**Michaela Saisana, Michela Bello, Giulio Caperna, Ana Rita Neves and Carlos Tacao Moura,**  
European Commission, JRC, Ispra, Italy

Conceptual and practical challenges are inevitable when trying to understand and model the fundamentals of innovation at the national level worldwide. Now in its 15<sup>th</sup> edition, the Global Innovation Index (GII) 2022 takes up these conceptual challenges and also deals with the practical challenges relating to data quality and methodological choices.

This appendix summarizes the comprehensive audit of the GII, conducted for the 12<sup>th</sup> consecutive year by the European Commission's Competence Centre on Composite Indicators and Scoreboards (COIN) at the Joint Research Centre (JRC) in Ispra.

As in previous editions, the present JRC-COIN audit focuses on the statistical soundness of the multi-level structure of the index as well as on the impact of key modeling assumptions on the results. The independent statistical assessment of the GII provided by the JRC-COIN guarantees the transparency and reliability of the index for both policymakers and other stakeholders, thus facilitating more accurate priority setting and policy formulation in the innovation field.

As in past GII reports, the JRC-COIN analysis complements the economy rankings with confidence intervals for the GII, the Innovation Input Sub-Index and the Innovation Output Sub-Index, in order to better appreciate the robustness of these rankings to the computation methodology. Finally, the JRC-COIN analysis includes an assessment of the added value of the GII and a measure of "distance to the efficiency frontier" of innovation by using data envelopment analysis.

This is a shortened version of the audit. The full audit is available at <https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-appendix2-en-appendix-ii-full-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf>.

#### **Main conclusions**

The JRC-COIN analysis suggests that the conceptualized multilevel structure of the GII 2022 – with its 81 indicators, 21 sub-pillars, seven pillars and two sub-indices comprising the overall index – is statistically sound and balanced: that is, each sub-pillar makes a similar contribution to the variation of its respective pillar. The refinements made by the developing team have helped to enhance the already strong statistical coherence in the GII framework, in which the capacity of the 81 indicators to distinguish economies' performance is maintained at the sub-pillar level or higher in all but five cases.

The decision not to impute missing values, which is common practice in comparable contexts and justified on the grounds of transparency and replicability, can at times have an undesirable impact on some economy scores, with the additional negative side-effect that it might encourage economies not to report low data values. The GII team's adoption, in 2016, of a more stringent data coverage threshold (at least 66 percent data availability for each of the input- and output-related indicators, separately) has notably improved confidence in the economy rankings for the GII and the two sub-indices.

Additionally, the GII team's decision, in 2012, to use weights as scaling coefficients during the index development constitutes a significant departure from the traditional, but erroneous, vision of weights as a reflection of indicators' importance in a weighted average. It is hoped that such an approach will be adopted by other developers of composite indicators to avoid situations where bias sneaks in when least expected.

The strong correlations between the GII components are proven not to be a sign of redundancy of information in the GII. For more than 34 percent (up to 67 percent) of the 132 economies included in the GII 2022, the GII ranking and the rankings of any of the seven pillars differ by 10 positions or more. This demonstrates the added value of the GII ranking, which helps to highlight other components of innovation that are not immediately apparent from an analysis of the seven pillars separately. At the same time, this finding points to the value of duly considering the merits of the GII pillars, sub-pillars and their constituent indicators individually. By doing so,



economy-specific strengths and bottlenecks in innovation can be identified and serve as an input for evidence-based policymaking.

To test the impact of the GII modeling assumptions, a number of different models were tested in this audit, based on different approaches to imputing of missing data, aggregation at the pillar level and assignment of weights. Using these models, the 90 percent confidence intervals relating to the ranking positions that an economy might have had under different model assumptions were computed. For the vast majority of economies, these intervals are sufficiently narrow to allow meaningful inferences to be drawn: the intervals comprise 10 or fewer positions for 73 percent (97 out of 132) of the economies. Some caution is needed when considering three economies – Belarus, Brunei Darussalam and Zimbabwe – which have GII rankings that are highly sensitive to the methodological choices. Consequently, their GII ranks – at the 77<sup>th</sup> (Belarus), 92<sup>nd</sup> (Brunei Darussalam) and 107<sup>th</sup> (Zimbabwe) position in the GII classification – should be interpreted cautiously and certainly not taken at face value. This is a remarkable improvement compared to GII versions up to 2016, when more than 40 economies had confidence interval widths of more than 20 positions. The improvement in the confidence that can be placed in the GII 2022 rankings is the direct result of the decision to adopt a more stringent criterion for an economy's inclusion since 2016, which now requires at least 66 percent data availability within each of the two sub-indices. Some caution is also warranted in regard to the Input Sub-Index for five economies – Belarus, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Brunei Darussalam, Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania – that have 90 percent confidence interval widths of more than 20 positions (up to 27 for both Belarus and the Islamic Republic of Iran). A similar degree of caution is also needed in relation to the Output Sub-Index for five economies – Zimbabwe, Nigeria, the United Republic of Tanzania, Belarus and Côte d'Ivoire – that have 90 percent confidence interval widths of more than 20 positions (up to 29 for Zimbabwe). Compared to the GII 2019, the higher level of data availability in the Output Sub-Index this year has led to a much lower number of economies with very wide intervals (five compared to 13 in the GII 2019 edition), which is a noteworthy improvement.

Although ranks for a few economies in the GII 2022 overall, or in the two sub-indices, appear to be sensitive to the methodological choices, the published rankings for the vast majority can be considered to be representative of the plurality of scenarios simulated in this audit. Taking the median rank as the benchmark for an economy's expected rank in the realm of the GII's unavoidable methodological uncertainties, 75 percent of the economies are found to shift fewer than three positions with respect to the median rank in the GII, or in the Input and Output Sub-Indices.

In order to offer full transparency and the most complete information possible, Appendix Table 2 reports the GII 2022 Index and Input and Output Sub-Indices' economy ranks together with the simulated 90 percent confidence intervals to allow a better appreciation of the robustness of the results to the choice of weights and aggregation formula and the impact of estimating missing data (where applicable).

All things considered, the present JRC-COIN audit findings confirm that the GII 2022 meets international quality standards for statistical soundness, which indicates that the GII is a reliable benchmarking tool for innovation practices at the economy level around the world.

Finally, the “distance to the efficiency frontier” measure, calculated using data envelopment analysis, can be used both as a measure of efficiency and as a suitable approach to benchmarking economies' multidimensional performance on innovation without imposing a fixed and common set of weights that may not be fair to a particular economy. The decision made by the GII team to abandon the efficiency ratio (ratio of Output to Input Sub-Index) is particularly laudable. In fact, ratios of composite indicators (Output to Input Sub-Index in this case) come with much higher uncertainty than the sum of the components (Input plus Output Sub-Index, equivalent to the GII). For this reason, developers and users of indices alike need to approach efficiency ratios of this nature with great care. The GII should not represent the ultimate and definitive ranking of economies with respect to innovation. On the contrary, the GII is most accurately defined as an ongoing attempt to find metrics and approaches that capture the richness of innovation most effectively, continuously adapting the GII framework to reflect the improved availability of statistics and the theoretical advances in the field. In any case, the GII should be regarded as a sound attempt, based on the principle of transparency, matured over 15 years of constant refinements, to pave the way for better and more informed innovation policies worldwide.

**Appendix Table 2 GII 2022 and Input/Output Sub-Indices:  
Ranks and 90 percent confidence intervals**

	GII 2022		Input Sub-Index		Output Sub-Index	
	Rank	Interval	Rank	Interval	Rank	Interval
Switzerland	1	[1, 1]	3	[2, 4]	1	[1, 1]
United States	2	[2, 3]	2	[2, 4]	5	[4, 7]
Sweden	3	[2, 3]	4	[2, 5]	2	[2, 3]
United Kingdom	4	[4, 4]	7	[5, 9]	3	[2, 3]
Netherlands	5	[5, 8]	10	[7, 13]	6	[6, 8]
Republic of Korea	6	[5, 9]	16	[10, 18]	4	[4, 5]
Singapore	7	[5, 11]	1	[1, 1]	14	[13, 17]
Germany	8	[5, 9]	12	[11, 16]	7	[5, 7]
Finland	9	[7, 10]	6	[5, 7]	9	[9, 11]
Denmark	10	[9, 11]	8	[7, 11]	10	[9, 11]
China	11	[8, 12]	21	[17, 24]	8	[5, 8]
France	12	[11, 12]	13	[12, 16]	11	[9, 11]
Japan	13	[13, 13]	11	[8, 14]	12	[12, 13]
Hong Kong, China	14	[14, 22]	5	[4, 8]	25	[18, 32]
Canada	15	[14, 19]	9	[8, 12]	23	[23, 25]
Israel	16	[14, 21]	22	[14, 25]	16	[14, 22]
Austria	17	[15, 20]	17	[14, 21]	21	[19, 21]
Estonia	18	[15, 21]	15	[10, 21]	22	[22, 24]
Luxembourg	19	[15, 20]	20	[17, 23]	18	[15, 21]
Iceland	20	[15, 20]	24	[23, 26]	17	[14, 17]
Malta	21	[18, 23]	27	[27, 28]	13	[12, 15]
Norway	22	[21, 24]	14	[11, 19]	29	[27, 30]
Ireland	23	[21, 23]	25	[22, 26]	19	[18, 21]
New Zealand	24	[24, 28]	23	[20, 26]	28	[26, 29]
Australia	25	[24, 28]	19	[15, 20]	32	[31, 32]
Belgium	26	[24, 28]	26	[23, 26]	24	[24, 27]
Cyprus	27	[25, 28]	29	[28, 30]	20	[18, 22]
Italy	28	[23, 28]	31	[30, 34]	15	[14, 17]
Spain	29	[29, 30]	28	[27, 29]	26	[25, 27]
Czech Republic	30	[29, 31]	33	[31, 36]	27	[22, 30]
United Arab Emirates	31	[30, 36]	18	[16, 22]	52	[51, 57]
Portugal	32	[30, 32]	32	[31, 34]	31	[29, 31]
Slovenia	33	[33, 35]	30	[29, 32]	35	[35, 38]
Hungary	34	[32, 35]	36	[34, 38]	34	[33, 34]
Bulgaria	35	[32, 37]	47	[42, 51]	30	[27, 33]
Malaysia	36	[35, 37]	35	[32, 36]	37	[37, 38]
Türkiye	37	[34, 38]	49	[43, 56]	33	[32, 34]
Poland	38	[37, 39]	41	[37, 43]	36	[35, 36]
Lithuania	39	[37, 40]	34	[31, 36]	47	[45, 48]
India	40	[39, 41]	42	[38, 46]	39	[37, 41]
Latvia	41	[40, 41]	39	[37, 43]	42	[41, 44]
Croatia	42	[42, 42]	45	[42, 49]	40	[40, 43]
Thailand	43	[43, 45]	48	[42, 54]	44	[43, 46]
Greece	44	[43, 46]	44	[41, 49]	49	[47, 49]
Mauritius	45	[43, 59]	40	[38, 55]	54	[52, 66]
Slovakia	46	[45, 50]	54	[49, 56]	45	[43, 52]
Russian Federation	47	[43, 50]	46	[39, 52]	50	[47, 51]
Viet Nam	48	[44, 49]	59	[54, 62]	41	[39, 44]
Romania	49	[45, 50]	56	[51, 60]	43	[41, 48]
Chile	50	[46, 50]	43	[41, 46]	57	[55, 57]
Saudi Arabia	51	[50, 58]	37	[35, 39]	65	[62, 71]
Qatar	52	[51, 65]	38	[37, 47]	67	[65, 75]
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	53	[49, 60]	73	[64, 91]	38	[36, 40]
Brazil	54	[50, 55]	58	[49, 63]	53	[52, 54]
Serbia	55	[51, 58]	55	[47, 59]	58	[56, 62]
Republic of Moldova	56	[52, 58]	78	[72, 82]	46	[43, 47]
Ukraine	57	[48, 59]	75	[64, 80]	48	[39, 51]
Mexico	58	[54, 58]	70	[59, 72]	55	[54, 56]
Philippines	59	[55, 61]	76	[68, 80]	51	[50, 53]
Montenegro	60	[58, 63]	51	[49, 59]	72	[64, 72]
South Africa	61	[60, 64]	69	[62, 72]	61	[59, 62]
Kuwait	62	[62, 78]	66	[63, 77]	66	[64, 77]
Colombia	63	[62, 67]	63	[54, 65]	70	[69, 71]
Uruguay	64	[58, 72]	57	[49, 62]	76	[66, 79]
Peru	65	[63, 77]	52	[47, 64]	81	[80, 83]
North Macedonia	66	[64, 75]	60	[54, 69]	77	[70, 81]

Appendix Table 2 Continued

	GII 2022		Input Sub-Index		Output Sub-Index	
	Rank	Interval	Rank	Interval	Rank	Interval
Morocco	67	[61, 71]	87	[83, 89]	56	[51, 57]
Costa Rica	68	[61, 70]	67	[62, 72]	71	[61, 74]
Argentina	69	[62, 71]	77	[67, 78]	62	[61, 63]
Bosnia and Herzegovina	70	[67, 76]	64	[58, 75]	75	[72, 76]
Mongolia	71	[67, 76]	81	[77, 88]	64	[58, 67]
Bahrain	72	[68, 83]	50	[44, 64]	86	[84, 94]
Tunisia	73	[66, 81]	89	[80, 91]	59	[58, 68]
Georgia	74	[66, 78]	61	[56, 69]	82	[72, 83]
Indonesia	75	[70, 76]	72	[65, 79]	74	[71, 74]
Jamaica	76	[68, 78]	88	[81, 91]	60	[58, 67]
Belarus	77	[56, 79]	86	[63, 90]	63	[52, 74]
Jordan	78	[74, 79]	71	[65, 78]	78	[76, 79]
Oman	79	[77, 84]	62	[54, 65]	87	[85, 97]
Armenia	80	[72, 80]	82	[78, 87]	73	[65, 73]
Panama	81	[79, 83]	83	[79, 90]	80	[75, 80]
Uzbekistan	82	[81, 87]	68	[66, 76]	91	[85, 93]
Kazakhstan	83	[79, 93]	65	[60, 71]	97	[86, 102]
Albania	84	[84, 85]	80	[74, 82]	89	[86, 89]
Sri Lanka	85	[80, 87]	102	[92, 106]	68	[66, 77]
Botswana	86	[85, 95]	74	[69, 84]	94	[94, 108]
Pakistan	87	[82, 97]	111	[98, 112]	69	[68, 83]
Kenya	88	[85, 97]	103	[100, 108]	79	[78, 81]
Egypt	89	[85, 93]	97	[94, 99]	83	[81, 84]
Dominican Republic	90	[88, 93]	90	[86, 95]	92	[90, 93]
Paraguay	91	[87, 92]	94	[91, 98]	84	[80, 87]
Brunei Darussalam	92	[82, 121]	53	[46, 70]	129	[115, 129]
Azerbaijan	93	[90, 101]	79	[75, 83]	110	[109, 119]
Kyrgyzstan	94	[93, 103]	85	[79, 93]	108	[103, 114]
Ghana	95	[91, 102]	105	[101, 109]	88	[87, 93]
Namibia	96	[94, 105]	84	[81, 90]	113	[107, 114]
Cambodia	97	[93, 98]	92	[88, 98]	102	[94, 103]
Ecuador	98	[93, 101]	96	[92, 99]	98	[95, 99]
Senegal	99	[98, 103]	93	[91, 100]	105	[101, 106]
El Salvador	100	[87, 101]	101	[92, 102]	95	[84, 97]
Trinidad and Tobago	101	[88, 106]	95	[86, 103]	103	[92, 108]
Bangladesh	102	[93, 110]	112	[109, 125]	90	[89, 98]
United Republic of Tanzania	103	[99, 119]	100	[97, 118]	99	[98, 123]
Tajikistan	104	[103, 108]	104	[99, 113]	101	[98, 107]
Rwanda	105	[100, 120]	91	[87, 103]	123	[110, 124]
Madagascar	106	[96, 115]	125	[120, 128]	85	[85, 95]
Zimbabwe	107	[96, 126]	120	[110, 127]	93	[92, 121]
Nicaragua	108	[103, 109]	99	[94, 111]	112	[99, 114]
Côte d'Ivoire	109	[107, 121]	109	[101, 117]	106	[105, 126]
Guatemala	110	[100, 111]	117	[111, 120]	96	[89, 96]
Nepal	111	[106, 111]	106	[101, 114]	111	[101, 112]
Lao People's Democratic Republic	112	[105, 116]	98	[96, 108]	122	[108, 122]
Honduras	113	[103, 113]	108	[97, 109]	116	[107, 117]
Nigeria	114	[108, 125]	113	[105, 119]	107	[101, 128]
Algeria	115	[109, 117]	110	[100, 115]	118	[115, 123]
Myanmar	116	[108, 118]	122	[116, 126]	104	[100, 104]
Ethiopia	117	[112, 124]	126	[123, 128]	100	[99, 106]
Zambia	118	[113, 120]	118	[111, 121]	115	[110, 116]
Uganda	119	[110, 123]	116	[103, 126]	120	[112, 123]
Burkina Faso	120	[119, 126]	114	[112, 118]	124	[124, 126]
Cameroon	121	[119, 125]	124	[117, 131]	114	[111, 122]
Togo	122	[114, 123]	115	[111, 119]	125	[119, 126]
Mozambique	123	[117, 126]	123	[118, 128]	119	[112, 122]
Benin	124	[116, 130]	107	[102, 116]	131	[127, 131]
Niger	125	[118, 127]	119	[116, 126]	126	[114, 127]
Mali	126	[115, 127]	128	[120, 128]	121	[111, 122]
Angola	127	[122, 132]	129	[128, 132]	117	[114, 132]
Yemen	128	[117, 131]	132	[125, 132]	109	[101, 118]
Mauritania	129	[127, 132]	121	[117, 126]	132	[131, 132]
Burundi	130	[129, 131]	127	[124, 132]	130	[126, 130]
Iraq	131	[127, 132]	130	[113, 131]	127	[127, 130]
Guinea	132	[128, 132]	131	[129, 132]	128	[126, 130]

## Appendix III Sources and definitions

This appendix complements the economy profiles and the online data tables by providing the title, description, definition and source for each of the 81 indicators included in the Global Innovation Index (GII) this year.

For all 132 economies in the GII in 2022, the most recent values, within the period 2012 to 2021, were used for each indicator, with a few noted exceptions (see Appendix I).

The year provided next to the indicator description (directly below the indicator title) corresponds to the year when data were most frequently available for economies. When more than one year is considered, the period used is indicated at the end of the indicator's source in parentheses.

Of the 81 indicators, 65 variables are hard data, 13 are composite indicators, marked with an asterisk (\*), and three are survey questions from the World Economic Forum's Executive Opinion Survey, marked with a dagger (†). In some cases, additional markings are provided at the end of the indicator description. Instances marked with <sup>a</sup> signal indicators that were assigned half weights and those marked with <sup>b</sup> are indicators where higher scores indicate poorer outcomes, commonly known as "bads." Appendix I presents more details on the computation.

Some indicators are scaled during computation to make them comparable across economies. Indicators are scaled either in relation to other comparable indicators or through division by gross domestic product (GDP) in current US dollars, purchasing power parity GDP in international dollars (PPP\$ GDP), population, total trade, etc. In all cases, the scaling factor used was the value that corresponded to the same year of the indicator.



### 1. Institutions

#### 1.1. Political environment

##### 1.1.1. Political and operational stability\*

Political, legal, operational or security risk index\*<sup>b</sup> | 2021

Index that measures the likelihood and severity of political, legal, operational or security risks affecting business operations. Scores are annualized, standardized and aggregated for end Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4.

Source: IHS Markit, Country Risk Scores (<https://ihsmarkit.com/industry/economics-country-risk.html>). Data year: 2021.

##### 1.1.2. Government effectiveness\*

Government effectiveness index\* | 2020

Index that reflects perceptions of the quality of public services, the quality of the civil service and the degree of its independence from political pressures, the quality of policy formulation and implementation, and the credibility of the government's commitment to such policies. Scores are standardized.

Source: World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators (<http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi>). Data year: 2020.

#### 1.2. Regulatory environment

##### 1.2.1. Regulatory quality\*

Regulatory quality index\*<sup>a</sup> | 2020

Index that reflects perceptions of the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private-sector development. Scores are standardized.

Source: World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators (<http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi>). Data year: 2020.

### 1.2.2. Rule of law\*

Rule of law index<sup>\*a</sup> | 2020

Index that reflects perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence. Scores are standardized.

Source: World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators (<http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi>). Data year: 2020.

### 1.2.3. Cost of redundancy dismissal

Sum of notice period and severance pay for redundancy dismissal (salary in weeks, averages for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure, with a minimum threshold of 8 weeks)<sup>b</sup> | 2020

Redundancy costs measure the cost of advance notice requirements and severance payments due when terminating a redundant worker's employment, expressed in weeks of salary. The average value of notice requirements and severance payments applicable to a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years are considered. One month is recorded as 4 and 1/3 weeks. If the redundancy cost adds up to 8 or fewer weeks of salary, a value of 8 is assigned but the actual number of weeks is published. If the cost adds up to more than 8 weeks of salary, the score is the number of weeks.

Source: World Bank, Employing Workers Project (<https://www.worldbank.org/en/research/employing-workers>). Data year: 2020.

## 1.3. Business environment

### 1.3.1. Policies for doing business<sup>†</sup>

The extent to which governments ensure a stable policy environment for doing business<sup>†</sup> | 2021

Average answer to the survey question: In your country, to what extent does the government ensure a stable policy environment for doing business? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent].

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2021 (<https://www.weforum.org/>). Data years: 2015–2021.

### 1.3.2. Entrepreneurship policies and culture\*

Entrepreneurship policies and culture index\* | 2021

Average perception scores (five-year average) of experts on entrepreneurial policies and entrepreneurial culture (Items B, C and I3 and I4 of the GEM National Expert Survey). Experts in different fields (purposive sampling, minimum 36 experts per year) assess conditions for entrepreneurship in their country via statements (1= completely false; 10= completely true). Country participation in GEM varies and therefore the number of experts and years on which this item is based differs according to country.

Source: Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM), National Expert Survey (NES) (<https://www.gemconsortium.org/wiki/1142>). Data years: 2017–2021.



## 2. Human capital and research

### 2.1. Education

#### 2.1.1. Expenditure on education, % GDP

Government expenditure on education (% of GDP) | 2020

Total general (local, regional and central) government expenditure on education (current, capital and transfers), expressed as a percentage of GDP. It includes expenditure funded by transfers from international sources to government.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>). Data years: 2011–2021.

#### 2.1.2. Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap

Government funding per secondary pupil (% of GDP per capita) | 2018

Average total (current, capital and transfers) general government expenditure per student at secondary level, expressed as a percentage of GDP per capita.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>). Data years: 2011–2020.

#### 2.1.3. School life expectancy, years

School life expectancy, primary to tertiary education, both sexes (years) | 2019

Total number of years that a person of school entrance age can expect to spend within the primary to tertiary levels of education. For a child of a given age, the school life expectancy is calculated as the sum of the age-specific enrolment rates for primary to tertiary levels of education. The part of the enrolment that is not distributed by age is divided by the school-age population for the primary to tertiary level of education in which they are enrolled and multiplied by the duration of that level of education. The result is then added to the sum of the age-specific enrolment rates. A relatively high value indicates a greater probability of children spending more years in education and a higher overall retention rate within the education system. It must be noted that the expected number of years does not necessarily coincide with the expected number of grades of education completed due to grade repetition.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>). Data years: 2011–2020.

#### 2.1.4. PISA scales in reading, maths and science

PISA scales in reading, mathematics and science | 2018

PISA is the OECD's (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) Programme for International Student Assessment. PISA measures 15-year-olds' ability to use their reading, mathematics and science knowledge skills. Results from PISA indicate the quality and equity of learning outcomes attained around the world. The 2018 PISA survey is the seventh round of the triennial assessment.

The indicator is built using the average of the reading, mathematics and science scores for each country. PISA scores are set in relation to the variation in results observed across all test participants in a country. There is, theoretically, no minimum or maximum score in PISA; rather, the results are scaled to fit approximately normal distributions, with means around 500 score points and standard deviations around 100 score points.

The 2018 scores for China correspond to the provinces/municipalities of Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang only. The 2018 scores for Azerbaijan correspond only to the capital Baku. The 2018 average scores for Spain are based only on the scores for mathematics and science, as the reading scores were not published by the OECD due to implausible student response behavior.

Source: OECD Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) (<https://www.oecd.org/pisa/>). Data years: 2015–2018.

### 2.1.5. Pupil–teacher ratio, secondary

Pupil–teacher ratio, secondary<sup>b</sup> | 2019

The number of pupils enrolled in secondary school divided by the number of secondary school teachers (regardless of their teaching assignment). Where the data are missing for the secondary education level as a whole, the ratios for upper-secondary are reported; if these are also missing, the ratios for lower-secondary are reported instead. A high pupil–teacher ratio suggests that each teacher has to be responsible for a large number of pupils. In other words, the higher the pupil–teacher ratio, the lower the relative access of pupils to teachers.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>). Data years: 2011–2021.

## 2.2. Tertiary education

### 2.2.1. Tertiary enrolment, % gross

School enrolment, tertiary (% gross) | 2019

The ratio of total tertiary enrolment, regardless of age, to the population of the age group that officially corresponds to the tertiary level of education. Tertiary education, whether or not at an advanced research qualification level, normally requires, as a minimum condition of admission, the successful completion of education at the secondary level. The school enrolment ratio can exceed 100 percent due to grade repetition and the inclusion of under-aged and over-aged students, who are early or late entrants.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>). Data years: 2011–2021.

### 2.2.2. Graduates in science and engineering, %

Graduates from science, technology, engineering and mathematics programs (% of total tertiary graduates) | 2020

The share of all tertiary-level graduates in natural sciences, mathematics, statistics, information and technology, manufacturing, engineering and construction as a percentage of all tertiary-level graduates.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); and OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)). Data years: 2015–2020.

### 2.2.3. Tertiary inbound mobility, %

Tertiary inbound mobility rate (%) | 2019

The number of students from abroad studying in a given country as a percentage of the total tertiary-level enrolment in that country.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>). Data years: 2011–2020.

## 2.3. Research and development (R&D)

### 2.3.1. Researchers, FTE/mn pop.

Researchers, full-time equivalent (FTE) (per million population)<sup>a</sup> | 2020

Researchers in R&D are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge. They conduct research and improve or develop concepts, theories, models, techniques, instrumentation, software or operational methods.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)); and Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) (<http://www.ricyt.org/en/>). Data years: 2012–2021.

### 2.3.2. Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP

Gross expenditure on R&D (% of GDP)<sup>a</sup> | 2020

Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD) is the total domestic intramural expenditure on R&D during a given period as a percentage of GDP. “Intramural R&D expenditure” is all expenditure for R&D performed within a statistical unit or sector of the economy during a specific period, regardless of the source of funding.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)); and Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) (<http://www.ricyt.org/en/>). Data years: 2013–2021.

### 2.3.3. Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn USD

Average expenditure of a country’s top three global companies on R&D, million USD | 2021

Average expenditure on R&D of the top three global companies. If a country has fewer than three global companies listed, the figure is either the average of the sum of the two companies listed or the total for a single listed company. A score of 0 is given to countries with no listed companies. The data include economies outside the European Union (EU).

Source: The 2021 EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard (<https://iri.jrc.ec.europa.eu/scoreboard/2021-eu-industrial-rd-investment-scoreboard>). Data year: 2021.

### 2.3.4. QS university ranking, top 3\*

Average score of the top three universities according to the QS world university ranking\* | 2021

Average score of the top three universities per country. If fewer than three universities are listed in the QS ranking of the global top 1,000 universities, the sum of the scores of the listed universities is divided by three, thus implying a score of zero for the non-listed universities. The 2022 ranking corresponds to data published in June 2021.

Source: QS Quacquarelli Symonds Ltd, QS World University Rankings, Top Universities (<https://www.topuniversities.com/university-rankings/world-university-rankings/2022>). Data year: 2021.



## 3. Infrastructure

### 3.1. Information and communication technologies (ICTs)

#### 3.1.1. ICT access\*

ICT access index<sup>\*a</sup> | 2020

The ICT access index is a composite index that assigns weights to four ICT indicators (25 percent each): (1) Percentage of the population covered by mobile networks (at least 3G, at least LTE/WiMax); (2) Mobile cellular telephone subscriptions per 100 inhabitants; (3) International internet bandwidth (bit/s) per internet user; and (4) Percentage of households with internet access.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization (<https://www.wipo.int/>); and World Telecommunication/ICT indicators Database (February 2022 edition) (<https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/publications/wtid.aspx>). Data year: 2020.



### 3.1.2. ICT use\*

ICT use index<sup>\*a</sup> | 2020

The ICT use index is a composite index that assigns weights to four ICT indicators (25 percent each): (1) Percentage of individuals using the internet; (2) Fixed (wired) broadband internet subscriptions per 100 inhabitants; (3) Active mobile broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants; and (4) Mobile broadband internet traffic (gigabytes/subscriptions).

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization (<https://www.wipo.int/>); and World Telecommunication/ICT indicators Database (February 2022 edition) (<https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/publications/wtid.aspx>). Data year: 2020.

### 3.1.3. Government's online service\*

Government online service index<sup>\*a</sup> | 2020

The Online Service Index component of the E-Government Development Index is a composite indicator measuring the use of ICTs by governments in delivering public services at the national level. To arrive at a set of Online Service Index values for 2020, a total of 215 online United Nations Volunteer researchers from 96 countries, covering 66 languages, undertook an E-Government Survey that assessed each country's national website in the native language, including the national portal, e-services portal and e-participation portal, as well as the websites of the related ministries of education, labor, social services, health, finance and environment, as applicable. The total number of points scored by each country is normalized to a range of 0 to 1. The online index value for a given country is equal to the actual total score less the lowest total score divided by the range of total score values for all countries.

Note: The precise meaning of these values varies from one edition of the Survey to the next, as understanding of the potential of e-government changes and the underlying technology evolves.

Source: Division for Public Institutions and Digital Government (formerly the Division for Public Administration and Development Management), United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA), E-Government Survey 2020 (<https://publicadministration.un.org/egovkb/en-us/Reports/UN-E-Government-Survey-2020>). Data year: 2020.

### 3.1.4. E-participation\*

E-Participation Index<sup>\*a</sup> | 2020

The E-Participation Index (EPI) is derived as a supplementary index to the United Nations E-Government Survey. It extends the scope of the Survey by focusing on government use of online services in providing information to its citizens ("e-information sharing"), interacting with stakeholders ("e-consultation") and engaging in decision-making processes ("e-decision-making"). A country's EPI reflects the e-participation mechanisms that are deployed by its government in comparison to all other countries. The purpose of this measure is not to prescribe any specific practice, but rather to offer insight into how different countries are using online tools to promote interaction between government and citizens, as well as between citizens, for the benefit of all. As the EPI is a qualitative assessment based on the availability and relevance of participatory services on government websites, the comparative ranking of countries is for illustrative purposes only and serves as an indicator of the broad trends in promoting citizen engagement. The index ranges from 0 to 1, with 1 showing greater e-participation. Mathematically, the EPI is normalized by taking the total score value for a given country, subtracting the lowest total score for any country in the survey and dividing by the range of total score values for all countries.

Note: The precise meaning of these values varies from one edition of the Survey to the next, as understanding of the potential of e-government changes and the underlying technology evolves.

Source: Division for Public Administration and Development Management (DPADM), United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA), E-Government

Survey 2020 (<https://publicadministration.un.org/egovkb/en-us/Reports/UN-E-Government-Survey-2020>). Data year: 2020.

## 3.2. General infrastructure

### 3.2.1. Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.

Electricity output (GWh per million population) | 2020

Electricity production, measured at the terminals of all alternator sets in a station. In addition to hydropower, coal, oil, gas and nuclear power generation, this indicator covers generation by geothermal, solar, wind, tide and wave energy, as well as that from combustible renewables and waste. Production includes the output of plants that are designed to produce solely electricity as well as the output of combined heat and power plants. Electricity output in GWh is scaled by population.

Source: International Energy Agency (IEA) World Energy Balances, July 2020 edition and February 2021 edition (selected economies) (<https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-balances-overview>). Data years: 2019–2020.

### 3.2.2. Logistics performance\*

Logistics Performance Index\* | 2018

A multidimensional assessment of logistics performance, the Logistics Performance Index (LPI) ranks 160 countries, combining data on six core performance components into a single aggregate measure that includes customs performance, infrastructure quality and timeliness of shipments. The data used in the ranking come from a survey of logistics professionals who are asked questions about the foreign countries in which they operate. The LPI's six components are: (1) Customs: the efficiency of customs and border management clearance; (2) Infrastructure: the quality of trade and transport infrastructure; (3) International shipments: the ease of arranging competitively priced shipments; (4) Services quality: the competence and quality of logistics services; (5) Tracking and tracing: the ability to track and trace consignments; and (6) Timeliness: the frequency with which shipments reach consignees within scheduled or expected delivery times. The LPI therefore consists of both qualitative and quantitative measures and helps to build profiles of logistics friendliness for these countries.

Source: World Bank, Logistics Performance Index 2018 (<https://lpi.worldbank.org/>; <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/29971>); and Arvis *et al.*, 2018, *Connecting to Compete 2018: Trade Logistics in the Global Economy – The Logistics Performance Index and its Indicators*. Data year: 2018.

### 3.2.3. Gross capital formation, % GDP

Gross capital formation (% of GDP) | 2021

Gross capital formation is expressed as the ratio of total investment in current local currency to GDP in current local currency. Investment or gross capital formation is measured by the total value of the gross fixed capital formation and changes in inventories and acquisitions less disposals of valuables for a unit or sector, on the basis of the System of National Accounts (SNA) 1993.

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2021.

## 3.3. Ecological sustainability

### 3.3.1. GDP/unit of energy use

GDP per total energy supply (per thousand 2015 PPP\$ GDP) | 2019

Purchasing power parity gross domestic product (2015 PPP\$ GDP) per total energy supply (TES). TES is made up of the cost of production + imports – exports – international marine bunkers – international aviation bunkers +/- stock changes. GDP/TES is an indicator of energy productivity.

Source: International Energy Agency (IEA) World Energy Balances, July 2021 edition (<https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-balances-overview>). Data years: 2019–2020.

### 3.3.2. Environmental performance\*

Environmental Performance Index\* | 2022

The 2022 Environmental Performance Index (EPI) ranks 180 countries on different categories covering environmental health and ecosystem vitality. These indicators provide a gauge of how close countries are to achieving established environmental policy targets. The EPI offers a scorecard that highlights leaders and laggards in environmental performance and provides practical guidance for countries that aspire to move toward a sustainable future. The index ranges from 0 to 100, with 100 indicating best performance.

Source: Yale University, 2022 Environmental Performance Index (<https://epi.yale.edu/>). Data year: 2022.

### 3.3.3. ISO 14001 environmental certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP

ISO 14001 Environmental management systems – Number of certificates issued (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2020

ISO 14001 specifies the requirements for an environmental management system that an organization can use to enhance its environmental performance. ISO 14001 is intended for use by an organization that is seeking to manage its environmental responsibilities in a systematic manner that contributes to the environmental pillar of sustainability. ISO 14001 helps an organization to achieve the intended outcomes of its environmental management system, providing value for the environment, the organization itself and interested parties. Consistent with the organization's environmental policy, the intended outcomes of an environmental management system include enhancement of environmental performance, fulfillment of compliance obligations and achievement of environmental objectives. ISO 14001 is applicable to any organization, regardless of size, type or nature, and applies to the environmental aspects of its activities, products and services that the organization determines it can either control or influence from a life-cycle perspective. ISO 14001 does not state specific environmental performance criteria. It can be used in whole or in part to systematically improve environmental management. Claims of conformity to ISO 14001, however, are not acceptable unless all its requirements are incorporated into an organization's environmental management system and fulfilled without exclusion. The data are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: International Organization for Standardization, ISO Survey of Certifications to Management System Standards, 2020 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021. Data year: 2020.



## 4. Market sophistication

### 4.1. Credit

#### 4.1.1. Finance for startups and scaleups\*

Finance for startups and scaleups\* | 2021

Average perception scores (five-year average) of experts on finance for starting and growing firms (item A of the GEM National Expert Survey). Experts in different fields (purposive sampling, minimum 36 experts per year) assess conditions for entrepreneurship in their country via statements (1=completely false; 10 = completely true). Country participation in GEM varies and therefore the number of experts and years on which this item is based differs according to country.

Source: Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM), National Expert Survey (NES) (<https://www.gemconsortium.org/wiki/1142>). Data years: 2017–2021.

#### 4.1.2. Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP

Domestic credit to private sector (% of GDP) | 2020

Domestic credit to private sector refers to financial resources provided to the private sector by financial corporations, such as through loans, purchases of non-equity securities and trade credits and other accounts receivable, that establish a claim for repayment. For some countries, these claims include credit to public enterprises. The financial corporations include monetary authorities and deposit money banks, as well as other financial corporations where data are available (including corporations that do not allow transferable deposits but do accept such liabilities as time and savings deposits). Examples of other financial corporations are finance and leasing companies, money lenders, insurance corporations, pension funds and foreign exchange companies.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics and data files (<https://data.imf.org>); and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, extracted from the World Bank's World Development Indicators database (<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>). Data years: 2013–2020.

#### 4.1.3. Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP

Loans from all microfinance institutions (% of GDP) | 2020

Outstanding loans from all microfinance institutions in a country as a percentage of its GDP.

Source: International Monetary Fund, Financial Access Survey (<https://data.imf.org/?sk=E5DCAB7E-A5CA-4892-A6EA-598B5463A34C>). Data years: 2014–2020.

## 4.2. Investment

#### 4.2.1. Market capitalization, % GDP

Market capitalization of listed domestic companies (% of GDP, three-year average) | 2020

Market capitalization (also known as “market value”) is the share price times the number of shares outstanding (including their several classes) for listed domestic companies. Investment funds, unit trusts and companies whose only business goal is to hold shares of other listed companies are excluded. Data are the average of the end-of-year values for the last three years.

Source: World Federation of Exchanges database (<https://www.world-exchanges.org/our-work/statistics>); and extracted from the World Bank's World Development Indicators database (<https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>). Data years: 2011–2020.

#### 4.2.2. Venture capital investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of venture capital deals invested in (per billion PPP\$ GDP, three-year average) | 2021

Refinitiv data on private equity deals, per deal, with information on the location of the firm investing in a venture capital (VC) deal, among other details. The data extraction corresponds to a query on VC deals between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2021, with the data aggregated by the location of the investing firm. The data represent the three-year average of 2019–21 deals invested in and are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: Refinitiv (a London Stock Exchange Group (LSEG) business) Eikon (private equity screener) accessed March 21, 2022 (<https://solutions.refinitiv.com/eikon-trading-software>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2019–2021.

#### 4.2.3. Venture capital recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of venture capital deals received (per billion PPP\$ GDP, three-year average) | 2021

Refinitiv data on private equity deals, per deal, with information on the location of the firm receiving the VC investment, among other details. The data extraction corresponds to a

query on VC deals between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2021, with the data aggregated by the location invested in. The data represent the three-year average of 2019–21 deals received and are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: Refinitiv (an LSEG business) Eikon (private equity screener) accessed March 21, 2022 (<https://solutions.refinitiv.com/eikon-trading-software>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2019–2021.

#### 4.2.4. Venture capital received, value, % GDP

Total value of venture capital received (% of GDP, three-year average) | 2021

Refinitiv data on the monetary value of private equity deals, per deal, with information on the location of the firm receiving the VC investment, among other details. The data extraction corresponds to a query on VC deals between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2021, with the data aggregated by the location invested in. The data represent the three-year average of reported deal value, in current USD (billions), received and are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: Refinitiv (an LSEG business) Eikon (private equity screener) accessed March 21, 2022 (<https://solutions.refinitiv.com/eikon-trading-software>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2019–2021.

### 4.3. Trade, diversification, and market scale

#### 4.3.1. Applied tariff rate, weighted avg., %

Tariff rate, applied, weighted average, all products (%)<sup>b</sup> | 2020

Weighted average applied tariff is the average of effectively applied rates weighted by the product import shares corresponding to each partner country. Data are classified using the Harmonized System of trade at the six- or eight-digit level. Tariff line data were matched to Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) Revision 3 codes to define commodity groups and import weights. As far as possible, specific rates have been converted to their ad valorem equivalent rates and have been included in the calculation of weighted average tariffs. Effectively applied tariff rates at the six- and eight-digit product level are averaged for products in each commodity group. When the effectively applied rate is unavailable, the most favored nation rate is used instead. Data extracted from the World Bank's World Development Indicators database.

Source: World Bank, based on data from United Nations Conference on Trade and Development's Trade Analysis Information System (TRAINS) database and the World Trade Organization's Integrated Database (IDB) and Consolidated Tariff Schedules (CTS) database (<http://data.worldbank.org>). Data years: 2013–2020.

#### 4.3.2. Domestic industry diversification

Domestic industry diversification (based on manufacturing output)<sup>b</sup> | 2019

The Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) for a country's domestic industry is defined as the sum of the squared shares of sub-sectors in total manufacturing output. The HHI is a measure of concentration and can help to determine the extent to which a country's industrial system is diversified across different industrial sub-sectors (or, conversely, concentrated in a few industrial sub-sectors). A country with a perfectly diversified industrial system will have an index close to zero, whereas a country that is active in only one industrial sub-sector will have a value of one (least diversified).

Source: United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), Industrial Statistics Database, two-digit level of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) Revision 3 (INDSTAT 2 2022), Enhancing the Quality of Industrial Policies (EQuIP) Tool 4: Diversification – Domestic and Export Dimensions, 2015 (<http://stat.unido.org>). Data years: 2012–2020.

### 4.3.3. Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$

Domestic market scale as measured by GDP, bn PPP\$ | 2021

The domestic market size is measured by GDP based on the PPP valuation of country GDP, in current international dollars (billions).

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2021.



## 5. Business sophistication

### 5.1. Knowledge workers

#### 5.1.1. Knowledge-intensive employment, %

Employment in knowledge-intensive services (% of workforce) | 2021

Sum of people in categories 1 to 3 as a percentage of total people employed, according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO). Categories included in ISCO-08 are: 1 Managers; 2 Professionals; 3 Technicians and Associate Professionals. Where ISCO-08 data were not available, ISCO-88 data were used. Categories included in ISCO-88 are: 1 Legislators, senior officials and managers; 2 Professionals; 3 Technicians and associate professionals.

Source: International Labour Organization (ILO), ILOSTAT Database of Labour Statistics (<https://ilostat.ilo.org>). Data years: 2011–2021.

#### 5.1.2. Firms offering formal training, %

Firms offering formal training (% of firms) | 2019

The percentage of firms offering formal training programs for their permanent, full-time employees in the sample of firms in the World Bank's Enterprise Survey in each country.

Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys (<https://www.enterprisesurveys.org>). Data years: 2011–2021.

#### 5.1.3. GERD performed by business, % GDP

GERD performed by business enterprises (% of GDP) | 2020

Gross expenditure on R&D performed by business enterprises as a percentage of GDP. For the definition of GERD, see indicator 2.3.2.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)); and Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) (<http://www.ricyt.org/en/>). Data years: 2011–2020.

#### 5.1.4. GERD financed by business, %

GERD financed by business enterprises (% of GERD) | 2019

Gross expenditure on R&D financed by business enterprises as a percentage of total gross expenditure on R&D. For the definition of GERD, see indicator 2.3.2.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)); and Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) (<http://www.ricyt.org/en/>). Data years: 2011–2020.

### 5.1.5. Females employed w/advanced degrees, %

Females employed with advanced degrees, % total employed (25+ years old) | 2021

The percentage of females employed with advanced degrees out of total employed. The employed comprise all persons of working age who, during a specified brief period, were in one of the following categories: (1) paid employment; or (2) self-employment. Data are disaggregated by level of education, which refers to the highest level of education completed, classified according to the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCE). Data for Canada are based on Table 14-10-0020-01 of the country's Labour Force Survey estimates.

Source: International Labour Organization, ILOSTAT Database of Labour Statistics (<https://ilostat.ilo.org>); and Statistics Canada, Table 14-10-0020-01 Unemployment rate, participation rate and employment rate by educational attainment, annual (<https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=1410002001>). Data years: 2012–2021.

## 5.2. Innovation linkages

### 5.2.1. University–industry R&D collaboration<sup>†</sup>

The extent to which businesses and universities collaborate on R&D<sup>†</sup> | 2021

Average answer to the survey question: In your country, to what extent do businesses and universities collaborate on research and development (R&D)? [1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent].

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2021 (<https://www.weforum.org/>). Data years: 2014–2021.

### 5.2.2. State of cluster development and depth<sup>†</sup>

How widespread clusters are<sup>†</sup> | 2021

Average answer to the survey question: In your country, how widespread are well-developed and deep clusters (geographic concentrations of firms, suppliers, producers of related products and services, and specialized institutions in a particular field)? [1 = nonexistent; 7 = widespread in many fields].

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2021 (<https://www.weforum.org/>). Data years: 2015–2021.

### 5.2.3. GERD financed by abroad, % GDP

GERD financed by abroad (% of GDP) | 2019

Percentage of gross expenditure on R&D financed by abroad (billions, national currency) – that is, with foreign financing as a percentage of GDP (billions, national currency). For the definition of GERD, see indicator 2.3.2.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)); and Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) (<http://www.ricyt.org/en/>). Data years: 2013–2020.

### 5.2.4. Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of joint venture/strategic alliance deals, fractional counting (per billion PPP\$ GDP, three-year average) | 2021

Refinitiv's data on joint ventures/strategic alliances, per deal, with details on the country of origin of partner firms, among others. The data extraction corresponds to a query on joint venture/strategic alliance deals between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2021. The nation of each company participating in a deal ( $n$  companies per deal) is allocated, per deal, a score equivalent to  $1/n$  (with the effect that all country scores add up to the total number of deals). The data are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: Refinitiv (an LSEG business) SDC Platinum database (<https://www.refinitiv.com/en/financial-data/deals-data/joint-venture-deals>); and International Monetary Fund World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2019–2021.

#### 5.2.5. Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of patent families filed in at least two offices (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2018

A patent family is a set of interrelated patent applications filed in one or more countries or jurisdictions to protect the same invention. Patent families containing applications filed in at least two different offices is a subset of patent families where protection of the same invention is sought in at least two different countries. In this report, “patent families data” refers to patent families containing applications filed in at least two intellectual property (IP) offices; the data are scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions). A patent is a set of exclusive rights granted by law to applicants for inventions that are new, non-obvious and industrially applicable. A patent is valid for a limited period of time (generally 20 years) and within a defined territory. The patent system is designed to encourage innovation by providing innovators with time-limited exclusive legal rights, thus enabling them to reap the rewards of their innovative activity.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, Intellectual Property Statistics ([www.wipo.int/ipstats](http://www.wipo.int/ipstats)); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2018.

### 5.3. Knowledge absorption

#### 5.3.1. Intellectual property payments, % total trade

Charges for use of intellectual property, i.e., payments (% of total trade, three-year average) | 2020

Charges for the use of intellectual property not included elsewhere, i.e., payments (% of total trade), average of three most recent years or most recent year. Value is calculated according to the Extended Balance of Payments Services Classification EBOPS 2010 – that is, code SH: Charges for the use of intellectual property not included elsewhere, as a percentage of total trade. Total trade is defined as the sum of total imports of code G goods and code SOX commercial services (excluding government goods and services not included elsewhere) plus total exports of code G goods and code SOX commercial services (excluding government goods and services not included elsewhere), divided by 2. According to the sixth edition of the International Monetary Fund’s *Balance of Payments Manual*, the item “Goods” covers general merchandise, net exports of goods under merchanting and non-monetary gold. The “commercial services” category is defined as being equal to “services” minus “government goods and services not included elsewhere”. Receipts are between residents and non-residents for the use of proprietary rights (such as patents, trademarks, copyrights, industrial processes and designs, including trade secrets and franchises), and for licenses to reproduce or distribute (or both) intellectual property embodied in produced originals or prototypes (such as copyrights on books and manuscripts, computer software, cinematographic works and sound recordings) and related rights (such as for live performances and television, cable or satellite broadcast).

Source: World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade in Commercial Services database (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2012–2020.

#### 5.3.2. High-tech imports, % total trade

High-tech imports (% of total trade) | 2020

High-technology imports as a percentage of total trade. High-technology exports and imports contain technical products with a high intensity of R&D, defined by the Eurostat classification, which is based on Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) Revision 4 and the OECD definition (see [http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cache/metadata/Annexes/htec\\_esms\\_an5.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cache/metadata/Annexes/htec_esms_an5.pdf)). Commodities belong to the following sectors: aerospace; computers and office machines; electronics – telecommunications; pharmacy; scientific instruments; electrical machinery; chemistry; non-electrical machinery; and armament.



Source: United Nations Comtrade Database (<http://comtrade.un.org>); and World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2015–2020.

### 5.3.3. ICT services imports, % total trade

Telecommunications, computer and information services imports (% of total trade) | 2020

Telecommunications, computer and information services imports as a percentage of total trade according to the OECD's Extended Balance of Payments Services Classification EBOPS 2010, coded SI: Telecommunications, computer, and information services. Values are based on the classification of the sixth (2009) edition of the International Monetary Fund's *Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual* and Balance of Payments database. For the definition of total trade, see indicator 5.3.1.

Source: World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade in Commercial Services database (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2016–2020.

### 5.3.4. FDI net inflows, % GDP

Foreign direct investment (FDI) net inflows (% of GDP, three-year average) | 2020

FDI net inflow is the average of the most recent three years of net inflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10 percent or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital and short-term capital as shown in the balance of payments. This data series shows net inflows (new investment inflows less disinvestment) in the reporting economy from foreign investors, and is divided by GDP. Data extracted from the World Bank's World Development Indicators database.

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics and Balance of Payments databases (<https://data.imf.org/>; <https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/debt-statistics>); and World Bank, International Debt Statistics. Data years: 2018–2020.

### 5.3.5. Research talent, % in businesses

Researchers in business enterprise (%) | 2020

Researchers in the business enterprise sector, measured in full-time equivalence (FTE), refers to researchers as professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems, as well as in the management of these projects, broken down by the sectors in which they are employed (business enterprise, government, higher education and private non-profit organizations). In the context of R&D statistics, the business enterprise sector includes all firms, organizations and institutions whose primary activity is the market production of goods or services (other than higher education) for sale to the general public at an economically significant price and the mainly private non-profit institutions serving them; the core of this sector is made up of private enterprises.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) online database (<http://data.uis.unesco.org>); Eurostat database (<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>); OECD, Main Science and Technology Indicators (MSTI) database ([https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI\\_PUB](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB)); and Ibero-American and Inter-American Network of Science and Technology Indicators (RICYT) (<http://www.ricyt.org/en/>). Data years: 2013–2020.



## 6. Knowledge and technology outputs

### 6.1. Knowledge creation

#### 6.1.1. Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of resident patent applications filed at a given national or regional patent office (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2020

The definition of a patent can be found in the description of indicator 5.2.5. A resident patent application refers to an application filed with an IP office for or on behalf of the first-named applicant's country of residence. For example, an application filed with the Japan Patent Office by a resident of Japan is to be considered a resident application for Japan. Similarly, an application filed with the European Patent Office (EPO) by an applicant who resides in any of the EPO member states (for example, Germany) is considered to be a resident application for that member state (Germany). Data are scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions).

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, Intellectual Property Statistics (<https://www.wipo.int/ipstats>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2014–2020.

#### 6.1.2. PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) applications (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2021

A PCT application refers to an international patent application filed through the WIPO-administered Patent Cooperation Treaty. The PCT system makes it possible to seek patent protection for an invention simultaneously in a number of countries by filing a single international patent application. The origin of PCT applications is defined by the residence of the first-named applicant. Data are available only for those economies which are PCT Contracting States (156 to date). Data are scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions).

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, Intellectual Property Statistics (<https://www.wipo.int/ipstats>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2021.

#### 6.1.3. Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of resident utility model applications filed at the national patent office (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2020

A utility model (UM) is a special form of patent right. The terms and conditions for granting a UM are slightly different from those for patents and include a shorter term of protection and less stringent patentability requirements. A resident UM application refers to an application filed with an IP office for or on behalf of the first-named applicant's country of residence. For example, an application filed with the IP office of Germany by a resident of Germany is considered a resident application for Germany. Data are scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions).

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, Intellectual Property Statistics (<https://www.wipo.int/ipstats>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2015–2020.

#### 6.1.4. Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of scientific and technical journal articles (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2021

The number of articles published in the fields of science and technology. This encompasses 182 different research categories belonging to research areas including engineering, chemistry, physics, environmental sciences, computer science, mathematics, biochemistry, molecular biology, oncology, agriculture, cell biology and many more. Article counts are taken from a set of journals covered by the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) and the Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI). Articles are classified by

year of publication and assigned to each economy on the basis of the institutional address(es) listed in the article.

Articles are counted on a count basis (rather than a fractional basis) – that is, for articles with collaborating institutions from multiple economies, each economy receives credit on the basis of its participating institutions. The data are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: Clarivate, Web of Science, accessed March 21, 2022 (<https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/solutions/web-of-science>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2021.

#### 6.1.5. Citable documents H-index

The H-index is the economy's number of published articles (H) that have received at least H citations | 2021

The H-index expresses the journal's number of articles (H) that have received at least H citations. It quantifies both journal scientific productivity and scientific impact, and is also applicable to scientists, journals, and so on. The H-index is tabulated from the number of citations received in subsequent years by articles published in a given year, divided by the number of articles published that year.

Source: SCImago, SJR – SCImago Journal & Country Rank, retrieved May 2022 (<https://www.scimagojr.com>). Data year: 2021.

## 6.2. Knowledge impact

#### 6.2.1. Labor productivity growth, %

Growth rate of GDP per person employed (% , five-year average) | 2021

Growth rate of real GDP per person employed, average of five most recent available years (2017–2021). Growth of GDP per person engaged provides a measure of labor productivity (defined as output per unit of labor input). GDP per person employed is GDP divided by total employment in the economy.

Source: The Conference Board Total Economy Database™, April 2022 (<https://www.conference-board.org/data/economydatabase>). Data year: 2021.

#### 6.2.2. New businesses/th pop. 15–64

New business density (new registrations per thousand population, 15–64 years old) | 2020

The number of newly registered firms with limited liability per 1,000 working-age people (aged 15–64 years old) per calendar year (new business density rate).

Source: World Bank, Entrepreneurship Database (<https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/entrepreneurship>). Data years: 2012–2020.

#### 6.2.3. Software spending, % GDP

Total computer software spending (% of GDP) | 2021

Computer software spending includes the total value of purchased or leased packaged software, such as operating systems, database systems, programming tools, utilities and applications. It excludes expenditures for internal software development and outsourced custom software development. The data are a combination of actual figures and estimates. Data are reported as a percentage of GDP.

Source: IHS Markit, Information and Communication Technology Database (<https://www.ih.com/index.html>). Data year: 2021.

#### 6.2.4. ISO 9001 quality certificates/bn PPP\$ GDP

ISO 9001 Quality management systems – number of certificates issued (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2020

ISO 9001 specifies requirements for a quality management system when an organization needs to demonstrate its ability to provide products and services that meet both customer and applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. It aims to enhance customer satisfaction through the effective application of the system, including processes for improving the system and ensuring conformity to customer and applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. All the requirements of ISO 9001 are generic and intended to be applicable to any organization, regardless of its type or size, or the products and services it provides. The data are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Source: International Organization for Standardization (ISO) Survey 2020 (<https://www.iso.org/the-iso-survey.html>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2020.

#### 6.2.5. High-tech manufacturing, %

High-tech and medium-high-tech manufacturing (% of total manufacturing output) | 2019

High-technology and medium-high-technology output as a percentage of total manufacturing output, on the basis of the OECD classification of Technology Intensity Definition (<https://www.oecd.org/sti/ind/48350231.pdf>), itself based on International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) Revision 4 and Revision 3, and using data from the INDSTAT 2 and INDSTAT 4 databases of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

Source: United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), Industrial Statistics Database INDSTAT 2 2022 and INDSTAT 4 2022 (<https://stat.unido.org>). Data years: 2012–2020.

### 6.3. Knowledge diffusion

#### 6.3.1. Intellectual property receipts, % total trade

Charges for use of intellectual property, i.e., receipts (% total trade, three-year average) | 2020

Charges for the use of intellectual property not included elsewhere, i.e., receipts (% of total trade), average of three most recent years or most recent year. Value is calculated according to the Extended Balance of Payments Services Classification EBOPS 2010 – that is, code SH: Charges for the use of intellectual property not included elsewhere, as a percentage of total trade. Receipts are between residents and non-residents for the use of proprietary rights (such as patents, trademarks, copyrights, industrial processes and designs, including trade secrets and franchises), and for licenses to reproduce or distribute (or both) intellectual property embodied in produced originals or prototypes (such as copyrights on books and manuscripts, computer software, cinematographic works and sound recordings) and related rights (such as for live performances and television, cable or satellite broadcast). Values are based on the classification of the sixth (2009) edition of the International Monetary Fund's *Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual* and Balance of Payments database. For the definition of total trade, see indicator 5.3.1.

Source: World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade in Commercial Services database (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2015–2020.

#### 6.3.2. Production and export complexity

The Economic Complexity Index | 2019

The Economic Complexity Index is a ranking of countries based on the diversity and complexity of their export basket. High-complexity countries are home to a range of sophisticated, specialized capabilities and are therefore able to produce a highly

diversified set of complex products. Determining the economic complexity of a country is not solely dependent on a country's productive knowledge. Information about how many capabilities the country has is contained not only in the absolute number of products that it makes, but also in the ubiquity of those products (the number of countries that import those products) and in the sophistication and diversity of the products that those other countries make. Economic complexity expresses the diversity and sophistication of the productive capabilities embedded in the exports of each country.

Source: The Atlas of Economic Complexity, Growth Lab at Harvard University (<https://atlas.cid.harvard.edu>). Data year: 2019.

### 6.3.3. High-tech exports, % total trade

High-tech exports (% of total trade) | 2020

High-technology exports as a percentage of total trade. See indicator 5.3.2 for details. Data for Hong Kong, China are corrected for re-exports using data from the Trade Data Monitor.

Source: United Nations Comtrade Database (<http://comtrade.un.org>); World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (<https://stats.wto.org/>); and Trade Data Monitor (<https://www.tradedatamonitor.com/>). Data years: 2015–2020.

### 6.3.4. ICT services exports, % total trade

Telecommunications, computer and information services exports (% of total trade) | 2020

Telecommunications, computer and information services exports as a percentage of total trade according to the Extended Balance of Payments Services Classification EBOPS 2010, coded SI: Telecommunications, computer, and information services. Values are based on the classification of the sixth (2009) edition of the International Monetary Fund's *Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual* and Balance of Payments database. For the definition of total trade, see indicator 5.3.1.

Source: World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade in Commercial Services database (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2016–2020.



## 7. Creative outputs

### 7.1. Intangible assets

#### 7.1.1. Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %

Intangible asset value as a percentage of the firm's total value, average of the top 15 firms | 2021

The data cover a global list of firms for which intangible asset value and total firm value are observed. Only the top 15 firms of each economy are considered, ranked by intangible assets in absolute terms (in USD). Countries with fewer than 15 firms are not considered. For each firm, the intangible asset value is divided by the firm's total value before computing the arithmetic mean across the top 15 firms for each economy.

Source: Brand Finance Global Intangible Finance Tracker (<https://brandirectory.com/reports/gif-2021>). Data year: 2021.

#### 7.1.2. Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of classes in resident trademark applications issued at a given national or regional office (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2020

A trademark is a sign used by the owner of certain products or provider of certain services to distinguish them from the products or services of other companies. A trademark can consist of words or a combination of words and other elements, such as slogans, names,

logos, figures and images, letters, numbers, sounds and moving images. The procedures for registering trademarks are governed by the legislation and procedures of national and regional IP offices. Trademark rights are limited to the jurisdiction of the IP office that registers the trademark. Trademarks can be registered by filing an application at the relevant national or regional office(s) or by filing an international application through the Madrid System. A resident trademark application refers to an application filed with an IP office for or on behalf of the first-named applicant's country of residence. For example, an application filed with the Japan Patent Office by a resident of Japan is considered to be a resident application for Japan. Similarly, an application filed with the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM) by an applicant who resides in any of the EU member states, such as France, is considered to be a resident application for that member state (France). This indicator is based on class count – the total number of goods and services classes specified in resident trademark applications. Data are scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions).

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, Intellectual Property Statistics ([www.wipo.int/ipstats](http://www.wipo.int/ipstats)); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2012–2020.

### 7.1.3. Global brand value, top 5,000, % GDP

Global brand value of the top 5,000 brands (% of GDP) | 2021

Sum of global brand values, top 5,000 as a percentage of GDP. Brand Finance calculates brand value using the royalty relief methodology, which determines the value that a company would be willing to pay to license its brand if it did not own it. The methodology is compliant with industry standards set in ISO 10668. This approach involves estimating the future revenue attributable to a brand and calculating a royalty rate that would be charged for the use of the brand. Brand Finance's study is based on publicly available information on the largest brands in the world. This indicator assesses the economy's brands in the top 5,000 global brand database and produces the sum of the brand values corresponding to that economy. This sum is then scaled by GDP. A score of 0 is assigned where there are no brands in the country that make the top 5,000 ranking. A score of "n/a" is assigned where Brand Finance has been unable to determine if there are brands from the country that would rank within the top 5,000 due to data availability limitations.

Source: Brand Finance database (<https://brandirectory.com/>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2021.

### 7.1.4. Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP

Number of designs contained in resident industrial design applications filed at a given national or regional office (per billion PPP\$ GDP) | 2020

An industrial design is a set of exclusive rights granted by law to applicants to protect the ornamental or aesthetic aspect of their products. An industrial design is valid for a limited period of time and within a defined territory. A resident industrial design application refers to an application filed with the IP office for or on behalf of the applicant's country of residence. For example, an application filed with the Japan Patent Office by a resident of Japan is considered to be a resident application for Japan. Similarly, an application filed with the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM) by an applicant who resides in any of the OHIM member states, such as Italy, is considered to be a resident application for that member state (Italy). This indicator is based on design count – the total number of designs contained in the resident industrial design applications. Data are scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions).

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, Intellectual Property Statistics ([www.wipo.int/ipstats](http://www.wipo.int/ipstats)); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2014–2020.

## 7.2. Creative goods and services

### 7.2.1. Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade

Cultural and creative services exports (% of total trade) | 2020

Creative services exports as a percentage of total exports according to the Extended Balance of Payments Services Classification EBOPS 2010 – that is, EBOPS code SI3: Information services; code SJ22: Advertising, market research, and public opinion polling services; code SK1: Audio-visual and related services; and code SK23: Heritage and recreational services as a percentage of total trade. Values are based on the classification of the sixth (2009) edition of the International Monetary Fund's *Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual* and Balance of Payments database. See indicator 5.3.1 for the full definition of total trade.

Source: World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade in Commercial Services database (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2012–2020.

### 7.2.2. National feature films/mn pop. 15–69

Number of national feature films produced (per million population, 15–69 years old) | 2019

A feature film is defined as a film with a running time of 60 minutes or longer. It includes works of fiction, animation and documentaries. It is intended for commercial exhibition in cinemas. Feature films produced exclusively for television broadcasting, as well as newsreels and advertising films, are excluded. Data are reported per million population aged 15–69 years old.

Source: OMDIA (<https://omdia.tech.informa.com/products/cinema-and-movies-intelligence-service>); and United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects 2019 (<https://population.un.org/wpp/>). Data years: 2015–2019.

### 7.2.3. Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15–69

Global entertainment and media market (per thousand population, 15–69 years old) | 2021

The Global Entertainment & Media Outlook is a comprehensive source of global analyses and five-year forecasts of consumer and advertising spending across different territories and entertainment and media segments.

The figures for Algeria, Bahrain, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malta, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Tunisia and Yemen were estimated from a total corresponding to Middle East and North Africa (MENA) countries using a breakdown of total GDP (current USD) for the above-mentioned countries to define referential percentages.

Source: PwC, Global Entertainment and Media Outlook, 2021–2025 ([www.pwc.com/outlook](http://www.pwc.com/outlook)); United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects 2019 (<https://population.un.org/wpp/>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data year: 2021.

### 7.2.4. Printing and other media, % manufacturing

Printing publications and other media output (% of manufacturing total output) | 2019

Printing and reproduction of recorded media output (the sum of ISIC Revision 4 Division 18, group 181 with class 1811 and 1812 and group 182 with class 1820) as a percentage of total manufacturing output (ISIC Revision 4, section C). Where data for ISIC Revision 4 were not available, data from ISIC Revision 3 were used (ISIC Revision 3 group 222, classes 2221, 2222 and 2230).

Source: United Nations Industrial Development Organization, Industrial Statistics Database, four-digit level of International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) Revision 4 and ISIC Revision 3 (INDSTAT 4 2022) (<https://stat.unido.org>). Data years: 2011–2019.

### 7.2.5. Creative goods exports, % total trade

Creative goods exports (% of total trade) | 2020

Total value of creative goods exports (current USD) over total trade. Creative goods exports based on the 2009 UNESCO Framework for Cultural Statistics, Table 3, International trade of cultural goods and services defined with the Harmonized System (HS) 2007 codes; World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade in Commercial Services database, itself based on the sixth (2009) edition of the International Monetary Fund's *Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual and Balance of Payments* database. For the definition of total trade, see indicator 5.3.1.

Source: United Nations Comtrade Database (<http://comtrade.un.org>); and World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (<https://stats.wto.org/>). Data years: 2015–2020.

## 7.3. Online creativity

### 7.3.1. Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15–69

Generic top-level domains (TLDs) (per thousand population, 15–69 years old) | 2021

A generic top-level domain (TLD) is one of the categories of TLDs maintained by the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) for use on the internet. Generic TLDs can be unrestricted (.com, .info, .net and .org) or restricted – that is, used on the basis of fulfilling eligibility criteria (.biz, .name and .pro). Of these, the statistic covers the five generic domains .biz, .info, .org, .net and .com. Generic domains .name and .pro and sponsored domains (.arpa, .aero, .asia, .cat, .coop, .edu, .gov, .int, .jobs, .mil, .museum, .tel and .travel) are not included. Neither are country-code top-level domains (refer to indicator 7.3.2). The statistic represents the total number of registered domains (i.e., net totals as of December 2021, existing domains + new registrations – expired domains). Data are collected on the basis of a 4 percent random sample of the total population of domains drawn from the root zone files (a complete listing of active domains) for each TLD. The geographic location of a domain is determined by the registration address for the domain name registrant that is returned from a whois query. These registration data are parsed by country and postal code and then aggregated to the required geographic levels, such as county, city or economy. The original hard data were scaled by thousand population, 15–69 years old. For confidentiality reasons, only normalized values are reported; while relative positions are preserved, magnitudes are not.

Source: ZookNIC Inc (<https://www.zooknic.com>); and United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects 2019 (<https://population.un.org/wpp>). Data year: 2021.

### 7.3.2. Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15–69

Country-code top-level domains (TLDs) (per thousand population, 15–69 years old) | 2021

A country-code top-level domain (TLD) is one of the categories of TLDs maintained by the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) for use on the internet. Country-code TLDs are two-letter domains especially designated for a particular economy, country or autonomous territory. The statistic represents the total number of registered domains (i.e., net totals as of December 2021, existing domains + new registrations – expired domains). Data are collected from the registry responsible for each country-code TLD and represent the total number of domain registrations in the country-code TLD. Each country-code TLD is assigned to the country with which it is associated rather than based on the registration address of the registrant. ZookNIC reports that, for the country-code TLDs it covers, 85–100 percent of domains are registered in the same country; the only exceptions are the country-code TLDs that have been licensed for worldwide commercial use. Data are reported per thousand population, 15–69 years old. For confidentiality reasons, only normalized values are reported; while relative positions are preserved, magnitudes are not.

Source: ZookNIC Inc (<https://www.zooknic.com>); and United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects 2019 (<https://population.un.org/wpp>). Data year: 2021.



### 7.3.3. GitHub commit pushes received/mn pop. 15–69

GitHub commit pushes received (per million population, 15–69 years old) | 2021

GitHub is the world's largest host of source code, and a commit is the term used for a change on this platform. One or more commits can be saved (or pushed) to projects (or repositories). Thus, "GitHub commit pushes received" refers to the number of batched changes received by publicly-available projects on GitHub within a specific economy.

Source: GitHub (<https://github.com/>); and United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects 2019 (<https://population.un.org/wpp/>). Data year: 2021.

### 7.3.4. Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP

Global downloads of mobile apps (per billion PPP\$ GDP, two-year average) | 2021

Global downloads of mobile apps, by origin of the headquarters of the developer/firm, scaled by PPP\$ GDP (billions). Global downloads are compiled by data.ia, public data sources and the company's proprietary forecast model based on data from Google Play Store and iOS App Store in each country. Since data for China are not available for Google Play Store and only for iOS App Store, data from China are treated as missing and classified as "n/a."

Source: data.ia (formerly App Annie) (<https://www.data.ai/en/>); and International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, October 2021 (<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/weo-database/2021/October>). Data years: 2019–2021.

## Appendix IV Global Innovation Index science and technology cluster methodology

Since 2016, the Global Innovation Index (GII) has sought to identify science and technology (S&T) clusters using a bottom-up approach. This approach disregards administrative or political borders and instead pinpoints those geographical areas that show a high density of inventors and scientific authors. The resultant clusters often encompass several municipal districts, sub-federal states and sometimes even two or more countries. Two innovation metrics are employed in the compilation of the top 100 GII S&T clusters worldwide: location of inventors listed on published patent applications and authors listed on published scientific articles.

For patents, this method relies on applications under WIPO's Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). PCT patents offer a useful basis for analyzing patents globally. The PCT system applies a single set of procedural rules and collects information based on uniform filing standards. This reduces potential biases that could arise from using data collected from multiple national sources. The patents selected were published over a five-year period, between 2016 and 2020, to minimize the effects of volatility that can occur between years.

To widen the range of innovation included, scientific publications from the Web of Science's Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) are incorporated. SCIE provides detailed coverage of the world's most impactful academic journals. For the analysis presented here, science and technology fields are the focus, while articles from the fields of social sciences and humanities are disregarded. The same publication years are used for scientific articles as are used for PCT patents – 2016 to 2020.

In addition, for this year's analysis, in a departure from previous years' practice, scientific publications are limited solely to articles of original research. This excludes other published items, such as meeting abstracts, conference summaries or paper briefs, which were previously included in the analysis. Although these items were published in journals, Web of Science does not deem them to be full articles. In addition, meeting abstracts and paper briefs are not utilized equally across all academic fields. As a result, the life sciences academic fields, in which meeting abstracts are primarily published, have had their shares of total publications in the SCIE reduced. The knock-on effect of this change is that the total publication output of any cluster with a high concentration of life sciences activity has been reduced. In the GII 2022, previous years' rankings were adjusted to account for this change in methodology and to allow accurate year-on-year comparisons to be made.

The WIPO PCT patent dataset consists of approximately 1.1 million patent applications published between 2016 and 2020, containing 3.4 million inventor addresses. For the SCIE, the dataset contains 7.1 million articles published during the same period, containing 22.4 million listed author addresses.

The geocoding of addresses for this report is as follows. PCT inventor addresses were geocoded using the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) ArcGIS World Geocoder service.<sup>1</sup> In cases where the ESRI address matches proved either ambiguous or insufficiently accurate, the city name in the address string was extracted and matched using records in the city-level dataset from the GeoNames Gazetteer database.<sup>2</sup> This latter database gives the geolocation of cities around the globe and contains 48,000 geocoded cities. This same city-matching approach was applied to all SCIE author addresses.

Overall, 96.4 percent of inventor addresses were geocoded at either the city level or a more accurate level, while 95.9 percent of scientific author addresses were geocoded at the city level. Appendix Table 5 provides a summary of the geocoding results for the top 20 countries, which together account for the majority of inventor and scientific author addresses. As shown in the table, the coverage of geocoded addresses across all 20 countries is typically above 95 percent, only falling below 90 percent in two instances.

Addresses were clustered by applying the density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) algorithm. This algorithm requires predefined radius and density parameters. As in previous years, a radius of 15 km and a density of 4,500 listed inventors/authors was applied. Equal weight was given to inventors and authors by expressing data points as a share of total inventor and author addresses, respectively. Given that the number of scientific articles far exceeds the number of patents, cluster identification based on the raw data points would have resulted in clusters shaped predominantly by the scientific author landscape.

The result was an initial list of 233 clusters. After review, neighboring clusters were merged if the edge of one cluster was within 3–5 km of another and where the co-author/co-inventor relationships were higher than for any other relationship with any other cluster or non-cluster points. A total of 20 clusters met these criteria, with mergers reducing the overall number of clusters identified to 223.<sup>3</sup>

The remaining 223 clusters were then ranked by counting the number of patents and scientific articles in a given cluster. Numbers were aggregated using fractional counting, in which counts reflect the share of a patent's inventors and an article's authors present in a particular cluster. In addition, mirroring the equal weighting approach described above, fractional counts are relative to the total numbers of patents and scientific articles.

To produce an intensity ranking, the European Commission's Global Human Settlement Layer (GHSL) population distribution data were matched geographically to the top 100 clusters identified in the overall ranking. Just as with inventor/author geocoded locations, these population data allowed us to define the total population of a cluster using a bottom-up approach. We chose to define a cluster's area as all the space within 0.05 degrees of each inventor/author location. Overlaying the resultant cluster polygons on top of the population data and aggregating all points which lay within each polygon gave a total population estimate for each cluster.<sup>4</sup> The clusters were then ranked by dividing the total S&T share by population.

**Appendix Table 3 Top 100 S&T clusters, 2022**

Cluster rank	Cluster name	Economy	PCT applications	Scientific publications	Share total PCT filings (%)	Share of total publications (%)	Total	Rank 2015–19 <sup>a</sup>	Rank change <sup>a</sup>
1	Tokyo–Yokohama	JP	122,526	112,890	10.7	1.6	12.3	1	0
2	Shenzhen–Hong Kong–Guangzhou	CN/HK	94,340	133,327	8.2	1.9	10.1	2	0
3	Beijing	CN	32,016	260,937	2.8	3.7	6.5	3	0
4	Seoul	KR	46,273	124,530	4.0	1.8	5.8	4	0
5	San Jose–San Francisco, CA	US	42,884	58,087	3.7	0.8	4.6	5	0
6	Shanghai–Suzhou	CN	22,869	148,203	2.0	2.1	4.1	7	1
7	Osaka–Kobe–Kyoto	JP	34,738	50,605	3.0	0.7	3.8	6	–1
8	Boston–Cambridge, MA	US	16,172	73,457	1.4	1.0	2.4	8	0
9	New York City, NY	US	13,020	73,623	1.1	1.0	2.2	9	0
10	Paris	FR	14,147	62,793	1.2	0.9	2.1	10	0
11	San Diego, CA	US	19,363	20,688	1.7	0.3	2.0	11	0
12	Nagoya	JP	18,623	17,261	1.6	0.2	1.9	12	0
13	Nanjing	CN	3,620	103,260	0.3	1.5	1.8	15	2
14	Hangzhou	CN	8,568	55,312	0.7	0.8	1.5	18	4
15	Los Angeles, CA	US	10,515	43,172	0.9	0.6	1.5	14	–1
16	Wuhan	CN	4,126	80,002	0.4	1.1	1.5	20	4
17	Washington, DC–Baltimore, MD	US	4,727	75,104	0.4	1.1	1.5	13	–4
18	Seattle, WA	US	11,943	20,396	1.0	0.3	1.3	16	–2
19	London	GB	4,936	56,911	0.4	0.8	1.2	19	0
20	Daejeon	KR	10,286	23,812	0.9	0.3	1.2	22	2
21	Houston, TX	US	9,785	24,529	0.9	0.3	1.2	17	–4
22	Xi'an	CN	1,114	76,727	0.1	1.1	1.2	25	3
23	Cologne	DE	7,829	33,454	0.7	0.5	1.2	21	–2
24	Munich	DE	9,166	24,018	0.8	0.3	1.1	24	0
25	Amsterdam–Rotterdam	NL	4,304	52,561	0.4	0.7	1.1	23	–2
26	Taipei–Hsinchu	TW*	3,439	51,666	0.3	0.7	1.0	26	0
27	Chicago, IL	US	6,433	32,183	0.6	0.5	1.0	29	2
28	Stuttgart	DE	9,086	14,604	0.8	0.2	1.0	27	–1
29	Chengdu	CN	1,701	58,696	0.1	0.8	1.0	33	4
30	Tel Aviv–Jerusalem	IL	7,238	23,378	0.6	0.3	1.0	28	–2
31	Moscow	RU	1,927	53,109	0.2	0.7	0.9	30	–1
32	Tehran	IR	273	61,807	0.0	0.9	0.9	32	0
33	Singapore	SG	4,370	35,483	0.4	0.5	0.9	31	–2
34	Qingdao	CN	4,010	33,745	0.3	0.5	0.8	46	12
35	Stockholm	SE	5,978	20,040	0.5	0.3	0.8	35	0
36	Eindhoven	BE/NL	8,162	5,245	0.7	0.1	0.8	34	–2
37	Tianjin	CN	1,018	48,619	0.1	0.7	0.8	39	2
38	Minneapolis, MN	US	6,382	15,438	0.6	0.2	0.8	36	–2
39	Melbourne	AU	2,071	39,314	0.2	0.6	0.7	37	–2
40	Berlin	DE	3,518	30,355	0.3	0.4	0.7	44	4
41	Changsha	CN	831	46,712	0.1	0.7	0.7	51	10
42	Frankfurt am Main	DE	5,234	18,355	0.5	0.3	0.7	38	–4
43	Sydney	AU	2,586	33,203	0.2	0.5	0.7	40	–3
44	Philadelphia, PA	US	3,437	27,592	0.3	0.4	0.7	41	–3
45	Raleigh, NC	US	2,888	30,006	0.3	0.4	0.7	42	–3
46	Istanbul	TR	3,419	25,640	0.3	0.4	0.7	50	4
47	Brussels	BE	3,094	27,429	0.3	0.4	0.7	43	–4
48	Madrid	ES	1,498	37,284	0.1	0.5	0.7	47	–1
49	Chongqing	CN	1,390	36,776	0.1	0.5	0.6	58	9
50	Barcelona	ES	2,468	29,692	0.2	0.4	0.6	48	–2

Appendix Table 3 Continued

Cluster rank	Cluster name	Economy	PCT applications	Scientific publications	Share total PCT filings (%)	Share of total publications (%)	Total	Rank 2015–19 <sup>a</sup>	Rank change <sup>a</sup>
51	Zürich	CH/DE	3,406	23,856	0.3	0.3	0.6	52	1
52	Portland, OR	US	6,151	6,766	0.5	0.1	0.6	45	-7
53	Milan	IT	2,391	29,681	0.2	0.4	0.6	53	0
54	Toronto, ON	CA	2,438	29,042	0.2	0.4	0.6	49	-5
55	Hefei	CN	1,016	35,125	0.1	0.5	0.6	63	8
56	Harbin	CN	178	39,628	0.0	0.6	0.6	61	5
57	Montréal, QC	CA	2,129	25,461	0.2	0.4	0.5	54	-3
58	Heidelberg–Mannheim	DE	3,908	13,951	0.3	0.2	0.5	56	-2
59	Copenhagen	DK	3,075	18,889	0.3	0.3	0.5	55	-4
60	Bengaluru	IN	3,746	14,604	0.3	0.2	0.5	60	0
61	Jinan	CN	973	31,115	0.1	0.4	0.5	67	6
62	Cambridge	GB	3,052	17,711	0.3	0.2	0.5	62	0
63	Changchun	CN	305	34,500	0.0	0.5	0.5	70	7
64	Delhi	IN	1,046	28,440	0.1	0.4	0.5	66	2
65	Denver, CO	US	2,449	18,478	0.2	0.3	0.5	57	-8
66	Atlanta, GA	US	1,660	23,326	0.1	0.3	0.5	64	-2
67	Rome	IT	846	28,301	0.1	0.4	0.5	68	1
68	Shenyang	CN	608	29,090	0.1	0.4	0.5	77	9
69	Cincinnati, OH	US	3,913	7,811	0.3	0.1	0.5	65	-4
70	Nuremberg–Erlangen	DE	3,649	9,390	0.3	0.1	0.5	69	-1
71	São Paulo	BR	757	25,887	0.1	0.4	0.4	71	0
72	Dalian	CN	861	24,692	0.1	0.3	0.4	81	9
73	Helsinki	FI	2,672	13,346	0.2	0.2	0.4	72	-1
74	Busan	KR	2,273	15,584	0.2	0.2	0.4	74	0
75	Dallas, TX	US	3,191	9,826	0.3	0.1	0.4	73	-2
76	Vienna	AT	1,560	19,473	0.1	0.3	0.4	75	-1
77	Ann Arbor, MI	US	1,293	19,803	0.1	0.3	0.4	76	-1
78	Oxford	GB	1,551	18,051	0.1	0.3	0.4	79	1
79	Pittsburgh, PA	US	1,696	17,077	0.1	0.2	0.4	78	-1
80	Kanazawa	JP	3,814	3,384	0.3	0.0	0.4	84	4
81	Lyon	FR	2,381	12,029	0.2	0.2	0.4	80	-1
82	Vancouver, BC	CA	1,482	16,126	0.1	0.2	0.4	82	0
83	Zhengzhou	CN	631	21,129	0.1	0.3	0.4	98	15
84	Mumbai	IN	1,481	15,671	0.1	0.2	0.4	87	3
85	Hamamatsu	JP	3,548	2,650	0.3	0.0	0.3	83	-2
86	Ankara	TR	566	20,198	0.0	0.3	0.3	89	3
87	Ottawa, ON	CA	1,928	11,782	0.2	0.2	0.3	86	-1
88	Daegu	KR	1,843	12,268	0.2	0.2	0.3	91	3
89	Phoenix, AZ	US	2,358	8,842	0.2	0.1	0.3	85	-4
90	Austin, TX	US	2,156	9,993	0.2	0.1	0.3	88	-2
91	Xiamen	CN	1,387	14,650	0.1	0.2	0.3	103	12
92	Warsaw	PL	449	20,399	0.0	0.3	0.3	92	0
93	Lausanne	CH/FR	1,872	10,928	0.2	0.2	0.3	90	-3
94	Brisbane	AU	1,184	15,158	0.1	0.2	0.3	96	2
95	Hamburg	DE	1,840	11,049	0.2	0.2	0.3	94	-1
96	Lund–Malmö	SE	2,148	9,126	0.2	0.1	0.3	95	-1
97	Chennai	IN	686	18,094	0.1	0.3	0.3	99	2
98	Göteborg	SE	1,990	9,971	0.2	0.1	0.3	97	-1
99	Basel	CH/DE/FR	2,294	7,835	0.2	0.1	0.3	106	7
100	Lanzhou	CN	200	20,669	0.0	0.3	0.3	110	10

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

Notes: <sup>a</sup> This column represents the previous year's rankings, which have been adjusted to align with the updated methodology.

The codes given in the tables in this appendix are the ISO alpha-2 country codes, with the following addition: \*TW = Taiwan, Province of China.

**Appendix Table 4 Ranking of S&T intensity, 2016–2020**

Intensity rank	Cluster name	Economy	Estimated cluster population	PCT applications per capita <sup>a</sup>	Scientific publications per capita <sup>a</sup>	Total S&T share per capita <sup>a</sup>	Rank change <sup>b</sup>
1	Cambridge	GB	470,565	6,486	37,637	1.10	0.0
2	Eindhoven	BE/NL	1,004,435	8,126	5,222	0.78	0.0
3	Daejeon	KR	1,639,385	6,274	14,525	0.75	2.0
4	San Jose–San Francisco, CA	US	6,075,112	7,059	9,561	0.75	0.0
5	Oxford	GB	530,708	2,922	34,013	0.73	-2.0
6	Boston–Cambridge, MA	US	3,735,101	4,330	19,667	0.65	1.0
7	Ann Arbor, MI	US	633,653	2,041	31,252	0.62	-1.0
8	San Diego, CA	US	3,485,292	5,556	5,936	0.57	1.0
9	Seattle, WA	US	2,345,646	5,092	8,695	0.57	-1.0
10	Lund–Malmö	SE	596,694	3,601	15,295	0.53	0.0
11	Lausanne	CH/FR	683,652	2,738	15,985	0.46	1.0
12	Raleigh, NC	US	1,509,942	1,912	19,872	0.45	1.0
13	Munich	DE	2,564,434	3,574	9,366	0.44	2.0
14	Kanazawa	JP	858,746	4,441	3,941	0.44	2.0
15	Stockholm	SE	1,930,446	3,097	10,381	0.42	-1.0
16	Göteborg	SE	781,241	2,547	12,763	0.40	1.0
17	Helsinki	FI	1,196,571	2,233	11,154	0.35	1.0
18	Nuremberg–Erlangen	DE	1,311,956	2,781	7,157	0.34	1.0
19	Zürich	CH/DE	1,845,731	1,846	12,925	0.34	3.0
20	Tokyo–Yokohama	JP	36,101,573	3,394	3,127	0.34	1.0
21	Copenhagen	DK	1,579,632	1,947	11,958	0.34	-1.0
22	Beijing	CN	19,701,843	1,625	13,244	0.33	4.0
23	Stuttgart	DE	3,076,928	2,953	4,746	0.32	0.0
24	Basel	CH/DE/FR	983,777	2,332	7,964	0.32	n.a.
25	Portland, OR	US	2,066,968	2,976	3,273	0.31	-1.0
26	Minneapolis, MN	US	2,544,571	2,508	6,067	0.30	-1.0
27	Hamamatsu	JP	1,234,076	2,875	2,148	0.28	0.0
28	Pittsburgh, PA	US	1,393,500	1,217	12,255	0.28	1.0
29	Heidelberg–Mannheim	DE	1,934,306	2,020	7,213	0.28	1.0
30	Ottawa, ON	CA	1,211,901	1,591	9,722	0.28	-2.0
31	Seoul	KR	22,072,971	2,096	5,642	0.26	1.0
32	Cincinnati, OH	US	1,792,686	2,183	4,357	0.25	-1.0
33	Nanjing	CN	7,387,581	490	13,977	0.24	6.0
34	Washington, DC–Baltimore, MD	US	6,163,260	767	12,186	0.24	0.0
35	Houston, TX	US	5,201,592	1,881	4,716	0.23	-2.0
36	Osaka–Kobe–Kyoto	JP	16,311,764	2,130	3,102	0.23	1.0
37	Austin, TX	US	1,494,842	1,442	6,685	0.22	-2.0
38	Nagoya	JP	8,646,445	2,154	1,996	0.22	-2.0
39	Shenzhen–Hong Kong–Guangzhou	CN/HK	48,232,020	1,956	2,764	0.21	7.0
40	Hangzhou	CN	7,404,928	1,157	7,470	0.21	9.0
41	Lyon	FR	1,851,523	1,286	6,497	0.20	-3.0
42	Sydney	AU	3,479,638	743	9,542	0.20	-1.0
43	Frankfurt am Main	DE	3,667,871	1,427	5,004	0.19	-3.0
44	Xi'an	CN	6,062,141	184	12,657	0.19	10.0
45	Vancouver, BC	CA	1,859,081	797	8,674	0.19	-2.0
46	Paris	FR	11,065,479	1,278	5,675	0.19	-4.0
47	Melbourne	AU	3,869,266	535	10,161	0.19	0.0
48	Atlanta, GA	US	2,494,571	665	9,351	0.19	-3.0
49	Changsha	CN	3,877,621	214	12,047	0.19	9.0
50	Qingdao	CN	4,384,550	915	7,696	0.19	10.0

Appendix Table 4 Continued

Intensity rank	Cluster name	Economy	Estimated cluster population	PCT applications per capita <sup>a</sup>	Scientific publications per capita <sup>a</sup>	Total S&T share per capita <sup>a</sup>	Rank change <sup>b</sup>
51	Berlin	DE	3,986,888	883	7,614	0.18	0.0
52	Vienna	AT	2,232,293	699	8,723	0.18	-4.0
53	Wuhan	CN	8,200,368	503	9,756	0.18	8.0
54	Denver, CO	US	2,697,025	908	6,851	0.18	-10.0
55	Amsterdam–Rotterdam	NL	6,706,354	642	7,837	0.17	-3.0
56	Philadelphia, PA	US	4,158,492	827	6,635	0.17	-3.0
57	Brisbane	AU	1,921,593	616	7,888	0.16	-2.0
58	Brussels	BE	4,012,868	771	6,835	0.16	-2.0
59	Montréal, QC	CA	3,438,561	619	7,405	0.16	-2.0
60	Tel Aviv–Jerusalem	IL	6,194,937	1,168	3,774	0.16	-1.0
61	Chicago, IL	US	6,669,347	965	4,826	0.15	-11.0
62	Changchun	CN	3,449,825	89	10,001	0.15	7.0
63	Milan	IT	4,272,035	560	6,948	0.15	7.0
64	Rome	IT	3,225,175	262	8,775	0.15	0.0
65	Barcelona	ES	4,372,762	564	6,790	0.14	-3.0
66	Toronto, ON	CA	4,385,891	556	6,622	0.14	-3.0
67	New York City, NY	US	15,376,438	847	4,788	0.14	-2.0
68	Jinan	CN	3,795,644	256	8,198	0.14	5.0
69	Harbin	CN	4,213,667	42	9,405	0.14	10.0
70	Hefei	CN	4,310,124	236	8,149	0.14	10.0
71	London	GB	9,121,643	541	6,239	0.14	-4.0
72	Warsaw	PL	2,441,181	184	8,356	0.13	-4.0
73	Hamburg	DE	2,369,780	776	4,663	0.13	-7.0
74	Daegu	KR	2,515,209	733	4,878	0.13	-2.0
75	Lanzhou	CN	2,402,088	83	8,605	0.13	n.a.
76	Los Angeles, CA	US	11,919,383	882	3,622	0.13	-1.0
77	Tehran	IR	7,000,893	39	8,828	0.13	0.0
78	Dalian	CN	3,334,357	258	7,405	0.13	6.0
79	Cologne	DE	9,091,259	861	3,680	0.13	-8.0
80	Shanghai–Suzhou	CN	32,327,159	707	4,584	0.13	-2.0
81	Singapore	SG	7,033,274	621	5,045	0.13	-5.0
82	Phoenix, AZ	US	2,707,043	871	3,266	0.12	-8.0
83	Madrid	ES	5,564,353	269	6,700	0.12	-1.0
84	Busan	KR	3,546,354	641	4,394	0.12	-3.0
85	Chongqing	CN	5,656,871	246	6,501	0.11	0.0
86	Dallas, TX	US	3,705,446	861	2,652	0.11	-3.0
87	Chengdu	CN	9,522,089	179	6,164	0.10	1.0
88	Tianjin	CN	7,863,787	129	6,183	0.10	-1.0
89	Taipei–Hsinchu	TW*	10,721,652	321	4,819	0.10	-3.0
90	Shenyang	CN	5,480,076	111	5,308	0.08	0.0
91	Ankara	TR	4,517,811	125	4,471	0.07	-2.0
92	Zhengzhou	CN	4,804,781	131	4,398	0.07	0.0
93	Xiamen	CN	4,638,988	299	3,158	0.07	n.a.
94	Moscow	RU	13,373,449	144	3,971	0.07	-3.0
95	Istanbul	TR	14,635,274	234	1,752	0.05	-1.0
96	Bengaluru	IN	12,335,706	304	1,184	0.04	-3.0
97	Chennai	IN	9,987,867	69	1,812	0.03	-2.0
98	São Paulo	BR	18,630,251	41	1,390	0.02	-2.0
99	Delhi	IN	24,557,885	43	1,158	0.02	-2.0
100	Mumbai	IN	19,881,600	74	788	0.02	-2.0

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

Notes: <sup>a</sup> Per capita figures refer to 1,000,000 of population. <sup>b</sup> The previous year's rankings have been adjusted to align with the updated methodology. Codes refer to the ISO alpha-2 country codes, with the following addition: \*TW = Taiwan, Province of China.

**Appendix Table 5 Summary of geocoding results**

Country	Scientific publications			PCT applications				
	Number of addresses	City-level address accuracy (%)	Publications covered (%)	Number of addresses	Block-level address accuracy (%)	Sub-city-level address accuracy (%)	City-level address accuracy (%)	Applications covered (%)
China	4,836,417	99.0	99.5	643,189	89.0	0.1	10.9	99.9
United States	6,601,955	97.0	98.2	888,439	94.6	5.1	0.1	99.9
Japan	1,225,196	92.3	95.6	593,670	31.5	26.3	40.6	98.8
Germany	1,415,642	97.7	98.5	269,492	97.5	0.5	1.9	99.9
Republic of Korea	809,478	96.3	98.0	252,035	0.1	0.9	79.7	86.9
United Kingdom	1,437,049	96.8	97.9	83,678	64.0	27.6	8.0	99.6
France	1,103,856	93.4	95.5	108,437	90.4	1.9	5.4	98.1
India	786,896	91.9	94.4	42,840	33.0	52.1	13.9	99.2
Italy	1,164,449	95.8	97.3	43,602	91.0	5.2	3.4	99.6
Canada	915,638	98.4	99.0	43,920	96.9	2.6	0.4	99.8
Spain	882,748	97.6	98.6	26,809	80.5	11.7	7.6	99.8
Brazil	684,488	98.5	99.6	9,883	85.5	10.8	3.5	99.7
Australia	878,644	86.1	90.3	21,259	91.7	5.0	2.9	99.7
Netherlands	522,047	97.4	98.6	51,052	85.2	0.3	14.4	99.8
Sweden	306,161	98.0	98.4	44,888	94.7	0.8	4.4	99.9
Russian Federation	400,543	99.0	99.3	14746	90.8	5.0	3.6	99.6
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	456,057	97.3	98.5	1,083	0.2	2.3	93.5	95.5
Türkiye	396,686	96.4	96.7	16,593	45.1	41.8	11.1	98.4
Switzerland	343,054	90.8	92.5	38,982	91.8	1.3	6.8	99.8
Poland	316,725	98.7	99.4	6,477	94.4	4.5	0.9	99.7

Source: WIPO Statistics Database, April 2022.

Notes: This list includes the top 20 countries that account for the highest combined shares of patents and scientific articles. PCT inventor addresses were geocoded to the highest level of detail. Due to their much larger volume, scientific author addresses were geocoded to the city level only.

## Notes

- 1 ESRI World Geocoder service. <https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-world-geocoder>.
- 2 GeoNames. <http://geonames.org/>.
- 3 The mergers involved the following clusters: Guangzhou with Shenzhen–Hong Kong; Matsudo with Tokyo–Yokohama; Jerusalem with Tel Aviv; Istanbul Europe with Istanbul Asia; Rotterdam with Amsterdam; Irvine with Los Angeles; Boulder with Denver; Baltimore with Washington DC; Suzhou with Shanghai; Aurora with Chicago.
- 4 See Bergquist and Fink (2020: 61–63) for a more detailed description of how population data were matched to clusters: [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo\\_pub\\_gii\\_2020.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2020.pdf).

## Reference

Bergquist, K. and C. Fink (2020). The top 100 science and technology clusters. In Dutta, S., B. Lanvin and S. Wunsch-Vincent (eds), *The Global Innovation Index 2020: Who Will Finance Innovation?* Ithaca, NY, Fontainebleau and Geneva: Cornell University, INSEAD and WIPO.





The *Global Innovation Index 2022* (GII) tracks global innovation trends against the background of an ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, slowing productivity growth and other evolving challenges.

The GII reveals the most innovative economies in the world, ranking the innovation performance of 132 economies, highlighting their innovation strengths and weaknesses, and pinpointing any gaps in their innovation metrics.

This 2022 edition of the GII focuses on the predicted effect of innovation in three key areas: productivity, economic growth and the well-being of society over the coming decades. The report asks: Is stagnation and low productivity growth here to stay, or are we about to enter a new era, where the Digital Age and Deep Science reinvigorate innovation and bring about an economic and productivity uplift?

Since 2007, the GII has been an invaluable reference for countries wanting to develop new innovation and economic policy strategies and a tool for improving innovation metrics. The United Nations General Assembly has recognized the GII as an authoritative benchmark for measuring innovation within its 2019 and 2021 resolutions on Science, Technology and Innovation for Sustainable Development.

The GII is published in partnership with the Portulans Institute and supported by Corporate Network partners: the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), the Brazilian National Confederation of Industry (CNI), Ecopetrol, and the Turkish Exporters Assembly (TİM), together with its Academic Network partners and the GII Advisory Board.

The full report can be downloaded at [www.wipo.int/global\\_innovation\\_index](http://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index).

World Intellectual Property Organization  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
P.O. Box 18  
CH-1211 Geneva 20  
Switzerland

Tel: +41 22 338 91 11  
Fax: +41 22 733 54 28

For contact details of WIPO's  
External Offices visit:  
[www.wipo.int/about-wipo/en/offices](http://www.wipo.int/about-wipo/en/offices)

WIPO Publication No. 2000E/22  
ISBN: 978-92-805-3432-0 (print)  
ISBN: 978-92-805-3433-7 (online)  
ISSN: 2263-3693 (print)  
ISSN: 2788-6972 (online)